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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## A. Women Students

## Pre-University: Achievement in Mathematics High School Matriculation.

In 2005, women high-school students comprised $47.8 \%$ of all high school students taking the 5 units, and a large percentage of them excelled in their exams. Taking together the 4 and 5 units in mathematics, the total number of women students was 16,929 , higher than the total number of men students - 14,583.
Women students in Israel: The overall percentage of women students at the Technion is the lowest in Israel. Yet, in the specific fields of engineering and sciences the findings show that the majority of women students in Engineering in Israel in all three degrees are at the Technion. In addition, the majority of women students in the fields of Computer Sciences, Mathematics and Statistics at the Bachelor degree are at the Technion. Yet, there is room to increase the share of the Technion with respect to graduate level women students in the above mentioned fields, and at all degrees in Biological Sciences.
The Technion ranks the highest compared to Tel-Aviv and Ben-Gurion universities in the percentage of women compared to men students in Engineering and in Biological Sciences. In the fields of Computer Sciences, Mathematics and Statistics, the percentage of women versus men students is higher in Tel-Aviv and Ben-Gurion Universities in all degrees.

## Women students at the Technion:

Overall, there are $36 \%$ women students at the Technion with $35 \%$ of the undergraduate students, $38 \%$ of the graduate students and $44 \%$ of the doctoral students. Efforts have been made to recruit more women students and faculty in the last three to five years. Some of the academic units such as Computer Science and Electrical Engineering have taken proactive actions to recruit women students. Other faculties have accepted post doc women fellows, such as the Faculty of Biotechnology and Food Engineering.

## Undergraduate students

$37 \%$ women students applied to the undergraduate studies, and $36 \%$ of all those admitted were women. Overall, the total percentage of women undergraduate students increased in the last 10 years from $28 \%$ in 1998 to $35 \%$ in 2007. Yet, the proportion of women undergraduate students varies by faculty, with the smallest percentage in Mechanical Engineering (9\%), Electrical Engineering (13\%), and Physics (15\%), and the highest percentage in Biology (74\%), Biotechnology and Food Eng. (70\%), and Chemistry (70\%).
Women comprise $33 \%$ of the honor students, with $34 \%$ at the level of Dean's honor and $29 \%$ on the President list. This year their representation on the excellence program increased significantly to $50 \%$. A higher percentage of women than men undergraduate students receive assistance scholarship based on socio-economic needs. Their drop out rate is equal for males and females - $7 \%$.

## Graduate Students

The percentage of newly admitted women graduate students is $38 \%$ at the Master level and $49 \%$ at the doctoral level, almost equal to men. These figures have not changed in the last 10 years. Of all graduate students enrolled at the Technion women comprise $38 \%$ of the Master students, and $44 \%$ of the doctoral students. Overall, $40 \%$ of the graduate students are women, with the lowest rate in the fields of Design and Manufacturing Eng. (8\%), Mechanical Eng. (12\%), Electrical Eng. (12\%) and Physics (16\%), and the highest rate in Medical Sciences (74\%), Education in Technology and Science (73\%), Agriculture Eng. (at Faculty of Civil \& Environmental Eng.) (71\%), Biotechnology and Food Eng.(70\%) and Biology (69\%).
Women comprise $49 \%$ of all honor students at the Master level with about $41 \%$ and $40 \%$ at the highest grade levels of 91-100, and $84-90.99$ respectively. These figures are somewhat higher than their percentage in the total body of Master students.
The percentage of women who receive 3-unit fellowships is 49\%; 4 units - $57 \%$ and 5 units only $34 \%$. The latter can be attributed to their low proportion in some of the large faculties that employ a large number of teaching assistants who are mostly men, and who receive the 5 fellowship units.
The percentage of women who drop out of graduate studies is $13 \%$, lower than men $-17 \%$. Among those graduating in 2006 women comprised $37 \%$ at the master level and $40 \%$ at the doctoral level, somewhat lower than their percentage in the doctoral program.

## Post doc Fellows:

Today, there are 42 post-doc. women fellows, comprising one third of all post-doc fellows at the Technion. In addition, the Technion facilitated the post-doc studies abroad of 5 women and seven men.

## B. Women Faculty Members - Tenure Track

In Israel: The overall percentage of women in the seven research universities in Israel varies between $15 \%$ at the Technion to $35.5 \%$ at Bar-Ilan University. Within academic ranks women comprise between $43 \%-59 \%$ of the lecturers, but only between $4 \%-17 \%$ of the full professors in the seven institutions. The Technion ranks the lowest on the percentage of women faculty at the level of Associate Professor (15.1\%) and Full professor (4\%). In 2007 there is a slight increase to $5 \%$ women at the level of Full Professor at the Technion

## At the Technion:

Overall, there are 78 women (compared with 77 in 2006), comprising $15 \%$ of the faculty members, compared with 455 men faculty members in tenure track positions at the Technion in 2007.
In the last five years (2003-2007) special efforts have been made to recruit more women faculty. During that period 30 women faculty joined the Technion, comprising $28 \%$ of the total 108 new recruits to the Technion.

Currently, $50 \%$ of all women faculty are in junior positions (senior lecturer and lecturer) compared with $22 \%$ of all men who are in same positions. Yet, the percentage of women in the higher level positions of Associate Professor and Full Professor is only 20\%, with $15 \%$ at the level of Associate Professor and 5\% at the level of Full Professor. This is a much lower rate than among men professors, of whom $34 \%$ are Associate Professor and $44 \%$ are Full Professors.

The distribution of women faculty by academic units shows that in eleven academic units at the Technion the percentage of women faculty is lower than $15 \%$ - their overall proportion of the faculty members. In eight academic units their percentage is above their representation at the Technion at large.
Women are underrepresented at the Technion top management team and at the Technion Senate committees - only $7 \%$. Yet, it is worth noting that women are represented in Appointed Committees by the Vice President for Academic Affairs and by the Vice President for Research.

## C. RECOMMENDATIONS

The 2007 report on the status of women students and faculty at the Technion leads to the following recommendations.

## A. Women students at the Technion

1. Undergraduate women students:
a. The pool of potential women applicants with 5 units of mathematics is almost as high as that of men. Therefore, more efforts should be made to proactively approach these women and attract them to the Technion. While proactive actions have recently been taken to attract undergraduate students to the Technion, special attention should be paid to potential women applicants.
b. More publicity should be given to the following positive points:
i. The increasing number of women undergraduate students at the Technion
ii. The acceptance rate for women is almost as high as their rate among the applicants.
iii. The relatively high proportion of women in Engineering and Biological Sciences, compared with other universities
iv. The high percentage of women on the honor list and in the excellence program
v. The high percentage of women who receive support assistance fellowships
vi. The high percentage of women graduate students
vii. Social life at the Technion. This item has not been reviewed in the present report.
c. The Task Force on Women Issues at the Technion recommended to include undergraduate courses on women career development, as part of the humanities program.
d. Increasing the awareness of faculty members and teaching assistants to issues related to women undergraduate students - a recommendation made by the Task Force on Women Issues.
2. Graduate women students:
a. While proactive actions have recently been taken to attract graduate students to the Technion, special attention should be paid to potential women applicants. In particular, proactive actions should be taken to recruit undergraduate students from the President's and Dean's Honors List.
b. More publicity should be given to the following positive points:
i. The high percentage of women graduate students at the Technion
ii. The relatively high proportion of women in Engineering and Biological Sciences, compared to other universities
iii. The high percentage of women on the honor's list
iv. The high percentage of women who receive fellowships
v. Financial support for participation in scientific conferences
vi. Post doc fellowships
c. While there is a job fair at the Technion that targets the undergraduate students, more resources should be allocated to increasing the employment opportunities of graduate students, and in particular women students.

## B. Women faculty members at the Technion

On the positive side, it is important to point out the increasing number of new women faculty members. More efforts should be taken as follows:
a. Efforts to recruit women faculty, in particular in faculties where their proportion is smaller than their overall proportion among faculty members ( $15 \%$ ), should continue at a higher pace. This includes the following faculties: Aerospace Engineering, Chemistry, Civil Engineering, Computer Sciences, Electrical Engineering, Mathematics, Material Engineering, Mathematics, Mechanical Engineering, and Physics.
b. Attention should be given to the tenure and promotion of the high percentage of women faculty at the levels of lecturer and senior lecturer. These women have the potential to be promoted to the rank of tenured Associate Professors within the next 5-6 years, and will narrow the existing gap between the percentages of men
versus women faculty at the rank of Associate Professor.
c. The highest gap between men and women faculty is at the top rank of Full Professors. Special attention should be given to women Associate Professors who are ready to be considered for promotion to Full Professor, avoiding comments sometimes heard in retrospect that their promotion is overdue.
Women should be more actively involved at the Technion leadership positions and at the Senate Committees. Their being part of the decision-making teams and their visibility will encourage more women students and faculty to join the Technion.

## INTRODUCTION:

In 2005 the Technion Board of Governor passed a resolution which stated: "A task force should be established to develop and implement a plan that will address the following two issues 1. The role the Technion should take in attracting more women students in all degree programs as well as in recruiting more excellent women for the academic staff; and 2. Given the under-representation of women in all faculty ranks a set of recommendations for actions should be presented to the Administration and to the different academic units."

In accordance with that resolution President Apeloig appointed Ruth Alon - member of the Executive Committee of the Board of Governors and of the Academic Development Committee, as Head of the Task force. In addition, the task force includes four members who are full professors at the Technion.

In late March, 2007 the task force met with the President and the Vice President for Academic Affairs to submit, present and discuss a set of recommendations aimed at achieving its main goal: increasing the attractiveness of the Technion to women students and faculty members.
The following recommendations made by the task force were approved:
a) The Coordinator of the Status of Women at the Technion will be invited to meetings of the Technion Steering Committee that have relevance to women issues;
b) The Coordinator will send a letter to all women faculty suggesting that they can approach her with issues related to the Status of Women, including promotion and tenure issues. This activity will be coordinated with the Vice President for Academic Affairs;
c) The task force proposed a new role of "Women Affairs' Liaison" that will focus on coordinating and maximizing the resources needed for the recruitment of women students and faculty and for increasing the awareness to the importance of attracting more women students and faculty members to the Technion. Additional suggestions and action items some of which involve budget and/or policy issues - were proposed, and will be further discussed.

In addition, the Technion is committed to implementing a number of policies that aim at facilitating the presence of women students and faculty at the Technion: a) Scholarship to women students continue during the period of 3 months maternity leave (so long as she had received it for 2 semesters before the birth). If she receives the maximum number of
scholarships allotted to her and still needs more time to finish her thesis, she can apply to a special fund and ask for a maximum of an additional three months scholarship.
c) For faculty members, in May 2006 regulation 181.2 (d) was changed so as to include men in it - stating that: "a faculty member without tenure during the first year after children are born can request to be employed half-time for one year or two. This additional possibility does not take away the right to the terms allowed by the laws of the country". In addition a new regulation was passed by the Senate expanding the scope of the consideration given to faculty who have newborn or very young adopted children, and including men and not only women: "181.2 e For female or male faculty members, as noted above, an extension of their appointment without tenure can be approved for one semester because of the birth of one child and for two semesters at most, for the birth of a number of children. This extension will be given only after an explanatory request has been submitted to the Vice President for Academic Affairs together with the opinion of the Dean of the relevant Faculty".
The 2007 Report on the Status of Women Students and Faculty summarizes the current state of women versus men students and faculty members at the Technion

## A. WOMEN STUDENTS AND FACULTY IN ISRAELI UNIVERSITIES

## A1. WOMEN STUDENTS IN ISRAELI UNIVERSITIES

## A1.1 Pre-University: Achievement in Mathematics High School Matriculation Exam by

 Gender in percentage, 2005 (see Table 1).Enrollment of women students in sciences and engineering depends on their level of mathematics at the pre-university matriculation exam. In 2005, there were 35,640 women high school students who took the matriculation exam in mathematics, compared with 28,878 men students. Of all women taking the matriculation exams in mathematics, the percentage of women taking it at the highest level of 5 units is $16.4 \%$, with $31.1 \%$ taking the 4 units and $52.5 \%$ taking the 3 units. In comparison, the percentage of men taking it at the highest level of 5 units is $22.1 \%$, with $28.4 \%$ taking the 4 units and $49.5 \%$ taking the 3 units. Yet, in absolute numbers 5,845 women compared with 6,382 men took the 5 unit exam. Hence, of the total number of students taking the 5 units, women comprised $47.8 \%$.

Of those women who took Mathematics at the level of 5 units $99.4 \%$ passed the exam, and $70.1 \%$ excelled in their exam, a little higher than men students.
Taking together the 4 and 5 units in mathematics, the total number of women students was 16,929 , higher than the total number of men students $-14,583$.

## Table 1: Achievements in Mathematics High School Matriculation Exam by Gender, in Percentage, 2005

| Gender | Taking the exam |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% Passing |  |  |  | \% Excelling |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 unit |  | 4 unit |  | 5 unit |  | Total N Taking the Exam | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { unit } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { unit } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { unit } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { unit } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { unit } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { unit } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 14295 | 49.5 | 8201 | 28.4 | 6382 | 22.1 | 28,878 | 95.6 | 97.5 | 98.9 | 96.8 | 34.9 | 47.6 | 69.6 | 46.2 |
| Female | 18711 | 52.5 | 11084 | 31.1 | 5845 | 16.4 | 35,640 | 95.8 | 98 | 99.4 | 97.1 | 44.1 | 57.2 | 70.1 | 52.4 |

Note: The Information is taken from the Ministry of Education internet site: http://cms.education.gov.il/EducationCMS/Units/Exams/Netunim/tashsah/PerekD2005.htm

## A1.2 Women students in research universities in Israel by degree in three fields: a) Engineering \& Architecture, b) Mathematics, Statistics \& Computer Science and c) Biological Sciences 2003-4 .

According to the Bureau of statistics:
http://www.cbs.gov.il/publications/stud_05/stud h.htm the overall percentage of women students at the Technion in 2004-5 was $35 \%$, compared with $46 \%$ women students in Weizmann Institute, 52\% at Ben-Gurion University, $56 \%$ at Tel-Aviv University, 57\% at the Hebrew University, $63 \%$ at Bar-Ilan University and $65 \%$ at Haifa University.
It should be noted that the comparison to the Weizmann Institute is relevant only at the graduate level as there are no undergraduate studies there.
The percentages at the graduate levels are: Master degree: Technion - $38.3 \%$, vs. Weizmann $42.9 \%$; PhD: Technion $-42.4 \%$ vs. Weizmann $-47.7 \%$. Yet, the Technion exceeds the Weizmann Institute with 1986 women graduate students compared with only 445 women graduate students at the Weizmann Institute.
In addition, the comparisons with other universities include students in Humanities and Social Sciences. Therefore, the comparison below refers to fields of study that are comparable across universities. Specifically, we focus on comparisons with Tel-Aviv University and Ben-Gurion University that have engineering studies.
Table 2 (in Appendix A), and Figure 1 below, summarize the percentage of women, compared to men students by degree and field of studies in three research universities in Israel - Technion, Tel-Aviv and Ben-Gurion in 2003-2004.
The findings show, that overall the percentage of women compared to men students in the above fields of studies at the Technion during 2003-2004 was $32 \%$, lower than Tel-Aviv University - 40\% but higher than Ben-Gurion University - 29\%.
Yet, among the three universities the Technion has the highest percentage of women, compared to men students in Engineering and Biological Sciences in all three degrees, but not in Computer Sciences, Mathematics \& Statistics. It should be noted that the Bureau of Statistics includes Computer Sciences, Mathematics and Statistics within the same category, and yet, there is no bachelor degree in statistics at the Technion. Therefore, this category at the level of bachelor degree is not fully equivalent across universities.

PhD: Technion: Engineer.: 28\%; CS, Math. and Stat. - 22\%; Biological Sciences - 74\%
PhD: Tel-Aviv: Engineer.: 19\%; CS, Math. and Stat. - 31\%; Biological Sciences - 64\%
PhD: Ben-Gurion: Engineer.:27\%; CS, Math. and Stat. - 28\%; Biological Sciences - 43\%.

Master:Technion: Engineer.: 33\%; CS, Math. and Stat. - 21\%; Biological Sciences - 77\%
Master: Tel-Aviv: Engineer.: 14\%; CS, Math. and Stat.- 24\%; Biological Sciences -72\%

Master:Ben-Gurion: Engineer: 23\%; CS, Math. and Stat. - 24\%; Biological Sciences - 48\%.

Bachelor: Technion: Engineer.: 30\%; CS, Math. and Stat. - 27\% ; Biological Sciences - 74\%
Bachelor: Tel-Aviv: Engineer.: 27\%; CS, Math. and Stat.- 30\%; Biological Sciences - 67\%
Bachelor: Ben-Gurion:Engineer:25\%; CS, Math. and Stat. - 29\%;Biological Sciences - 69\%

Figure 1: The Percentage of Women Students by Degree, Field of Study and Institution, 2003-2004


Another way to analyze Table 2 is to compare the percentage of women students out of the total number of women students in all three universities in each one of the three fields of study (see Figure 2 below). This analysis shows that the Technion has the highest percentage of women students in Engineering in Israel, in all three degrees. The Technion also has the highest percentage of students at the Bachelor degree in Computer Sciences, Math. and Statistics. In all other fields and degrees, the Technion has less women students than in TelAviv University, but more than in Ben-Gurion University.

PhD: Engineering: Technion: 51\% ; Tel-Aviv U. 20\%; Ben-Gurion U. $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$. CS. Math. Stat.: Technion: 30\%; Tel-Aviv U. $54 \%$; Ben-Gurion U. $16 \%$. Biol. Sciences: Technion: 12\%; Tel-Aviv U. 80\%; Ben-Gurion U. $08 \%$.

Master: Engineering: Technion: 62\% ; Tel-Aviv U. 12\%; Ben-Gurion U. 26\%. CS. Math. Stat.: Technion: 27\%; Tel-Aviv U. 59\%; Ben-Gurion U. $14 \%$. Biol. Sciences: Technion: $12 \%$; Tel-Aviv U. $76 \%$; Ben-Gurion U. $12 \%$.

Bachelor: Engineering: Technion: 55\% ; Tel-Aviv U. 15\%; Ben-Gurion U. 30\%. CS. Math. Stat.: Technion: $\mathbf{4 1 \%}$; Tel-Aviv U. 39\%; Ben-Gurion U. 20\%. Biol. Sciences: Technion: 18\%; Tel-Aviv U. 57\%; Ben-Gurion U. $25 \%$.

Figure 2 : The Percentage of Women Students Out of the Total Number of Women Students in all Three Universities by Fields


These findings suggest that the majority of women students in Engineering are at the Technion at all three degrees. In addition, the majority of women students in Computer Sciences, Mathematics and Statistics at the Bachelor degree are also at the Technion. Yet, compared to all other universities in Israel the overall percentage of women students at the Technion is the lowest. There is room for increasing the share of the Technion in women students in the fields of Biological Sciences at all degrees, and at the Master and PhD levels in the fields of Computer Sciences, Mathematics and Statistics, compared to the other universities.

## A2. WOMEN FACULTY MEMBERS IN ISRAELI UNIVERSITIES

The percentage of women faculty by academic rank in the research universities in Israel in 2005-2006 appears in Table 3.
The findings demonstrate that the overall percentage of women in the seven research institutions varies between $15 \%$ at the Technion to $35.5 \%$ at Bar-Ilan University. Within academic ranks women comprise between $43 \%-59 \%$ of the lecturers, but only between $4 \%$ $17 \%$ of the full professors in the seven institutions.
The Technion ranks the lowest on the percentage of women faculty at the level of Associate Professor ( $15.1 \%$ ) and Full professor (4\%). In 2007 there is a slight increase to $5 \%$ women at the level of Full Professor at the Technion (see Table 3).

Table 3: Percentages of Women Faculty by Institution and Rank, 2005-2006*

| Rank** | Hebrew <br> Univ. | Technion | Tel-Aviv <br> Univ. | Haifa- <br> Univ. | Bar- Ilan <br> Univ. | Ben- <br> Gurion <br> Univ. | Weizmann <br> Inst. | Total <br> Universities <br> average |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full Professor | 13.4 | 3.8 | 17.3 | 13.7 | 17.3 | 10.6 | 10.7 | 12.7 |
| Associate <br> Professor | 15.6 | 15.1 | 22.6 | 22.5 | 29.2 | 24.4 | 28.8 | 21.8 |
| Senior Lecturer | 35.3 | 28.2 | 38.7 | 34.8 | 41.0 | 29.2 | 52.2 | 35.7 |
| Lecturer | 43.0 | 54.5 | 45.4 | 51.6 | 48.0 | 40.9 | 58.8 | 45.7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 9}$ |

*Data from the Council for Higher Education, latest year available. The percentages for the Technion are somewhat different than the ones we report later, in Table 21 as the Technion data is from 2007.
**The data are not updated to 2007.

Given the high percentage of faculty women in the lower academic rank at the Technion we expect that their proportion in the higher academic ranks will increase within the next 5-6 years.

## B. WOMEN AT THE TECHNION - STUDENTS AND FACULTY MEMBERS

## B1. WOMEN STUDENTS AT THE TECHNION BY DEGREE, 2007

Table 4 summarizes the percentage of women students by degree at the Technion in 2007. Women comprise $35 \%$ of the undergraduate students, $38 \%$ of the graduate students and $44 \%$ of the doctoral students (see also Figure 3). For more detailed information please, see Table 5, and Figure 4 in Appendix A.

Table 4: Distribution of Women and Men in Each Degree at Technion, 2007

|  | Men |  | Women |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | $\%$ | Number | $\%$ | Number | $\%$ |
|  | 5069 | $65 \%$ | 2672 | $35 \%$ | 7741 | $100 \%$ |
| Master's | 1572 | $62 \%$ | 969 | $38 \%$ | 2541 | $100 \%$ |
| Doctorate | 526 | $56 \%$ | 420 | $44 \%$ | 946 | $100 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 1 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 2 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Figure 3: Distribution of Women and Men in Each Degree, 2007


## B2. RECRUITMENT OF WOMEN STUDENTS AND WOMEN FACULTY MEMBERS

The Graduate School at the Technion held an open day this year for new potential graduate students that aimed at increasing the number of men and women applicants to the graduate school. The undergraduate studies at the Technion held three open days this year, two in TelAviv and one at the Technion, with the aim of increasing the number of applicants, both men and women, to the Technion.
In addition, a number of faculties at the Technion have taken proactive actions to recruit women students and faculty, as reported by the Deans of the following academic units: The Faculty of Computer Sciences brings to the attention of newcomers that there are women faculty and students in the faculty. The Faculty takes the following actions:

1. Prof. Orna Grumberg is in charge of contacts with high school students and candidates.
2. In open house events they have both women and men faculty and students in the Faculty booth. These representatives serve as role models for new potential candidates who visit the booth in open house events directed at undergraduate-level and graduate-level candidates. 3. One of the main outreach channels is the Faculty Electronic Magazine. In the last issue, we published an article about Prof. Grumberg (mentioned above). See
http://www.cs.technion.ac.il/magazine/02/Homepage02.pdf
3. The Faculty has a few open slots and when considering candidates for hiring, the gender aspect is definitely taken into account.

The Faculty of Electrical Engineering has taken proactive actions to recruit women students. For the last few years the Faculty has organized an annual symposium for female high-school students and soldiers. This year, 217 women students' applicants participated in the event. See the following website for more information: http://www.ee.technion.ac.il/GDay/GDay140207/index.html

The Faculty of Mechanical Engineering has the lowest percentage of women students at the Technion, probably because of masculine image of this profession. Therefore, the faculty is now taking actions to develop a website which provides information on the various applications and job opportunities for women in this profession.

In some other faculties the percentage of undergraduate and graduate women students is quite high. Yet, efforts are being made to recruit more women faculty members. In the Faculty of Industrial Engineering \& Management $40 \%$ of the undergraduate students are women. In terms of faculty members, in the last 3 years the faculty recruited 4 new women faculty members, which is $50 \%$ of the new faculty recruits in the last 3 years. More
efforts are being made to recruit women faculty in the near future.
In the Faculty of Biology $70 \%$ of the student body are women. $75 \%$ of the recipients of Bachelor degrees in 2006 were women; $68 \%$ of the master students, and $72 \%$ of the doctoral students are women.

Of the 24 faculty members 6 are women, and 3 of them joined the faculty in the last 3 years. More efforts are being made to recruit one additional woman faculty.

In the Faculty of Biomedical Engineering $53 \%$ of the undergraduate students, $37 \%$ of the master students, and $40 \%$ of the PhD students are women. Special efforts have been made to recruit post-doc scholars. Currently there are 6 post doc scholars, all women. Of the 12 faculty members 2 are women who joined the faculty in the last 4 years, and one woman faculty is Emeritus.

In the Faculty of Civil Engineering one faculty women was promoted to Full Professor, and one new woman faculty joined the faculty.

## C. UNERGRADUATE STUDIES

## C. 1 Applicants and Acceptance rate:

The percentage of new women applicants in 2006 was $37 \%$, while their percentage of the total number of admitted students in 2006 was $36 \%$ (see Table 6, Figure 5 and Figure 6 Appendix B). It is important to note that there is no affirmative action policy at the Technion. The high proportion of admitted women students suggests that those who apply to the Technion meet the admittance criteria.

Overall, the total percentage of women undergraduate students increased in the last 10 years from $28 \%$ in 1998 to $35 \%$ in 2007 (see Table 5 and Figure 4, Appendix A).

## C. 2 Students Enrolled by Faculties:

The percentage of undergraduate women students at the Technion is $35 \%$. However, their percentage differs across faculties. The lowest percentage of women students is in the following faculties: Mechanical Engineering (9\%), Electrical Engineering (13\%), Physics ( $15 \%$ ), Aerospace Engineering (19\%) and Computer Science (23\%). The highest percentage of women students is in: Biology (74\%), Biotechnology (70\%), Chemistry (70\%), Chemical Engineering (61\%) and Architecture and Town Planning (61\%) (see Table 7, and Figure 7 in

Appendix B).

## C. 3 Honor students:

The percentage of women honor students is $33 \%$ which is only a little lower than their percentage in the undergraduate student population (35\%). Yet, while at the level of Dean's honor they comprise $34 \%$ of the total honor students their percentage on the President list is lower - 29\%. (see Table 8 and Figure 8 in Appendix B).
This finding is somewhat balanced with the information below on the percentage of students in the Excellence program

## C. 4 Excellence program:

In 2007 women comprise $50 \%$ of the students in the Excellence program ( 9 women) compared to $13 \%$ ( 2 women) in 2000 (see Table 9 and Figure 9 in Appendix B). It is interesting to note that the percentage of women applicants to the program was $32 \%$. Hence, a higher percentage of women applicants than men were invited to join the program.

## C. 5 Assistance Scholarship:

Overall, the percentage of women undergraduate students who receive assistance scholarship, based on socio-economic needs is higher than men. This is the case in all academic units, as can be seen in Table 10 in Appendix B.

## C. 6 Dropout:

The percentage of dropout of undergraduate students in 2006 is equal for males and females 7\% (see Table 11 in Appendix B).

To sum, the percentage of women students in the last 10 years increased to $35 \%$. Their admittance rate this year- $36 \%$, was similar to their rate among the applicants - $37 \%$.
The Technion has the highest percentage of women students in Israel in Engineering and in the fields of computer Sciences, Mathematics and Statistics compared with Tel-Aviv University and Ben-Gurion University. Yet, in some engineering faculties, including Mechanical Engineering (9\%), Electrical Engineering (13\%), and Aerospace Engineering (19\%) their percentage is still low and perhaps more efforts should be made to recruit more women students to this faculties. It is important to note that intensive recruitment efforts are being made both in Electrical Engineering and in Computer Sciences.
In some fields, such as biological sciences, the percentage of women versus men students at the Technion is very high (74\%). But the share of the Technion out of the pool of potential women students in Israel in biological sciences is smaller than in Tel-Aviv University. The

Technion may want to consider increasing the number of women students admitted to biological science. Special efforts are being made by the Technion to offer women Students Assistance Fellowship and to encourage them to join the Technion Program of Excellence.

## D. GRADUATE STUDIES

## D. 1 Newly admitted:

The percentage of newly admitted women graduate students is $38 \%$ at the master level (see Table 12 Appendix C), and $49 \%$ at the doctoral level, which is almost equal to men. (see Table 13, Appendix C). These figures have not been changed in the last 10 years (see table 5 Appendix A).
More effort should be given to closing the gap between men and women at the master level.

## D. 2 Students Enrolled by Faculty:

The percentage of enrolled women at the master level is $38 \%$, same as the percentage accepted, while at the doctoral level it is $44 \%$, lower than the percentage accepted.
Overall, $40 \%$ of the graduate students are women. The lowest percentage of women graduate students is in the following fields of studies: Design and Manufacturing Eng. (8\%), Mechanical Eng. (12\%), Electrical Eng. (12\%) and Physics (16\%). The highest percentage of women students is in: Medical Sciences (74\%), Education in Technology and Science (73\%), Agriculture Eng. (in Faculty of Civil \& Environmental Eng.) (71\%), Biotechnology and Food Eng. (70\%) and Biology (69\%) (see Table 14 and Figure 10, Appendix C).

## D. 3 Honors:

Women comprise $40 \%$ of all honor students at the master level with about $41 \%$ and $40 \%$ at the highest grade levels of 91-100, and $84-90.99$ respectively (see Table 15 and Figure 11, Appendix C). These figures are somewhat higher than their percentage in the total body of master students.

## D. 4 Fellowship:

The percentage of graduate women students who receive 3 fellowship units is $49 \%$; 4 units is $57 \%$ and 5 units is only $34 \%$ (see Table 16, Appendix C)
While women are underrepresented in the highest category of 5 fellowship units it should be noted that most students in this category serve also as teaching assistants. Since there is a very low representation of women students in some of the highly populated faculties, as EE and CS, the TA positions there get staffed mostly by men students.

## D. 5 Drop out:

The percentage of women who drop out of graduate studies is $13 \%$, lower than the percentage of drop out of men students $-17 \%$. (see Table 17, Appendix C). These figures include students who dropped out right at the beginning of their studies.

## D. 6 Graduating

The percentage of graduating women students in 2006 was $37 \%$ at the master level and $40 \%$ at the doctoral level. At the doctoral level it is somewhat lower than their percentage in the doctoral students' population. (see Table 18 and Figure 12, Appendix C).

To sum, The Technion hosts the highest percentage of women graduate students in Engineering in Israel. Yet, in the fields of Computer Sciences, Mathematics and Statistics at the graduate level, and in Biological Sciences at all degrees, their percentage in Tel-Aviv University is higher than at the Technion. Therefore, more efforts should be made to recruit women in these fields of studies to the Technion. Women excel at the master and doctoral levels no less than men. Yet, less women receive the highest number of fellowship units (5 units). Therefore, attention should be paid to distribute more equally the 5 unit fellowship between men and women, while taking into consideration the constraint of their availability as teaching assistants.

## E. WOMEN POST-DOC FELLOWS

Today, there are 42 post-doc women fellows, comprising one third of all post doc fellows at the Technion. In addition, the Technion facilitated the post doc studies abroad of five women and seven men.

The post doc fellows should be viewed as the reservoir of the future faculty members at the Technion and more efforts should be made to facilitate the post doc studies abroad of women PhDs.

## F. WOMEN FACULTY MEMBERS - TENURE TRACK

## F. 1 Overall Distribution by Rank:

Overall, there are 78 women faculty members (vs. 77 in 2006) who comprise $15 \%$ of the total number of faculty members, compared with 455 men faculty members in tenure track positions at the Technion in 2007.
In the last five years (2003-2007) special efforts have been made by the Technion to recruit more women faculty. During that period 30 women faculty joined the Technion, who comprise $28 \%$ of the total 108 new recruits to the Technion (see Table 19 below).

Table 19: Faculty Recruited in the Last 5 Years

|  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Women | 10 | $34 \%$ | 7 | 29\% | 5 | 21\% | 4 | 19\% | 4 | 40\% | 30 | 28\% |
| Total | 29 | 100\% | 24 | 100\% | 24 | 100\% | 21 | 100\% | 10 | 100\% | 108 | 100\% |

This increase has implications for the total percentage of women faculty at the Technion, which increased from $10 \%$ in 1999 to $15 \%$ in 2007. (see Table 20 and Figure 13 in Appendix D).

Currently, $50 \%$ of all women faculty are in the lower tenure track positions (senior lecturer and lecturer) compared with $22 \%$ of all men who are in same positions (see Table 21 and Figure 14 below).

This means that there is a potential for increasing the proportion of women in the higher level positions within the next 5-6 years when these women at the lower levels will be considered for tenure and promotion.

Of all women faculty, $14 \%$ are Full Professors, and $36 \%$ are at the rank of Associate Professor, compared with $44 \%$ and $34 \%$ of the men in the respected ranks.

Table 21: Percentage of Women and Men Faculty Members by Rank, 2007

| Rank | Total | Women \% from Total | Women |  | Men |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| Full Professor | 213 | 5\% | 11 | 14\% | 202 | 44\% |
| Associate | 182 | 15\% | 28 | 36\% | 154 | $34 \%$ |
| Senior Lecturer | 134 | 28\% | 38 | 49\% | 96 | $21 \%$ |
| Lecturer | 4 | 25\% | 1 | 1\% | 3 | 1\% |
| Total | 533 | 15\% | 78 | 100\% | 455 | 100\% |

Figure14: Percentage of Women and Men Faculty Members by Rank, 2007


Yet, the percentage of women in the higher level positions of Associate Professor and Full Professor out of all current positions at these levels is only $20 \%$, with $15 \%$ at the level of Associate Professor and 5\% at the level of Full Professor. This is a much lower rate than among men professors of whom 34\% are Associate Professor and 44\% are Full Professors (see Table 21 on p. 19).
Furthermore, the representation of women faculty at the top-level positions is lower than in the Weizmann Institute, where, in 2005-2006, women comprised $28.8 \%$ of the Associate Professors and $10.7 \%$ of the Full Professor. Since the year 2000 there is only $1 \%$ increase in the number of women Full Professors, and an increase of $4 \%$ in the number of women who are Associate Professors. The highest increase is in the percentage of women who are senior lecturers - $12 \%$ increase. (see Table $\underline{20}$ in Appendix D).

With the increasing number of junior women faculty the Technion now faces the challenge of promoting more women to the top level positions of Associate and Full Professors.

## F. 2 Women Faculty by Academic Units:

The distribution of women faculty by academic units shows that there are four academic units in which there is only one woman faculty [Material Engineering (6\%), Mathematics (2\%), Aerospace Engineering (4\%), and Humanities \& Art (but she is the only Full professor)]; four academic units with only 2 women faculty [Mechanical Engineering (6\%), Chemistry (8\%), Physics (5\%), Biomedical Engineering (17\%)], and one academic unit with 3 women faculty (Computer Science (6\%)].

In eleven academic units at the Technion the overall percentage of women faculty members is lower than 18\% (see Table 22 and Figure 15, Appendix D). In eight academic units their percentage is above their representation at the Technion at large, not including Humanities (where there is only 1 faculty member, $100 \%$ women), and the highest percentage of women faculty is at the Department of Education Technology and Science (67\%), Architecture (65\%), Biotechnology and Food Eng. (42\%), Chemical Eng. (24\%) and Medicine (23\%) (see Table 22).

It is noted that in some of the faculties with a high percentage of women graduate students the percentage of women faculty is still very low. Among these units are Materials Engineering, with $49 \%$ women graduate students and only $6 \%$ women faculty; Chemistry, with $66 \%$ women graduate students and only $8 \%$ women faculty; Industrial Engineering \& Management, with $54 \%$ women graduate students and only $17 \%$ women faculty; Medical Science with $74 \%$ women graduate students and only $23 \%$ women faculty; and Biology, with $69 \%$ women graduate students and only $22 \%$ women faculty.

The pool of potential women candidates for pursuing an academic career is high in the above fields of studies and more effort should be made in the future to hire women faculty to the above mentioned academic units.

## F. 3 Expected Retirement in the next 3 years:

Between 2007-2009 five women faculty will retire compared with forty-three men (see Table 23, Appendix D)

This finding suggests that more academic slots will be opened within the next 3 years and efforts should be directed at recruiting women faculty mainly in the academic units where there is a large pool of doctoral students who are potential candidates for pursuing an academic career.

## F. 4 Representation of Women at the Technion Senate and at the Senate Committees

Women are not represented at the top management team of President and Vice Presidents, and Technion Deans (see Table 24 Appendix D). There is only one woman who serves as Dean of one academic unit.

Similarly, the representation of women faculty on Elected Senate committees is very low, only $7 \%$ (see Table 25 Appendix D). Women are represented in only 3 out of 12 Elected Senate Committees, including Standing Committee for Undergraduate and Graduate Studies (4 members), Sub-committee for approving courses (1 member), Research Committee (2 members). This year, one woman Full Professor was elected to the Professor Representative on the Board of Governors and the Steering Committee Group B. Altogether, 8 out of 78 women faculty serve on Senate elected committees, which is about $10 \%$ of all women faculty, compared with 90 men faculty comprising $20 \%$ of the men faculty.
In the category of Appointed Senate Committees no women are represented (see Table 26 Appendix D).
Yet, it is worth noting that there are 3 women faculty each appointed to one of the five Appointed Committees under the responsibility of the Vice President for Academic Affairs (see Table 27 Appendix D), and 4 women faculty each appointed to one of the four committees under the responsibility of the Vice President for Research (see Table 28, Appendix D).

The above findings suggest that more women should be nominated, and hopefully elected to the Senate Committees. In parallel, the attention paid by the Vice President for Academic Affairs and the Vice President for Research to inviting women to serve on their appointed committees should be recognized.

## G. WOMEN FACULTY - NON TENURE TRACK POSITIONS

In 2006-7 there are only 2 research track positions, both held by women. $13 \%$ of the Regular Clinical Track positions and $21 \%$ of the Clinical Rank positions are held by women. Women comprise $31 \%$ of the internal adjunct positions and $38 \%$ of the external adjunct positions at the Technion. There is a slight decrease of $3 \%$ in the total percentage of women in non-tenure track positions from 2004-2005 (35\%) to 2006-2007 (32\%). (see Table 31, Appendix D)

## RECOMMENDATIONS:

The 2007 report on the status of women students and faculty at the Technion leads to the following recommendations.

## C. Women students at the Technion

3. Undergraduate women students:
a. The pool of potential women applicants with 5 units of mathematics is almost as high as that of men. Therefore, more efforts should be made to proactively approach these women and attract them to the Technion. While proactive actions have recently been taken to attract undergraduate students to the Technion, special attention should be paid to potential women applicants.
b. More publicity should be given to the following positive points:
i. The increasing number of women undergraduate students at the Technion
ii. The acceptance rate for women is almost as high as their rate among the applicants.
iii. The relatively high proportion of women in Engineering and Biological Sciences, compared with other universities
iv. The high percentage of women on the honor list and in the excellence program
v. The high percentage of women who receive support assistance fellowships
vi. The high percentage of women graduate students
vii. Social life at the Technion. This item has not been reviewed in the present report.
c. The Task Force on Women Issues at the Technion recommended to include undergraduate courses on women career development, as part of the humanities program.
d. Increasing the awareness of faculty members and teaching assistants to issues related to women undergraduate students - a recommendation made by the Task Force on Women Issues.
4. Graduate women students:
a. While proactive actions have recently been taken to attract graduate students to the Technion, special attention should be paid to potential women applicants. In particular, proactive actions should be taken to recruit undergraduate students from the President's and Dean's Honors List.
b. More publicity should be given to the following positive points:
i. The high percentage of women graduate students at the Technion
ii. The relatively high proportion of women in Engineering and Biological Sciences, compared to other universities
iii. The high percentage of women on the honor's list
iv. The high percentage of women who receive fellowships
v. Financial support for participation in scientific conferences
vi. Post doc fellowships
c. While there is a job fair at the Technion that targets the undergraduate students, more resources should be allocated to increasing the employment opportunities of graduate students, and in particular women students.

## D. Women faculty members at the Technion

On the positive side, it is important to point out the increasing number of new women faculty members. More efforts should be taken as follows:
a. Efforts to recruit women faculty, in particular in faculties where their proportion is smaller than their overall proportion among faculty members (15\%), should continue at a higher pace. This includes the following faculties: Aerospace Engineering, Chemistry, Civil Engineering, Computer Sciences, Electrical Engineering, Mathematics, Material Engineering, Mathematics, Mechanical Engineering, and Physics.
b. Attention should be given to the tenure and promotion of the high percentage of women faculty at the levels of lecturer and senior lecturer. These women have the potential to be promoted to the rank of tenured Associate Professors within the next 5-6 years, and will narrow the existing gap between the percentages of men versus women faculty at the rank of Associate Professor.
c. The highest gap between men and women faculty is at the top rank of Full Professors. Special attention should be given to women Associate Professors who are ready to be considered for promotion to Full Professor, avoiding comments sometimes heard in retrospect that their promotion is overdue.
Women should be more actively involved at the Technion leadership positions and at the Senate Committees. Their being part of the decision-making teams and their visibility will encourage more women students and faculty to join the Technion.

## Appendix A: Tables and Figures - Women Faculty and Students in Israeli Universities

Table 2- Students by Degree, Field of Study and Institution by Gender, 2003-2004 Back to Text $\rightarrow$

| Field | Degree | Technion |  |  | Tel Aviv University |  |  | Ben- Gurion University |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total N | Women N | Women \% | Total N | Women N | Women \% | Total N | Women N | Women \% |
| Engineering <br>  <br> Architecture | First degree | 6,620 | 1,988 | 30\% | 1,996 | 541 | 27\% | 4,275 | 1,077 | 25\% |
|  | Thereof: first year | 1,354 | 456 | 34\% | 521 | 144 | 28\% | 1,166 | 292 | 25\% |
|  | Second degree | 1,608 | 529 | 33\% | 715 | 102 | 14\% | 968 | 223 | 23\% |
|  | Third degree | 298 | 84 | 28\% | 175 | 33 | 19\% | 178 | 48 | 27\% |
|  | Total | 8526 | 2601 | 31\% | 2886 | 676 | 23\% | 5421 | 1348 | 25\% |
| Mathematics, <br>  <br> Computer <br> Sciences | First degree | 1,099 | 300 | 27\% | 960 | 287 | 30\% | 510 | 146 | 29\% |
|  | Thereof: first year | 256 | 60 | 23\% | 239 | 72 | 30\% | 181 | 49 | 27\% |
|  | Second degree | 258 | 53 | 21\% | 470 | 115 | 24\% | 112 | 27 | 24\% |
| Sciences | Third degree | 98 | 22 | 22\% | 131 | 40 | $31 \%$ | 43 | 12 | 28\% |
|  | Total | 1455 | 375 | 26\% | 1561 | 442 | 28\% | 665 | 185 | 28\% |
| Biological <br> Sciences | First degree | 344 | 253 | 74\% | 1,185 | 791 | 67\% | 500 | 346 | 69\% |
|  | Thereof: first year | 113 | 82 | 73\% | 384 | 255 | 66\% | 204 | 134 | 66\% |
|  | Second degree | 101 | 78 | 77\% | 678 | 487 | 72\% | 168 | 80 | 48\% |
|  | Third degree | 58 | 43 | 74\% | 447 | 287 | 64\% | 68 | 29 | 43\% |
|  | Total | 503 | 374 | 74\% | 2310 | 1565 | 68\% | 736 | 455 | 62\% |
| Total of all fields Above |  | 10484 | 3350 | 32\% | 6757 | 2683 | 40\% | 6822 | 1988 | 29\% |

Notes: From Central Bureau of Statistics: http://www1.cbs.gov.il/reader/?MIval=cw_usr_view_SHTML\&ID=700 Information is the most updated year available. The data of other research universities was not available, or partly available. The fields were chosen as representative of fields in the Technion.

Table 5: Number and Percentage of Women Students within Each Degree, 1998-2007 Back to Text $\rightarrow$

|  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  | 2002 |  | 2001 |  | 2000 |  | 1999 |  | 1998 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 4061 | 36\% | 4200 | 36\% | 4096 | 36\% | 4529 | 36\% | 4177 | $35 \%$ | 4516 | 33\% | 4191 | 32\% | 4005 | 32\% | 3805 | 31\% | 3647 | 30\% |
| total | 11228 | 100\% | 11598 | 100\% | 11528 | 100\% | 12535 | 100\% | 11934 | 100\% | 13508 | 100\% | 13102 | 100\% | 12591 | 100\% | 12149 | 100\% | 12053 | 100\% |
| Bachelor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 2672 | 35\% | 2910 | 35\% | 2715 | 34\% | 3095 | 35\% | 2883 | 33\% | 3118 | 31\% | 2957 | 30\% | 2826 | 30\% | 2652 | 29\% | 2528 | 28\% |
| total | 7741 | 100\% | 8335 | 100\% | 8015 | 100\% | 8908 | 100\% | 8695 | 100\% | 10045 | 100\% | 9801 | 100\% | 9533 | 100\% | 9144 | 100\% | 9162 | 100\% |
| Master's |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 969 | 38\% | 929 | 38\% | 1025 | 38\% | 1105 | 38\% | 1003 | 39\% | 1124 | 40\% | 946 | 36\% | 909 | 37\% | 875 | 36\% | 844 | 37\% |
| total | 2541 | 100\% | 2421 | 100\% | 2685 | 100\% | 2875 | 100\% | 2587 | 100\% | 2818 | 100\% | 2653 | 100\% | 2441 | 100\% | 2399 | 100\% | 2281 | 100\% |
| Doctorate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 420 | 44\% | 361 | 43\% | 356 | 43\% | 329 | 43\% | 291 | 45\% | 274 | 42\% | 288 | 44\% | 270 | 44\% | 278 | 46\% | 275 | 45\% |
| total | 946 | 100\% | 842 | 100\% | 828 | 100\% | 752 | 100\% | 652 | 100\% | 645 | 100\% | 648 | 100\% | 617 | 100\% | 606 | 100\% | 610 | 100\% |

Figure 4: Percent of Women Students within Each Degree 1998-2007 Back to Text $\rightarrow$


## Appendix B: Tables and Figures at the Technion- Undergraduate Student Body

Table 6: Undergraduate Applicants and Acceptance by Academic Unit - $2006 \quad$ Back to Text $\rightarrow$

| Faculty | Total Applicants* | Applicants |  |  |  | Total Accepted | Accepted |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Women |  | Men |  |  | Women |  | Men |  |
|  |  | N* | \% | N* | \% |  | N** | \% | N** | \% |
| Civil \& Environmental Engineering | 167 | 32 | 19\% | 135 | 81\% | 130 | 28 | 22\% | 102 | 78\% |
| Mechanical Engineering | 315 | 24 | 8\% | 291 | 92\% | 173 | 13 | 8\% | 160 | 92\% |
| Electrical Engineering | 676 | 85 | 13\% | 591 | 87\% | 314 | 49 | 16\% | 265 | 84\% |
| Chemical Engineering | 112 | 69 | 62\% | 43 | 38\% | 49 | 35 | 71\% | 14 | 29\% |
| Biotechnology and Food Eng. | 166 | 110 | 66\% | 56 | 34\% | 77 | 53 | 69\% | 24 | 31\% |
| Agricultural Engineering | 15 | 2 | 13\% | 13 | 87\% | 18 | 2 | 11\% | 16 | 89\% |
| Aerospace Engineering | 156 | 20 | 13\% | 136 | 87\% | 88 | 11 | 13\% | 77 | 88\% |
| Industrial Eng. \& Management | 424 | 179 | 42\% | 245 | 58\% | 211 | 91 | 43\% | 120 | 57\% |
| Mathematics | 17 | 3 | 18\% | 14 | 82\% | 21 | 8 | 38\% | 13 | 62\% |
| Physics | 75 | 10 | 13\% | 65 | 87\% | 60 | 8 | 13\% | 52 | 87\% |
| Architecture \& Town Planning | 383 | 233 | 61\% | 150 | 39\% | 93 | 59 | 63\% | 34 | 37\% |
| Economics \& Management | 101 | 45 | 45\% | 56 | 55\% | 30 | 16 | 53\% | 14 | 47\% |
| Computer Science | 480 | 86 | 18\% | 394 | 82\% | 213 | 45 | 21\% | 168 | 79\% |
| Geodetic Engineering | 42 | 5 | 12\% | 37 | 88\% | 36 | 6 | 17\% | 30 | 83\% |
| Medical Science | 1214 | 592 | 49\% | 622 | 51\% | 111 | 64 | 58\% | 47 | 42\% |
| Landscape Architecture | 31 | 20 | 65\% | 11 | 35\% | 23 | 16 | 70\% | 7 | 30\% |


| Faculty | Total Applicants | $\begin{gathered} \text { Women } \\ \text { Applicants } \\ \mathbf{N} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Women } \\ \text { Applicants } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ \text { Applicants } \\ \mathbf{N} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Men } \\ \text { Applicants }}}{ }$ | Total Accepted | Women Accepted N | Women Accepted \% | Men Accepted N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ \text { Accepted } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bio-Medical Engineering | 179 | 96 | 54\% | 83 | 46\% | 71 | 47 | 66\% | 24 | 34\% |
| Education in Technology \& Science | 38 | 25 | 66\% | 13 | 34\% | 49 | 29 | 59\% | 20 | 41\% |
| Chemistry | 73 | 44 | 60\% | 29 | 40\% | 29 | 19 | 66\% | 10 | 34\% |
| Biology | 134 | 92 | 69\% | 42 | 31\% | 72 | 49 | 68\% | 23 | 32\% |
| Mathematics with Computer Science | 31 | 11 | 35\% | 20 | 65\% | 13 | 3 | 23\% | 10 | 77\% |
| Civil \& Environmental Engineering | 31 | 19 | 61\% | 12 | 39\% | 24 | 15 | 63\% | 9 | 38\% |
| Mathematics-Statistics | 4 | 3 | 75\% | 1 | 25\% | 4 | 4 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Molecular Bio-Chemistry | 42 | 29 | 69\% | 13 | 31\% | 23 | 15 | 65\% | 8 | 35\% |
| Medical Science - American Program | 31 | 9 | 29\% | 22 | 71\% | 29 | 8 | 28\% | 21 | 72\% |
| Materials Engineering | 132 | 53 | 40\% | 79 | 60\% | 69 | 29 | 42\% | 40 | 58\% |
| Computer Science Education | 12 | 5 | 42\% | 7 | 58\% | 13 | 0 | 0\% | 13 | 100\% |
| Electrical Education | 5 | 2 | 40\% | 3 | 60\% | 1 | 1 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Mathematics-Physics | 30 | 7 | 23\% | 23 | 77\% | 18 | 7 | 39\% | 11 | 61\% |
| Management/Information Systems | 121 | 44 | 36\% | 77 | 64\% | 52 | 16 | 31\% | 36 | 69\% |
| Bio-Chemical Engineering | 51 | 37 | 73\% | 14 | 27\% | 36 | 27 | 75\% | 9 | 25\% |
| Physics with Computer Science | 24 | 3 | 13\% | 21 | 88\% | 13 | 1 | 8\% | 12 | 92\% |
| Mathematics with Computer Science | 30 | 9 | 30\% | 21 | 70\% | 14 | 4 | 29\% | 10 | 71\% |
| Medical Lab Science | 93 | 71 | 76\% | 22 | 24\% | 22 | 13 | 59\% | 9 | 41\% |
| Electrical Engineering/Physics | 253 | 28 | 11\% | 225 | 89\% | 61 | 12 | 20\% | 49 | 80\% |
| Total | 5706 | 2102 | 37\% | 3597 | 63\% | 2260 | 803 | 36\% | 1457 | 64\% |

* Number of applicants by faculty of first choice $\quad$ ** Number of accepted to their first or second choice (according to the faculty in which they enroll).


Figure 5: Undergraduate Applicants by Academic Unit-2006 Back to Text $\rightarrow$


Table 7: Undergraduate Students Enrolled by Academic Unit, Spring 2006 Back to Text $\rightarrow$

| Faculty | Women |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ |  |
| Mechanical Engineering | 59 | $9 \%$ | 669 |
| Electrical Engineering | 176 | $13 \%$ | 1386 |
| Physics | 29 | $15 \%$ | 199 |
| Aerospace Engineering | 60 | $19 \%$ | 313 |
| Computer Science | 197 | $23 \%$ | 874 |
| Civil \& Environmental Engineering | 143 | $23 \%$ | 626 |
| Mathematics | 59 | $37 \%$ | 158 |
| Industrial Eng. \& Management | 318 | $41 \%$ | 775 |
| Materials Engineering | 90 | $43 \%$ | 211 |
| Medical Science | 251 | $52 \%$ | 486 |
| Computer Science Education | 98 | $54 \%$ | 181 |
| Bio-Medical Engineering | 121 | $57 \%$ | 213 |
| Architecture \& Town Planning | 295 | $61 \%$ | 487 |
| Chemical Engineering | 210 | $61 \%$ | 342 |
| Chemistry | 110 | $70 \%$ | 158 |
| Biotechnology and Food Eng. | 225 | $70 \%$ | 323 |
| Biology | 222 | $74 \%$ | 299 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 6 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 4 1}$ |



Table 8: Undergraduate Students with Honors, Spring 2006
$\underline{\text { Back to Text } \rightarrow}$

|  |  | Women |  | Men |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\%$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\%$ |
| Total Students | 7757 | 2682 | 35 | 5075 | 65 |
| Total Students with Honors | 1386 | 455 | 33 | 931 | 67 |
| Students with Dean Honors | 1046 | 356 | 34 | 690 | 66 |
| Students with President Honors | 340 | 99 | 29 | 241 | 71 |

Figure 8: Undergraduate Students with Honors, Spring 2006 Back to Text $\rightarrow$


Table 9: Distribution of Applicants and Accepted Students to the Excellence Program (2000-2007) by Gender Back to Text $\rightarrow$

| year | Total Applicants | Applicants |  |  |  | Accepted |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Women |  | Men |  | Women |  | Men |  |
|  |  | N | \%* | N | \% | N | \%** | N | \% |
| 2000 | 153 | 31 | 20\% | 122 | 80\% | 2 | 13\% | 13 | 87\% |
| 2001 | 214 | 42 | 20\% | 172 | 80\% | 2 | 11\% | 16 | 89\% |
| 2002 | 168 | 38 | 23\% | 130 | 77\% | 3 | 23\% | 10 | 77\% |
| 2003 | 182 | 56 | 31\% | 126 | 69\% | 1 | 7\% | 14 | 93\% |
| 2004 | 152 | 43 | 28\% | 109 | 72\% | 2 | 11\% | 16 | 89\% |
| 2005 | 140 | 28 | 20\% | 112 | 80\% | 4 | $31 \%$ | 9 | 69\% |
| 2006 | 198 | 56 | 28\% | 142 | 72\% | 4 | 29\% | 10 | 71\% |
| 2007 | 225 | 73 | 32\% | 152 | 68\% | 9 | 50\% | 9 | 50\% |
| Total | 1207 | 367 | 30\% | 1065 | 88\% | 27 | 22\% | 97 | 78\% |

[^0]Figure 9: Distribution of Women and Men accepted to the Excellence Program, 2000-2007 Back to Text $\rightarrow$


Table 10: Undergraduate Assistance Scholarships in each Faculty, 2007

Back to Text $\rightarrow$

| Faculty | Women |  |  | Men |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Scholarship |  | Total Women* | Scholarship |  | Total Men* |
|  | \%*** | N** |  | \%*** | N** |  |
| Civil \& Environmental Engineering | 38 | 54 | 143 | 25 | 120 | 483 |
| Mechanical Engineering | 32 | 19 | 59 | 16 | 96 | 610 |
| Electrical Engineering | 8 | 14 | 176 | 7 | 83 | 1210 |
| Chemical Engineering | 16 | 34 | 210 | 11 | 15 | 132 |
| Biotechnology \& Food Eng. | 26 | 58 | 225 | 20 | 20 | 98 |
| Aerospace Engineering | 13 | 8 | 60 | 8 | 20 | 253 |
| Industrial Eng. \& Management | 16 | 51 | 318 | 11 | 49 | 457 |
| Mathematics | 42 | 25 | 59 | 20 | 20 | 99 |
| Physics | 14 | 4 | 29 | 12 | 20 | 170 |
| Chemistry | 55 | 61 | 110 | 31 | 15 | 48 |
| Biology | 39 | 87 | 222 | 34 | 26 | 77 |
| Architecture \& Town Planning | 16 | 46 | 295 | 14 | 26 | 192 |
| Education in Technology \& Science | 55 | 54 | 98 | 40 | 33 | 83 |
| Computer Science | 13 | 25 | 197 | 10 | 70 | 677 |
| Medicine | 28 | 71 | 251 | 14 | 33 | 235 |
| Materials Engineering | 24 | 22 | 90 | 18 | 22 | 121 |
| Bio-Medical Engineering | 29 | 35 | 121 | 22 | 20 | 92 |
| General Studies | 56 | 5 | 9 | 25 | 8 | 32 |
| Total | 25 | 673 | 2672 | 14 | 696 | 5069 |

* Numbers of students according to Table 5.
** Number of female/male scholarship recipients.
** Percentage of female scholarship recipients out of women students in each faculty/ male scholarship recipients out of male students in each faculty.

Table 11: Undergraduate Dropouts Percentage by Gender and Faculty Compared with Their Total Percentage, 2006
Back to Text $\rightarrow$

| Faculty | Women |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Women |  | Dropouts |  | Total Men |  | Dropouts |  |
|  | N* | **\% | N*** | \%**** | N* | **\% | N*** | \%***** |
| Civil \& Environmental Engineering | 157 | 22 | 18 | 11 | 567 | 78 | 63 | 11 |
| Mechanical Engineering | 77 | 11 | 7 | 9 | 640 | 89 | 34 | 5 |
| Electrical Engineering | 177 | 13 | 6 | 3 | 1226 | 87 | 52 | 4 |
| Chemical Engineering | 212 | 58 | 10 | 5 | 152 | 42 | 3 | 2 |
| Biotechnology \& Food Engineering | 282 | 70 | 16 | 6 | 119 | 30 | 5 | 4 |
| Aerospace Engineering | 74 | 22 | 8 | 11 | 266 | 78 | 19 | 7 |
| Industrial Eng. \& Management | 335 | 41 | 10 | 3 | 484 | 59 | 21 | 4 |
| Mathematics | 71 | 34 | 6 | 8 | 135 | 66 | 13 | 10 |
| Physics | 41 | 17 | 2 | 5 | 204 | 83 | 17 | 8 |
| Chemistry | 134 | 72 | 9 | 7 | 51 | 28 | 7 | 14 |
| Biology | 262 | 74 | 30 | 11 | 90 | 26 | 5 | 6 |
| Architecture \& Town Planning | 302 | 62 | 17 | 6 | 185 | 38 | 10 | 5 |
| Education in Technology \& Science | 116 | 50 | 19 | 16 | 116 | 50 | 25 | 22 |
| Computer Science | 214 | 24 | 9 | 4 | 694 | 76 | 44 | 6 |
| Medicine | 228 | 50 | 19 | 8 | 225 | 50 | 12 | 5 |
| Materials Engineering | 97 | 45 | 6 | 6 | 118 | 55 | 6 | 5 |
| Bio- Medical Eng. | 114 | 51 | 11 | 10 | 110 | 49 | 10 | 9 |
| General Academic Studies | 17 | 28 | 4 | 24 | 43 | 72 | 13 | 30 |
| Total | 2910 | 35 | 207 | 7 | 5425 | 65 | 359 | 7 |

* Number of women/men students in each faculty. ** Percentage of women or men students out of total.
$* * *$ Number of women/men dropouts. ${ }^{* * * *}$ Percentage of women dropouts out of women students/men dropouts out of men students.
Note: These data are not compatible with Table 5 in this report, but rather with the data in the 2006 report, since the 2006 dropout data are the most recent available.


# Appendix C: Tables and Figures - Graduate Student Body 

Table 12: Newly Registered Master's Students, Winter 2006
Percent of accepted applicants of each gender who actually registered
Back to Text $\rightarrow$

| Faculty | Women Registered |  | Men Registered |  | Total Students Registered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N. | \% | N. | \% |  |
| Civil \& Environmental Eng. | 14 | 33\% | 28 | 67\% | 42 |
| Mechanical Engineering | 6 | 19\% | 25 | 81\% | 31 |
| Electrical Engineering | 9 | 19\% | 38 | 81\% | 47 |
| Chemical Engineering | 4 | 44\% | 5 | 56\% | 9 |
| Biotechnology and Food Eng. | 15 | 79\% | 4 | 21\% | 19 |
| Aerospace Engineering | 5 | 23\% | 17 | 77\% | 22 |
| Industrial \& Management Eng. | 26 | 57\% | 20 | 43\% | 46 |
| Mathematics | 3 | 50\% | 3 | 50\% | 6 |
| Physics | 3 | 12\% | 23 | 88\% | 26 |
| Chemistry | 13 | 76\% | 4 | 24\% | 17 |
| Biology | 3 | $38 \%$ | 5 | 63\% | 8 |
| Applied Mathematics | 1 | 50\% | 1 | 50\% | 2 |
| Architecture \& Town Planning | 17 | 52\% | 16 | 48\% | 33 |
| Computer Science | 1 | 8\% | 12 | 92\% | 13 |
| Medicine | 28 | 82\% | 6 | 18\% | 34 |
| Materials Engineering | 4 | 40\% | 6 | 60\% | 10 |
| Bio-Medical Engineering | 4 | 44\% | 5 | 56\% | 9 |
| Nano-Science \& Nano-Technology | 2 | 29\% | 5 | 71\% | 7 |
| Education in Technology \& Sci. | 4 | 50\% | 4 | 50\% | 8 |
| Business Management | 19 | 23\% | 64 | 77\% | 83 |
| Quality Assurance | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 100\% | 1 |
| Biotechnology | 3 | 75\% | 1 | 25\% | 4 |
| Master of Engineering (general) | 3 | 60\% | 2 | 40\% | 5 |
| Design \& Manufacturing Eng. | 1 | 14\% | 6 | 86\% | 7 |
| Engineering Systems | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 100\% | 1 |
| Total | 188 | 38\% | 302 | 62\% | 490 |

Table 13: Newly Registered Doctoral Students, Winter 2006
$\underline{\text { Back to Text } \rightarrow}$

| Faculty | Total Students | Men Registered |  | Women Registered |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Registered | \% | N | \% | N |
| Civil \& Environmental Eng. | 10 | 60\% | 6 | 40\% | 4 |
| Mechanical Engineering | 3 | 100\% | 3 | 0\% | 0 |
| Electrical Engineering | 8 | 88\% | 7 | 13\% | 1 |
| Chemical Engineering | 4 | 25\% | 1 | 75\% | 3 |
| Aerospace Engineering | 1 | 100\% | 1 | 0\% | 0 |
| Industrial \& Management Eng. | 9 | 56\% | 5 | 44\% | 4 |
| Mathematics | 1 | 0\% | 0 | 100\% | 1 |
| Physics | 6 | 50\% | 3 | 50\% | 3 |
| Chemistry | 3 | 33\% | 1 | 67\% | 2 |
| Biology | 5 | 40\% | 2 | 60\% | 3 |
| Architecture \& Town Planning | 6 | 50\% | 3 | 50\% | 3 |
| Computer Science | 1 | 100\% | 1 | 0\% | 0 |
| Medicine | 9 | 33\% | 3 | 67\% | 6 |
| Materials Engineering | 2 | 100\% | 2 | 0\% | 0 |
| Nano-Science \& Nano-Technology | 1 | 0\% | 0 | 100\% | 1 |
| Education in Technology \& Sci. | 5 | 0\% | 0 | 100\% | 5 |
| Biotechnology | 2 | 50\% | 1 | 50\% | 1 |
| Total | 76 | $\mathbf{5 1 \%}$ | 39 | 49\% | 37 |

Table 14: Percentage of Enrolled Women Students by Graduate Program and Degree, Spring 2006

## Back to Text $\rightarrow$

| Graduate Program | Total Graduate |  | Master |  |  | Doctorate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Number | Women \% | Total | Women |  | Total | Women |  |
|  |  |  |  | Number | \% |  | Number | \% |
| Civil \& Environmental | 310 | 34\% | 225 | 77 | $34 \%$ | 85 | 29 | $34 \%$ |
| Architecture \& Town Planning | 272 | 64\% | 238 | 156 | 66\% | 34 | 18 | 53\% |
| Mechanical Engineering | 217 | 12\% | 164 | 18 | 11\% | 53 | 9 | 17\% |
| Materials Engineering | 83 | 49\% | 60 | 32 | 53\% | 23 | 9 | $39 \%$ |
| Electrical Engineering | 379 | 12\% | 306 | 39 | 13\% | 73 | 6 | 8\% |
| Chemistry | 129 | 66\% | 68 | 46 | 68\% | 61 | 39 | 64\% |
| Chemical Engineering | 83 | 41\% | 60 | 25 | 42\% | 23 | 9 | 39\% |
| Agriculture Engineering | 7 | 71\% | 6 | 4 | 67\% | 1 | 1 | 100\% |
| Biotechnology and Food Eng. | 86 | 67\% | 58 | 42 | 72\% | 28 | 16 | 57\% |
| Physics | 179 | 16\% | 119 | 18 | 15\% | 60 | 10 | 17\% |
| Mathematics | 46 | 24\% | 23 | 7 | 30\% | 23 | 4 | 17\% |
| Computer Science | 196 | 19\% | 123 | 24 | 20\% | 73 | 13 | 18\% |
| Aerospace Engineering | 165 | 17\% | 135 | 20 | 15\% | 30 | 8 | 27\% |
| Industrial Eng. \& Management | 356 | 54\% | 283 | 151 | 53\% | 73 | 42 | 58\% |
| Education in Technology | 78 | 73\% | 40 | 27 | 68\% | 38 | 30 | 79\% |
| Medical Science | 272 | 74\% | 128 | 103 | 80\% | 144 | 98 | 68\% |
| Bio-Medical Engineering | 92 | 37\% | 68 | 25 | 37\% | 24 | 9 | 38\% |
| Biology | 107 | 69\% | 47 | 32 | 68\% | 60 | 42 | 70\% |
| Polymeric engineering | 15 | 27\% | 14 | 3 | 21\% | 1 | 1 | 100\% |
| Biotechnology | 30 | 70\% | 18 | 11 | 61\% | 12 | 10 | 83\% |
| Quality Assurance | 96 | 57\% | 86 | 45 | 52\% | 10 | 10 | 100\% |
| Magister in Eng. (General) | 37 | 57\% | 37 | 21 | 57\% | 0 | 0 | - |
| Applied Mathematics | 42 | 29\% | 25 | 5 | 20\% | 17 | 7 | 41\% |
| Business Management | 183 | 20\% | 183 | 37 | 20\% | 0 | 0 | - |
| Management/Information Sys. | 15 | 0\% | 15 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | - |
| Design \& Manufacturing Eng. | 12 | 8\% | 12 | 1 | 8\% | 0 | 0 | - |
| Total | 3487 | 40\% | 2541 | 969 | 38\% | 946 | 420 | 44\% |



## Table 15: Comparison of Women and Men

 Graduate Students with Honors - 2006
## Back to Text $\rightarrow$

|  | Total | Women |  | Men |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ |
| Master's Students With Average Grade 91-100 | 27 | 11 | $41 \%$ | 16 | $59 \%$ |
| Master's Students With Average Grade 84- <br> 90.99 | 105 | 42 | $40 \%$ | 63 | $60 \%$ |
| Total Master's Students With Honors | 132 | 53 | $40 \%$ | 79 | $60 \%$ |
| Total Master's Students Graduating | 619 | 227 | $37 \%$ | 392 | $63 \%$ |

Figure 11: Comparison of Women and Men Graduate Students with Honors - 2006

Back to Text $\rightarrow$


Table 16: Graduate Scholarship Holders (3-4 units), Winter, 2006
Back to Text $\rightarrow$

| Faculty | 4 Portion Scholarship |  |  |  | 3 Portion Scholarship |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Men Holders |  | Women Holders |  | Men Holders |  | Women |  |
|  | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N |
| Civil \& Environmental Engineering | 66\% | 51 | 34\% | 26 | 47\% | 15 | 53\% | 17 |
| Mechanical Engineering | 77\% | 47 | 23\% | 14 | 77\% | 10 | 23\% | 3 |
| Electrical Engineering | 86\% | 55 | 14\% | 9 | 100\% | 4 | 0\% | 0 |
| Chemical Engineering | 46\% | 13 | 54\% | 15 | 44\% | 4 | 56\% | 5 |
| Food Eng. | 47\% | 30 | 53\% | 34 | 32\% | 9 | 68\% | 19 |
| Agricultural Engineering | 100\% | 1 | 0\% | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| Aerospace Engineering | 56\% | 15 | 44\% | 12 | 50\% | 2 | 50\% | 2 |
| Industrial Eng. \& Management | 30\% | 17 | 70\% | 40 | 44\% | 34 | 56\% | 43 |
| Mathematics | 81\% | 13 | 19\% | 3 | 67\% | 6 | 33\% | 3 |
| Physics | 88\% | 52 | 12\% | 7 | 87\% | 34 | 13\% | 5 |
| Chemistry | 29\% | 44 | 71\% | 110 | 40\% | 4 | 60\% | 6 |
| Biology | 29\% | 37 | 71\% | 91 | 100\% | 1 | 0\% | 0 |
| Applied Mathematics | 50\% | 3 | 50\% | 3 | 100\% | 2 | 0\% | 0 |
| Architecture \& Town Planning | 21\% | 8 | 79\% | 31 | 31\% | 5 | 69\% | 11 |
| Computer Science | 70\% | 62 | 30\% | 27 | 70\% | 14 | 30\% | 6 |
| Medical Science | 24\% | 76 | 76\% | 247 | 40\% | 8 | 60\% | 12 |
| Materials Engineering | 33\% | 16 | 67\% | 33 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| Bio-Medical Engineering | 33\% | 6 | 67\% | 12 | 41\% | 13 | 59\% | 19 |
| Nano- Technology | 71\% | 5 | 29\% | 2 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| Education in Technology \& Science | 0\% | 0 | 100\% | 10 | 42\% | 5 | 58\% | 7 |
| Quality Assurance | 0\% | 0 | 100\% | 4 | 100\% | 3 | 0\% | 0 |
| Biotechnology | 18\% | 4 | 82\% | 18 | 20\% | 3 | 80\% | 12 |
| Total | 43\% | 555 | 57\% | 748 | 51\% | 176 | 49\% | 170 |

## Table 16 (Con.): Graduate Scholarship Holders (5 units), Winter 2006

| Faculty | 5 Portion Scholarship |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Men Holders |  | Women Holders |  |
|  | $\%$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\%$ | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| Civil \& Environmental Engineering | $62 \%$ | 68 | $38 \%$ | 42 |
| Mechanical Engineering | $86 \%$ | 61 | $14 \%$ | 10 |
| Electrical Engineering | $89 \%$ | 140 | $11 \%$ | 18 |
| Chemical Engineering | $61 \%$ | 52 | $39 \%$ | 33 |
| Food Eng. | $0 \%$ | 0 | $100 \%$ | 5 |
| Aerospace Engineering | $82 \%$ | 32 | $18 \%$ | 7 |
| Industrial Eng. \& Management | $51 \%$ | 47 | $49 \%$ | 45 |
| Mathematics | $91 \%$ | 32 | $9 \%$ | 3 |
| Physics | $81 \%$ | 46 | $19 \%$ | 11 |
| Chemistry | $48 \%$ | 13 | $52 \%$ | 14 |
| Biology | $34 \%$ | 24 | $66 \%$ | 47 |
| Applied Mathematics | $46 \%$ | 6 | $54 \%$ | 7 |
| Architecture \& Town Planning | $60 \%$ | 18 | $40 \%$ | 12 |
| Computer Science | $79 \%$ | 103 | $21 \%$ | 28 |
| Medical Science | $38 \%$ | 21 | $62 \%$ | 34 |
| Materials Engineering | $57 \%$ | 28 | $43 \%$ | 21 |
| Bio-Medical Engineering | $42 \%$ | 11 | $58 \%$ | 15 |
| Nano- Technology | $71 \%$ | 15 | $29 \%$ | 6 |
| Education in Technology \& Science | $0 \%$ | 0 | $100 \%$ | 5 |
| Quality Assurance | $0 \%$ | 0 | $100 \%$ | 8 |
| Biotechnology | $20 \%$ | 1 | $80 \%$ | 4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 5}$ |

Table 17: Graduate Dropouts Percentage by Gender and Faculty
Compared with Their Total Percentage, $2006 \quad \underline{\text { Back to Text } \rightarrow}$

| Faculty | Women |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Women |  | Dropouts |  | Total Men |  | Dropouts |  |
|  | N* | \%** | N*** | \%**** | N* | \%** | N*** | \%**** |
| Civil \& Environmental Eng. | 117 | 34\% | 11 | 9\% | 225 | 66\% | 21 | 9\% |
| Architecture \& Town Planning | 194 | 64\% | 20 | 10\% | 111 | 36\% | 13 | 12\% |
| Mechanical Engineering | 32 | 12\% | 5 | 16\% | 210 | 88\% | 20 | 10\% |
| Materials Engineering | 43 | 49\% | 2 | 5\% | 47 | 51\% | 5 | 11\% |
| Electrical Engineering | 49 | 12\% | 4 | 8\% | 359 | 88\% | 25 | 7\% |
| Chemistry | 86 | 66\% | 1 | 1\% | 48 | 34\% | 4 | 8\% |
| Chemical Engineering | 37 | 41\% | 3 | 8\% | 53 | 59\% | 4 | 8\% |
| Biotechnology and Food Eng. | 66 | 67\% | 8 | 12\% | 31 | 33\% | 3 | 10\% |
| Physics | 32 | 16\% | 4 | 13\% | 161 | 84\% | 10 | 6\% |
| Mathematics | 14 | 24\% | 3 | 21\% | 42 | 76\% | 7 | 17\% |
| Computer Science | 38 | 19\% | 1 | 3\% | 174 | 81\% | 15 | 9\% |
| Aerospace Engineering | 29 | 17\% | 1 | 3\% | 154 | 83\% | 17 | 11\% |
| Industrial Eng. \& Management | 215 | 54\% | 22 | 10\% | 185 | 46\% | 22 | 12\% |
| Education in Technology \& Science | 63 | 73\% | 6 | 10\% | 24 | 27\% | 3 | 13\% |
| Medical Science | 202 | 74\% | 1 | 0\% | 71 | 26\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Bio-Medical Engineering | 39 | 37\% | 5 | 13\% | 63 | 63\% | 5 | 8\% |
| Biology | 80 | 69\% | 6 | 8\% | 33 | 31\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Polimeric engineering | 4 | 27\% | 0 | 0\% | 12 | 73\% | 1 | 8\% |
| Quality Assurance | 60 | 57\% | 5 | 8\% | 47 | 43\% | 6 | 13\% |
| Magister in Eng. (General) | 23 | 57\% | 2 | 9\% | 22 | 43\% | 6 | 27\% |
| Applied Mathematics | 15 | 29\% | 3 | 20\% | 33 | 71\% | 3 | 9\% |
| Buisness Management | 39 | 20\% | 2 | 5\% | 157 | 80\% | 11 | 7\% |
| Management/Information Systems | 0 | 0\% | 0 | - | 16 | 100\% | 1 | 6\% |
| Design and Manufacturing Eng. | 1 | 8\% | 0 | 0\% | 13 | 92\% | 2 | 15\% |
| Total | 1562 | 40\% | 199 | 13\% | 2523 | 60\% | 436 | 17\% |

[^1]Table 18: Percentage of Women Graduate Students Graduating
May 2006
$\underline{\text { Back to Text } \rightarrow}$

| Graduate <br> Program | Total |  | Master's |  |  | Doctorate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { Women } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total | Women |  | Total | Women |  |
|  |  |  |  | N | \% |  | N | \% |
| Quality Assurance | 34 | 68\% | 34 | 23 | 68\% |  |  |  |
| Architecture \& Town Planning | 49 | 69\% | 42 | 30 | 71\% | 7 | 4 | 57\% |
| Biology | 21 | 76\% | 17 | 14 | 82\% | 4 | 2 | 50\% |
| Education in Technology \& Science | 25 | 80\% | 18 | 14 | 78\% | 7 | 6 | 86\% |
| Civil \& Environmental Engineering | 49 | 39\% | 37 | 14 | 38\% | 12 | 5 | 42\% |
| Bio-Medical Engineering | 16 | 69\% | 15 | 10 | 67\% | 1 | 1 | 100\% |
| Agricultural Engineering | 9 | 22\% | 6 | 1 | 17\% | 3 | 1 | 33\% |
| Chemical Engineering | 13 | 54\% | 8 | 4 | 50\% | 5 | 3 | 60\% |
| Aerospace Engineering | 19 | 26\% | 15 | 4 | 27\% | 4 | 1 | 25\% |
| Biotechnology \& Food Eng. | 18 | 72\% | 16 | 11 | 69\% | 2 | 2 | 100\% |
| Materials Engineering | 7 | 14\% | 7 | 1 | 14\% |  |  |  |
| Electrical Engineering | 46 | 7\% | 37 | 3 | 8\% | 9 |  | 0\% |
| Mechanical Engineering | 34 | 0\% | 27 |  | 0\% | 7 |  | 0\% |
| Engineering Systems | 60 | 15\% | 60 | 9 | 15\% |  |  |  |
| Polymeric Engineering | 1 | 100\% | 1 | 1 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Industrial Eng. \& Management | 35 | 40\% | 29 | 14 | 48\% | 6 |  | 0\% |
| Business Management | 101 | 26\% | 101 | 26 | 26\% |  |  |  |
| Biotechnology | 7 | 71\% | 7 | 5 | 71\% |  |  |  |
| Chemistry | 23 | 52\% | 17 | 9 | 53\% | 6 | 3 | 50\% |
| Computer Science | 45 | 7\% | 36 |  | 0\% | 9 | 3 | 33\% |
| Mathematics | 22 | 23\% | 14 | 4 | 29\% | 8 | 1 | 13\% |
| Applied Mathematics | 9 | 33\% | 6 | 2 | 33\% | 3 | 1 | 33\% |
| Physics | 26 | 12\% | 23 | 2 | 9\% | 3 | 1 | 33\% |
| Medicine | 53 | 66\% | 25 | 20 | 80\% | 28 | 15 | 54\% |
| Design \& Manufacturing Eng. | 5 | 20\% | 5 | 1 | 20\% |  |  |  |
| Master of Engineering (general) | 16 | 31\% | 16 | 5 | 31\% |  |  |  |
| Total | 743 | 37\% | 619 | 227 | 37\% | 124 | 49 | 40\% |



## Appendix D: Tables and Figures - Women Faculty Members

Table 20: Women Faculty Members by Rank - Time Series 1997-2007 Back to Text $\rightarrow$

|  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  | 2002 |  | 2001 |  | 2000 |  | 1999 |  | 1998 |  | 1997 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 15\% | 78 | 14\% | 77 | 13\% | 74 | 13\% | 72 | 12\% | 71 | 12\% | 71 | 10\% | 63 | 10\% | 59 | 10\% | 63 | 11\% | 66 | 10\% | 64 |
| Total | 100\% | 533 | 100\% | 553 | 100\% | 560 | 100\% | 568 | 100\% | 584 | 100\% | 604 | 100\% | 601 | 100\% | 607 | 100\% | 616 | 100\% | 617 | 100\% | 624 |
| Full Professor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 5\% | 11 | 5\% | 11 | 4\% | 9 | 3\% | 7 | 4\% | 8 | 4\% | 9 | 5\% | 11 | 4\% | 10 | 4\% | 11 | 4\% | 11 | 4\% | 11 |
| Total | 100\% | 213 | 100\% | 216 | 100\% | 218 | 100\% | 222 | 100\% | 228 | 100\% | 232 | 100\% | 244 | 100\% | 253 | 100\% | 255 | 100\% | 249 | 100\% | 246 |
| Associate Professor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 15\% | 28 | 12\% | 22 | 12\% | 23 | 12\% | 25 | 12\% | 23 | 11\% | 22 | 10\% | 19 | 11\% | 21 | 11\% | 21 | 9\% | 16 | 10\% | 18 |
| Total | 100\% | 182 | 100\% | 186 | 100\% | 191 | 100\% | 201 | 100\% | 200 | 100\% | 201 | 100\% | 190 | 100\% | 192 | 100\% | 183 | 100\% | 172 | 100\% | 177 |
| Senior Lecturer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 28\% | 38 | 29\% | 42 | 27\% | 40 | 27\% | 38 | 25\% | 37 | 24\% | 37 | 20\% | 30 | 16\% | 23 | 17\% | 25 | 19\% | 29 | 19\% | 29 |
| Total | 100\% | 134 | 100\% | 146 | 100\% | 146 | 100\% | 140 | 100\% | 146 | 100\% | 153 | 100\% | 147 | 100\% | 142 | 100\% | 148 | 100\% | 152 | 100\% | 156 |
| Lecturer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 25\% | 1 | 40\% | 2 | 40\% | 2 | 40\% | 2 | 30\% | 3 | 17\% | 3 | 15\% | 3 | 25\% | 5 | 20\% | 6 | 23\% | 10 | 13\% | 6 |
| Total | 100\% | 4 | 100\% | 5 | 100\% | 5 | 100\% | 5 | 100\% | 10 | 100\% | 18 | 100\% | 20 | 100\% | 20 | 100\% | 30 | 100\% | 44 | 100\% | 45 |

Figure 13: Percent of Women Faculty by Rank - Time Series 1996-2007

*The Figure does not include the Lecturer rank because this rank is being phased out and therefore the percentages are misleading.

Table 22: Percentage of Women Faculty Members within Each Rank by Academic Unit 2006
Back to Text $\rightarrow$

| Faculty | Total Ranks |  |  | Full Professor |  |  | Associate Professor |  |  | Senior Lecturer |  |  | Lecturer |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Women |  | Total | Women |  | Total | Women |  | Total | Women |  | Total | Women |  |
|  |  | N | \% |  | N | \% |  | N | \% |  | N | \% |  | N | \% |
| Civil \& Environmental Eng. | 63 | 4 | 6\% | 20 | 1 | 5\% | 20 | 1 | 5\% | 23 | 2 | 4\% |  |  |  |
| Architecture \& Town Planning | 26 | 17 | 65\% | 4 | 4 | 100\% | 10 | 6 | 60\% | 12 | 7 | 58\% |  |  |  |
| Mechanical Engineering | 35 | 2 | 6\% | 18 |  | 0\% | 10 | 1 | 10\% | 7 | 1 | 14\% |  |  |  |
| Materials Engineering | 17 | 1 | 6\% | 9 |  | 0\% | 5 |  | 0\% | 3 | 1 | 33\% |  |  |  |
| Electrical Engineering | 46 | 4 | 9\% | 19 |  | 0\% | 14 | 3 | 21\% | 13 | 1 | 8\% |  |  |  |
| Chemistry | 25 | 2 | 8\% | 12 | 1 | 8\% | 9 |  | 0\% | 4 | 1 | 25\% |  |  |  |
| Chemical Engineering | 17 | 4 | 24\% | 8 |  | 0\% | 4 | 2 | 50\% | 5 | 2 | 40\% |  |  |  |
| Biotechnology \& Food Eng. | 12 | 5 | 42\% | 3 |  | 0\% | 3 |  | 0\% | 5 | 5 | 100\% | 1 |  | 0\% |
| Physics | 40 | 2 | 5\% | 24 |  | 0\% | 13 | 2 | 15\% | 3 |  | 0\% |  |  |  |
| Mathematics | 44 | 1 | $2 \%$ | 27 |  | 0\% | 14 | 1 | 7\% | 3 |  | 0\% |  |  |  |
| Computer Science | 48 | 3 | 6\% | 22 | 2 | 9\% | 17 | 1 | 6\% | 9 |  | 0\% |  |  |  |
| Aerospace Engineering | 26 | 1 | 4\% | 12 |  | 0\% | 10 |  | 0\% | 4 | 1 | 25\% |  |  |  |
| Industrial Eng. \& Management. | 46 | 8 | 17\% | 15 | 2 | 13\% | 17 | 3 | 18\% | 11 | 2 | 18\% | 3 | 1 | 33\% |
| Humanities and Arts | 1 | 1 | 100\% | 1 | 1 | 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education Technology \& Science | 9 | 6 | 67\% |  |  |  | 5 | 4 | 80\% | 4 | 2 | 50\% |  |  |  |
| Medical Science | 43 | 10 | 23\% | 13 |  | 0\% | 16 | 2 | 13\% | 14 | 8 | 57\% |  |  |  |
| Biomedical Engineering | 12 | 2 | 17\% | 4 |  | 0\% | 2 |  | 0\% | 6 | 2 | 33\% |  |  |  |
| Biology | 23 | 5 | 22\% | 2 |  | 0\% | 13 | 2 | 15\% | 8 | 3 | 38\% |  |  |  |
| Total | 533 | 78 | 15\% | 213 | 11 | 5\% | 182 | 28 | 15\% | 134 | 38 | 28\% | 4 | 1 | 25\% |





Table 23: Expected Retirements in the Next 3 Years

## $\underline{\text { Back to Text } \rightarrow}$

|  | Women | \% <br> Women | Men | Men <br> Men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2006-2007$ | 1 | $6 \%$ | 16 | $94 \%$ |
| $2007-2008$ | 2 | $11 \%$ | 17 | $89 \%$ |
| $2008-2009$ | 2 | $17 \%$ | 10 | $83 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ |

Table 24: Senior Top Management Members 2007
Back to Text $\rightarrow$

| Senior Senate | Committee Members |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women |  | Men |  |  |
|  | No. | $\%$ | No. | \% | Total |
| President | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ | 6 | $100 \%$ | 6 |
| Technion Deans | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ | 4 | $100 \%$ | 4 |
| Academic Unit Deans | $\mathbf{1}$ | $6 \%$ | 17 | $94 \%$ | 18 |
| Members Appointed Senate | $\mathbf{2}$ | $5 \%$ | 36 | $95 \%$ | 38 |
| Appointed Senate Members by Academic Unit | $\mathbf{6}$ | $18 \%$ | 27 | $82 \%$ | 33 |
| Total | $\mathbf{9}$ | $9 \%$ | 90 | $91 \%$ | 99 |

Table 25: Elected Senate Committees
$\underline{\text { Back to Text } \rightarrow}$

| Name of Committee | Committee Members |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men |  | T |  |
|  | No. | \% | No. | $\mathbf{\%}$ |  |
| Steering Committee | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ | 16 | $100 \%$ | 16 |
| Standing Comm. For Undergrad. \& Graduate <br> Studies | $\mathbf{4}$ | $20 \%$ | 16 | $80 \%$ | 20 |
| Sub-committee for approving courses | $\mathbf{1}$ | $20 \%$ | 4 | $80 \%$ | 5 |
| Appointments Comm. for Tenure and Senior <br> Faculty | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ | 9 | $100 \%$ | 9 |
| Committee For Honorary Degrees and Awards | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ | 12 | $100 \%$ | 12 |
| Appointments Comm. for non-tenure track faculty | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ | 6 | $100 \%$ | 6 |
| Academic Development Committee | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ | 9 | $100 \%$ | 9 |
| Research Committee | $\mathbf{2}$ | $40 \%$ | 3 | $60 \%$ | 5 |
| Professor Representatives on the Board of <br> Governors and the Steering Committee Group B | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ | 5 | $100 \%$ | 5 |
| Search Committee For Technion-wide Deans | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ | 4 | $100 \%$ | 4 |
| Search Committee For Presidential Appointments | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ | 3 | $100 \%$ | 3 |
| Inter Senate committee of universities for defending <br> the academic independence of the Universities | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ | 3 | $100 \%$ | 3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7}$ | $7 \%$ | 90 | $93 \%$ | 97 |

## Table 26: Appointed Senate Committees

## Back to Text $\rightarrow$

| Name of Committee | Committee Members |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women |  | Men |  | T |
|  | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ |  |
| Appointments Comm. For Honorary Degrees | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ | 6 | $100 \%$ | 6 |
| Harvey Prize Comm. | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ | 6 | $100 \%$ | 6 |
| Computer Development and Steering Comm. | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ | 7 | $100 \%$ | 7 |
|  <br> External Studies | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ | 9 | $100 \%$ | 9 |
| Senate representatives on the BOG Board of <br> Trustees | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ | 6 | $100 \%$ | 6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ | 34 | $100 \%$ | 34 |

Table 27: Appointed Committees under the responsibility of the Vice President for Academic Affairs

Back to Text $\rightarrow$

| Back to Text |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ |  |
| Senate Faculty Appointments Committee | $\mathbf{1}$ | $9 \%$ | 10 | $91 \%$ | 11 |
| Faculty Prize Committee | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ | 7 | $100 \%$ | 7 |
| Research Professor Appointments Comm. | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ | 8 | $100 \%$ | 8 |
| Library Committee | $\mathbf{1}$ | $20 \%$ | 4 | $80 \%$ | 5 |
| Post-Doctoral Awards Committee | $\mathbf{1}$ | $14 \%$ | 6 | $86 \%$ | 7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3}$ | $8 \%$ | 35 | $92 \%$ | 38 |

Table 28: Appointed Committees under the responsibility of the Vice President for Research

Back to Text $\rightarrow$

| Name of Committee | Committee Members |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men |  | T |  |  |
|  | No. | $\%$ | No. | \% |  |  |
| Senate Reps. to the Advisory Council of the <br> Neaman Institute | $\mathbf{1}$ | $14 \%$ | 6 | $86 \%$ | 7 |  |
| Helsinki Committee On Ethics in Human Clinical <br> Experiments | $\mathbf{1}$ | $20 \%$ | 4 | $80 \%$ | 5 |  |
| Research Prize Committee | $\mathbf{1}$ | $14 \%$ | 6 | $86 \%$ | 7 |  |
| Appointments Comm. to the Research Authority | $\mathbf{1}$ | $20 \%$ | 4 | $80 \%$ | 5 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{4}$ | $17 \%$ | 20 | $83 \%$ | 24 |  |

Table 29: Other Committees under the responsibility of the Vice President for Academic Affairs

| Name of Committee | Committee Members |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women |  | Men |  | T |
|  | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ |  |
| Sabbatical Committee | 0 | $0 \%$ | 4 | $100 \%$ | 4 |
| Professional Committees Chair | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ | 8 | $100 \%$ | 8 |
| Special Committee for nominating Research Professors | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ | 7 | $100 \%$ | 7 |
| Election Committee | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ | 2 | $100 \%$ | 2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ | 21 | $100 \%$ | 21 |

## Table 30: Total of Committees

| Name of Committee | Committee Members |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women |  | Men |  | T |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% |  |
| Elected Senate Committees (Table 25) | $\mathbf{7}$ | $7 \%$ | 90 | $93 \%$ | 97 |
| Appointed Senate Committees (Table 26) | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ | 34 | $100 \%$ | 34 |
| Appointed Committees under the responsibility of the <br> Vice President for Academic Affairs (Table 27) | $\mathbf{3}$ | $8 \%$ | 35 | $92 \%$ | 38 |
| Appointed Committees under the responsibility of the <br> Vice President for Research (Table 28) | $\mathbf{4}$ | $17 \%$ | 20 | $83 \%$ | 24 |
| Other Committees under the responsibility of the Vice <br> President for Academic Affairs (Table 29) | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ | 21 | $100 \%$ | 21 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $7 \%$ | 200 | $93 \%$ | 214 |

## Table 31: Non-Tenure Track Positions

Back to Text $\rightarrow$

|  | 2004-2005 |  |  | 2005-2006 |  |  | 2006-2007 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women |  | Total | Women |  | Total | Women |  | Total |
|  | No. | \% |  | No. | \% |  | No. | \% |  |
| Research Track | 3 | 43\% | 7 | 2 | 67\% | 3 | 2 | 100\% | 2 |
| Regular Clinical Track | 9 | 11\% | 81 | 11 | 13\% | 85 | 10 | 13\% | 79 |
| Clinical Track | 47 | 22\% | 211 | 42 | 21\% | 203 | 43 | 21\% | 207 |
| Internal adjuncts | 232 | 35\% | 668 | 225 | 29\% | 763 | 258 | 31\% | 839 |
| External adjuncts | 370 | 40\% | 928 | 337 | 37\% | 903 | 352 | 38\% | 920 |
| Total | 661 | 35\% | 1895 | 617 | 32\% | 1957 | 665 | 32\% | 2047 |


[^0]:    * Percentage of female applicants out of total applicants.
    ** Percentage of accepted female students out of all accepted.

[^1]:    * Number of women/men graduate students in each faculty (Calculated according to data of enrolled (active) students presented in table $14+$ the dropout students).
    ** Percentage of women or men active students out of total enrolled active students (according to Table 14).
    *** Number of women/men dropouts
    **** Percentage of women dropouts out of women students enrolled + dropout / men dropouts out of men students enrolled + dropout.

