Geriatric Conditions and Disability: The Health and Retirement Study

Christine T. Cigolle, MD, MPH; Kenneth M. Langa, MD, PhD; Mohammed U. Kabeto, MS; Zhiyi Tian, MA, MS; and Caroline S. Blaum, MD, MS

Background: Geriatric conditions, such as incontinence and falling, are not part of the traditional disease model of medicine and may be overlooked in the care of older adults. The prevalence of geriatric conditions and their effect on health and disability in older adults has not been investigated in population-based samples.

Objective: To investigate the prevalence of geriatric conditions and their association with dependency in activities of daily living by using nationally representative data.

Design: Cross-sectional analysis.

Setting: Health and Retirement Study survey administered in 2000.

Participants: Adults age 65 years or older ($n = 11\,093$, representing 34.5 million older Americans) living in the community and in nursing homes.

Measurements: Geriatric conditions (cognitive impairment, falls, incontinence, low body mass index, dizziness, vision impairment, hearing impairment) and dependency in activities of daily living (bathing, dressing, eating, transferring, toileting).

Results: Of adults age 65 years or older, 49.9% had 1 or more geriatric conditions. Some conditions were as prevalent as common chronic diseases, such as heart disease and diabetes. The association between geriatric conditions and dependency in activities of daily living was strong and significant, even after adjustment for demographic characteristics and chronic diseases (adjusted risk ratio, 2.1 [95% CI, 1.9 to 2.4] for 1 geriatric condition, 3.6 [CI, 3.1 to 4.1] for 2 conditions, and 6.6 [CI, 5.6 to 7.6] for $\geq$3 conditions).

Limitations: The study was cross-sectional and based on self-reported data. Because measures were limited by the survey questions, important conditions, such as delirium and frailty, were not assessed. Survival biases may influence the estimates.

Conclusions: Geriatric conditions are similar in prevalence to chronic diseases in older adults and in some cases are as strongly associated with disability. The findings suggest that geriatric conditions, although not a target of current models of health care, are important to the health and function of older adults and should be addressed in their care.

Geriatric conditions, such as incontinence and falling, fall outside the traditional disease model of clinical medicine and thus may be overlooked in the care of older adults (1). Yet, these conditions are a necessary focus for geriatricians in their management of patients. A recent American Geriatrics Society statement includes "expertise in the diagnosis and care" of geriatric conditions among its core attributes and competencies (2). Although certain geriatric conditions have been studied extensively, the aggregate effect of those conditions on health and disability in the older adult population has not been investigated.

One obstacle is the lack of consensus on the definition of a geriatric condition or geriatric syndrome (3–5). Citing an early edition of the Geriatrics Review Syllabus and drawing on other sources (6), Flacker (7) noted that geriatric syndromes are understood to have the following features: They occur in older, especially vulnerable, adults; are multifactorial in cause; are precipitated by a variety of acute insults; are typically episodic in nature; and are often followed by functional decline. This lack of consensus on the definitions leads to variation in what is considered a geriatric condition or geriatric syndrome (4, 5). For instance, there is consensus that cognitive impairment, falls, incontinence, and delirium are geriatric syndromes, but less agreement that malnutrition and neglect and abuse also qualify. In this paper, we use the term *geriatric condition* and include all conditions for which survey data were available. Use of the term *geriatric condition*, to indicate a collection of symptoms and signs common in older adults not necessarily related to a specific disease, avoids the ambiguity associated with the term *syndrome* (2).

We examined the association, both individually and in aggregate, between geriatric conditions and dependency in activities of daily living (ADLs) in older Americans. We used nationally representative data that include information on geriatric conditions, chronic diseases, disability, and demographic characteristics. We hypothesized that having 1 or more geriatric conditions is strongly associated with ADL dependency, independent of prevalent diseases.

METHODS

Data

We obtained data from the 2000 wave of the Health and Retirement Study (HRS), a biennial longitudinal health interview survey of a cohort of adults age 50 years or older in the United States. Sponsored by the National In-

stitute on Aging and performed by the Institute for Social Research at the University of Michigan, the HRS is designed to study health transitions among older adults (8, 9).

Of the 19 580 HRS respondents interviewed in 2000, we identified 11 093 respondents age 65 years or older, who represented 34.5 million U.S. adults in this age group in that year. The HRS investigators interviewed sampled respondents and their spouses. When the eligible respondent could not be interviewed, often because of medical or cognitive problems, a proxy ($n = 1392$)—frequently the spouse ($n = 698$)—was enlisted to answer questions for that respondent.

The HRS was approved by the Behavioral Sciences Committee institutional review board at the University of Michigan. The data used for this analysis are publicly available and contain no unique identifiers, thus ensuring respondent anonymity.

Variables and Their Measurement

Geriatric Conditions

The 2000 wave assessed self-reported information on 7 geriatric conditions and their activity or severity. We used survey data on the following geriatric conditions in their active or severe forms: 1) falls resulting in injury; 2) incontinence requiring use of pads or other absorbent undergarments; 3) low body mass index (BMI) (<18.5 kg/m^2, based on self-reported height and weight); 4) dizziness (dizziness or lightheadedness as a persistent or troublesome problem); 5) vision impairment (fair or poor eyesight despite use of corrective lenses); 6) hearing impairment (fair or poor hearing despite use of hearing aids); and 7) cognitive impairment.

The HRS assesses for cognitive impairment by 1 of 2 means. For self-respondents, cognitive impairment is determined by using a performance-based measure—a modified version of the Telephone Interview for Cognitive Status, which is a validated cognitive screening instrument patterned on the Mini-Mental State Examination (10) and is specifically designed for population-based studies. We defined severe cognitive impairment as a score of 8 or less on the 35-point cognitive scale. This cut-point has previously been used by researchers because the proportion of people that it identifies as having serious cognitive impairment is consistent with other estimates of the prevalence of dementia (11–13). Detailed information on the cognitive measures that make up the modified Telephone Interview for Cognitive Status is available on the HRS Web site (http://hrsonline.isr.umich.edu/docs/userg/dr-006.pdf).

Respondents unable to complete the survey interview were assigned proxy respondents by a trained interviewer according to study protocol. Each proxy was asked to assess the respondent's memory. Respondents reported to have "fair" or "poor" memory were considered to have severe cognitive impairment (13).

Context

Geriatric conditions, such as incontinence and falling, are prevalent and associated with disability in older adults.

Contribution

Using national survey data, the authors found that almost half of older U.S. adults had geriatric conditions. Some were as prevalent as common conditions, such as heart disease. The authors confirmed a strong association between having a geriatric condition and dependency in activities of daily living.

Caution

Conditions and dependence were identified by self-report.

Implications

Geriatric conditions are often overlooked in older adults, but they are as common as other chronic diseases and are as strongly associated with disability.

—The Editors

Disability

Of the traditional ADLs (14), we included bathing, dressing, eating, toileting, and transferring in our analysis but excluded continence, because we considered incontinence to be a geriatric condition. Our definition of ADL dependency required respondents to both have difficulty with and receive assistance for the task. "Difficulty" included the inability to perform the task because of a health or memory problem.

Chronic Diseases

We considered the following diseases surveyed in the HRS to be chronic: heart disease, chronic lung disease, diabetes, cancer, musculoskeletal conditions, stroke, and psychiatric problems. Respondents reported whether a physician had diagnosed each disease. Questions about the diseases included those indicating their activity or severity (for example, receiving treatment). We limited each chronic disease to its active or severe form. Because our dependent variable was ADL dependency, we tried to avoid activity or severity constraints that were inherently functional in nature (15). For example, musculoskeletal conditions included arthritis requiring medication or other treatment and/or joint replacement in the past 2 years and/or hip fracture in the past 2 years. We limited stroke to persons who required medication for stroke (or its complications) or had remaining problems (such as weakness in arms or legs and difficulty speaking or swallowing).

Demographic Factors

Demographic variables were age, sex, race (white, African American, other), marital status (married, unmarried), educational attainment, and net financial worth (total household assets minus current debt) (8).

Table 1. Respondent Characteristics*

Characteristic	Entire 2000 Survey Wave (n = 11 093), weighted %†	Geriatric Conditions, weighted %†				P Value‡
		0 (n = 5450)	1 (n = 3342)	2 (n = 1399)	≥3 (n = 902)	
Overall prevalence		50.1	30.4	12.4	7.1	
Age						<0.001
65–69 y	27.1	32.9	24.9	18.8	10.1	
70–74 y	25.1	29.4	23.4	18.5	13.9	
74–79 y	21.5	21.0	22.7	22.0	18.8	
≥80 y	26.3	16.7	29.1	40.8	57.3	
Sex						<0.001
Male	42.0	43.9	44.6	34.8	29.3	
Female	58.1	56.1	55.4	65.2	70.7	
Race						0.005
White	88.4	88.7	89.6	86.1	84.6	
African American	8.7	8.4	7.5	10.6	12.2	
Other	3.0	2.9	2.9	3.4	3.2	
Marital status						<0.001
Married	54.9	60.1	54.6	44.6	37.7	
Unmarried	45.1	39.9	45.4	55.4	62.3	
Education						<0.001
<12 y	32.0	24.9	33.6	44.0	54.9	
12 y	34.0	35.9	33.8	31.3	25.6	
>12 y	34.0	39.2	32.6	24.7	19.4	
Net financial worth						<0.001
≤$45 000	21.8	17.2	22.0	28.3	41.6	
$45 001–$134 000	23.0	20.8	24.1	27.8	25.3	
$134 001–$319 000	25.1	26.3	25.3	23.9	18.0	
≥$319 000	30.2	35.7	28.7	20.0	15.1	
Nursing home residence						<0.001
No	97.6	99.4	98.4	95.7	84.4	
Yes	2.4	0.6	1.6	4.3	15.6	
≥1 ADL dependencies						<0.001
No	90.6	97.4	91.9	80.6	55.0	
Yes	9.4	2.6	8.1	19.4	45.0	
Chronic disease						
Heart disease	9.2	5.3	8.6	18.6	23.3	<0.001
Lung disease	5.8	3.7	6.4	9.5	11.4	<0.001
Diabetes	13.2	10.4	14.5	17.4	20.5	<0.001
Cancer	4.8	4.5	5.1	5.6	4.6	0.36
Musculoskeletal disorder	29.7	24.2	30.4	39.3	49.4	<0.001
Stroke	5.4	2.7	4.8	10.2	19.8	<0.001
Psychiatric disorder	7.1	3.7	6.9	12.8	21.3	<0.001

* ADL = activities of daily living.
† Weighted percentage derived by using the Health and Retirement Study (HRS) respondent population weights to adjust for the complex sampling design of the HRS.
‡ P values were derived from the chi-square test for association between the indicated variable and the number of geriatric conditions.

Statistical Analysis

We used multivariate logistic regression modeling to examine the association between geriatric conditions (numbers of conditions/individual conditions) and the probability of having 1 or more ADL dependencies. Then, we sequentially introduced groups of variables into the model, first demographic variables and then chronic disease variables, because these are known to be associated with ADL dependencies. We used variance inflation factors to investigate and rule out multicollinearity among the independent variables. We obtained estimates of risk ratios from the logistic models. We then used bootstrapping to produce the CIs; we performed the bootstrapping by resampling at the primary sampling unit for the bootstrapping (16). The dependent variables for each model are: any ADL dependency (model 1) and each particular ADL dependency (models 2 to 6). The independent variables for each model are the 7 geriatric conditions. Each model con-

trolled for 6 demographic characteristics and the 7 chronic diseases.

Regression diagnostics performed on our initial unweighted models suggested that the logistic regression models were a reasonable fit for our data and that the models evaluating groups of geriatric conditions and groups of diseases showed the best fits. We systematically tested interactions between the independent variables. Those that were considered clinically significant were not statistically significant (for example, vision impairment and hearing impairment), and those that were statistically significant we did not consider to be clinically significant (for example, falls and lung disease). Therefore, we did not include these terms in the final model.

To adjust for the complex sample design of the HRS, the differential probability of selection, and nonresponse, all analyses were weighted and adjusted by using the Stata statistical package (release 8.0; Stata, College Station, Texas); thus, we could take advantage of the nationally representative data set to produce national population estimates.

Role of the Funding Sources

This study was supported by grants from the John A. Hartford Foundation and the National Institute on Aging and by the Ann Arbor Veterans Affairs Geriatric Research, Education and Clinical Center. The funding sources had no role in the design, conduct, or analysis of the study or in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the proportion of survey respondents in each age stratum, weighted to be representative of adults age 65 years or older in the United States in 2000, and the proportion of respondents in each stratum by number of geriatric conditions. Compared with persons who had no geriatric conditions, those with increasing numbers of conditions were older, female, from a minority ethnic group, and unmarried and had less education and a lower net financial worth. **Appendix Table 1** (available at www.annals.org) shows the proportion of adults age 65 years or older with individual geriatric conditions. The prevalence rates of the individual conditions in the older adult population were similar to rates of chronic diseases. For example, the prevalence rates of injurious falls and urinary incontinence were 9.6% and 12.7%, respectively, compared with 9.2% for heart disease and 13.2% for diabetes.

Table 2 shows the number of geriatric conditions by age group. The numbers of conditions increased markedly with advancing age. A similar analysis examining the prevalence of having 1, 2, 3, or 4 geriatric conditions across the age spectrum showed a slight decrease in prevalence of having 1 condition (from 27.9% at age 65 to 69 years to 26.4% at age ≥90 years) and an increase in prevalence of having 2 (8.6% to 25.1%), 3 (2.1% to 17.2%), and 4 conditions (0.5% to 11.4%).

Table 2 also shows the weighted proportions of respondents with individual geriatric conditions by age. All conditions increased in prevalence with advancing age. For each condition, respondents age 80 years or older form a large proportion of those with a condition (data not shown). Thus, 55% of older adults with cognitive impairment were age 80 years or older, as were 44% of older adults with an injurious fall, 39% with incontinence, 52% with low BMI, 36% with dizziness, 48% with vision impairment, and 38% with hearing impairment.

Table 3 shows how the individual geriatric conditions group together within individuals. For each condition, both the prevalence of each of the other 6 conditions (**Appendix Table 2**, available at www.annals.org) and the prevalence of multiple other conditions are shown. For each of the conditions (except hearing impairment), the prevalence

---

Table 2. **Respondents with a Geriatric Condition Who Have Other Geriatric Conditions, by Age***

Variable	Proportion of Respondents (95% CI), *weighted* %†					
	65–69 y (n = 3256)	70–74 y (n = 2492)	75–79 y (n = 2253)	80–84 y (n = 1621)	85–89 y (n = 967)	≥90 y (n = 504)
Geriatric conditions						
≥1	39.2 (36.9–41.4)	41.4 (39.0–43.8)	51.0 (48.1–53.8)	62.2 (59.1–65.1)	73.0 (70.1–75.8)	82.2 (78.2–85.6)
≥2	11.2 (9.8–12.8)	13.0 (11.5–14.7)	18.9 (17.3–20.6)	27.6 (25.3–30.0)	37.9 (34.6–41.3)	55.8 (51.7–59.7)
≥3	2.6 (2.0–3.4)	3.9 (3.0–5.1)	6.2 (5.3–7.2)	10.3 (8.7–12.0)	17.8 (15.2–20.7)	30.7 (26.2–35.6)
≥4	0.5 (0.3–0.8)	1.4 (0.9–2.0)	1.9 (1.2–2.8)	2.6 (1.9–3.6)	8.0 (6.5–9.9)	13.5 (10.3–17.5)
Individual geriatric conditions						
Cognitive impairment	3.4 (2.7–4.1)	4.3 (3.5–5.4)	6.0 (4.9–7.3)	9.9 (8.5–11.5)	18.1 (15.3–21.2)	31.6 (28.0–35.5)
Injurious falls	6.0 (5.1–7.0)	7.2 (6.1–8.5)	9.1 (7.9–10.4)	13.0 (11.7–14.5)	19.6 (16.6–22.9)	21.9 (18.6–25.7)
Incontinence (use of pads)	9.3 (8.4–10.2)	10.0 (8.8–11.4)	12.8 (11.4–14.3)	15.3 (13.4–17.5)	20.8 (18.1–23.8)	26.7 (21.7–32.4)
Low BMI	1.3 (0.9–1.9)	1.5 (1.0–2.2)	3.1 (2.2–4.5)	3.6 (2.8–4.7)	6.4 (4.9–8.3)	12.0 (8.8–16.2)
Dizziness	10.3 (9.2–11.6)	10.6 (9.3–12.1)	14.1 (12.4–16.1)	16.7 (14.9–18.7)	21.8 (19.2–24.7)	17.9 (14.2–22.3)
Vision impairment	4.6 (3.8–5.5)	6.0 (5.0–7.2)	6.5 (5.3–7.9)	11.5 (9.7–13.7)	16.9 (14.8–19.3)	22.8 (18.3–28.0)
Hearing impairment	18.8 (17.3–20.3)	20.5 (18.6–22.5)	26.9 (24.9–29.0)	33.0 (30.5–35.6)	36.6 (33.2–40.1)	51.5 (46.7–56.3)

* BMI = body mass index.
† Weighted percentage derived by using the Health and Retirement Study (HRS) respondent population weights to adjust for the complex sampling design of the HRS.

Table 3. Respondents with an Index Geriatric Condition Who Have Other Geriatric Conditions*

Condition	≥1 Other Geriatric Conditions (95% CI), weighted %†	≥2 Other Geriatric Conditions (95% CI), weighted %†	≥3 Other Geriatric Conditions (95% CI), weighted %†
Cognitive impairment (n = 1012)	78.7 (75.5–81.6)	46.3 (42.3–50.4)	20.1 (17.1–23.4)
Injurious falls (n = 1084)	63.5 (60.0–66.8)	32.9 (30.2–35.7)	14.3 (11.8–17.3)
Incontinence (use of pads) (n = 1439)	60.2 (57.8–62.5)	29.3 (26.7–32.0)	12.7 (10.3–15.5)
Low BMI (n = 334)	63.1 (57.7–68.2)	38.8 (32.4–45.8)	22.5 (18.2–27.6)
Dizziness (n = 1540)	69.7 (66.9–72.5)	31.2 (28.7–33.7)	12.2 (9.9–15.0)
Vision impairment (n = 973)	74.5 (71.0–77.7)	43.3 (39.5–47.3)	19.8 (17.0–22.9)
Hearing impairment (n = 2884)	48.7 (46.6–50.9)	20.4 (18.8–22.2)	7.7 (6.4–9.3)

* BMI = body mass index.
† Weighted percentage derived by using the Health and Retirement Study (HRS) respondent population weights to adjust for the complex sampling design of the HRS.

of having at least 1 other condition exceeded 60%. This grouping of conditions was greatest for cognitive impairment, low BMI, and vision impairment.

Table 4 shows the association between geriatric conditions and dependency in at least 1 ADL. Although attenuated after adjustment for demographic characteristics and chronic diseases, risk ratios for ADL dependency remained large and highly significant. Risk ratios for conditions were similar to or greater than those for most chronic diseases. **Appendix Table 3** (available at www.annals.org) shows risk ratios for the association between demographic variables and ADL dependency.

We performed sensitivity analyses examining the roles of respondents with cognitive impairment and respondents who had proxies. Models excluding respondents with cognitive impairment (modification of model 3) yielded risk ratios for the geriatric conditions that were smaller but still similar to those for the chronic diseases and were highly significant: 1 condition, 1.9 (95% CI, 1.7 to 2.2); 2 conditions, 2.6 (CI, 2.2 to 3.1); and 3 or more conditions, 3.7 (CI, 3.0 to 4.3). Similar findings resulted from models that excluded proxy respondents (modification of model 3): 1 condition, 2.1 (CI, 1.8 to 2.4); 2 conditions, 3.0 (CI, 2.4 to 3.5); and 3 or more conditions, 4.5 (CI, 3.6 to 5.3).

Table 5 shows the prevalence of and risk ratios for ADL dependency, by each geriatric condition. Risk ratios for the presence of ADL dependency are largest for cognitive impairment, incontinence, and vision impairment. Hearing impairment is not associated with ADL dependency.

DISCUSSION

This cross-sectional study examined the prevalence of geriatric conditions (individually and in aggregate) among older adults and the association of geriatric conditions with ADL dependency. A systematic search of MEDLINE from January 1980 to April 2007, first using the terms *geriatric conditions* and *geriatric syndromes* and then using each of the 7 conditions in combination with *activities of daily living*, retrieved no studies of multiple geriatric conditions and ADL disability that used nationally representative data. Thus, to our knowledge, this is the first study to use

such data to quantify the prevalence of geriatric conditions among older adults and to demonstrate that these conditions increase in frequency with age. Among older adults with a geriatric condition, the prevalence of ADL dependency was high. After adjustment for demographic characteristics and chronic diseases, the association of geriatric conditions with ADL dependency remained strong. Yet, the high prevalence of geriatric conditions and the strength of their association with ADL dependency demonstrate that these conditions go largely unrecognized in the current disease-based model of clinical care.

Because there is no consensus on the definition of a geriatric condition or what conditions that category includes, we studied all relevant conditions surveyed in the HRS. Although ours is only one set of possible conditions, they are among those most widely agreed upon. Nonetheless, key geriatric conditions are not included. Delirium and pressure sores are associated with acute illnesses and are not surveyed by the HRS. Frailty and failure to thrive have different potential definitions; one proposed model of frailty requires physical performance measures, which were unavailable in the 2000 wave (17, 18).

There is also no accepted standard for the definition and measurement of the individual geriatric conditions (and their severity) in the older adult population. Administrative claims data cannot be reliably used because geriatric conditions may not be recorded as the reason for a visit, leading to undercounting (19). Health interview surveys may not include questions on geriatric conditions. Here, the HRS is distinctive in that it contains expanded questions on most of the conditions and uses a performance-based measure to determine cognitive impairment.

The prevalence rates of the individual geriatric conditions in our study are generally consistent with those reported in the literature (20–34). For example, using data from the HRS, we found the prevalence of urinary incontinence requiring use of pads to be 12.7%. In comparison, in the Health, Aging, and Body Composition Study, Jackson and colleagues (25) found the prevalence of urinary incontinence and wearing protection among community-dwelling women age 70 to 79 years to be 12.3%. Using data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination

Survey, Anger and colleagues (23) found that the prevalence of daily incontinence varied from 12.2% in women 60 to 64 years of age to 20.9% in women 85 years of age or older. Previous research has demonstrated the comparability of chronic disease and disability prevalences in the HRS to those in other study populations (15, 35, 36).

Our search of the literature identified multiple studies of the associations between individual geriatric conditions and disability. Findings about these associations, however, depend to varying extents on the aims and contexts of the particular studies. We focused on the studies identified in our search that broadly addressed the geriatric population and that most closely examined ADL dependency as an outcome. Investigation of association with ADL dependency is most developed for cognitive impairment, for which different degrees of impairment have been examined and longitudinal associations and patterns of functional losses have been found (12, 37–42). The literature is more ambiguous for falls and incontinence; studies have found associations with dependency that are not necessarily causal (38, 43–45). Rather, the geriatric condition may be a marker for decline. In addition, falls are better associated with declines in physical performance measures rather than ADL tasks. Low BMI has been associated with eating dependency and with physical performance measures suggesting frailty (46, 47). Dizziness is not strongly associated with dependency (29). The association for vision impairment is strong, in contrast to hearing impairment (34, 48–53). Dual sensory impairment may or may not have

additional disability beyond that of vision alone. Most studies that address the association of the individual conditions with dependency are done in the setting of multimorbidity or specific diseases. Our research adds to this literature by examining 7 geriatric conditions in the context of one another (as well as diseases) in a nationally representative population not limited to certain age groups or disease categories (54).

Although empirical research on geriatric conditions has tended to study them individually, there are ongoing efforts to link the conditions theoretically. Some have postulated an etiologic link through aging processes, through multiple physiologic disruptions, or through impairments in several domains that lead to a common pathway resulting in geriatric conditions (55). Inflammatory, endocrine, or metabolic dysregulation in multiple systems could lead to falls (17), weight loss (56), and dementia (57). Such hypotheses are related to current research in frailty, itself often considered a geriatric condition. Research seeks to define frailty—its empirical measurement remains unclear despite several useful models—and its role in health and aging, particularly as it relates to comorbid conditions and to other geriatric conditions (17, 18, 58–61).

Another important theoretical consideration is the location of geriatric conditions in the disablement process. Models of disability development and progression, such as the World Health Organization and Institute of Medicine models and their updates, have increased theoretical and practical understanding of physical impairments and dis-

Table 4. Risk Ratios for Activities of Daily Living Dependency*

Variable	Risk Ratio (95% CI)†			
	Model 1‡	Model 2§	Model 3‖	Model 4¶
Number of geriatric conditions				
1	3.0 (2.6–3.4)	2.6 (2.3–3.0)	2.2 (2.0–2.5)	2.1 (1.9–2.4)
2	7.3 (6.3–8.3)	5.4 (4.7–6.2)	3.9 (3.4–4.4)	3.6 (3.1–4.1)
≥3	16.9 (14.8–18.9)	11.5 (9.9–13.0)	7.5 (6.4–8.5)	6.6 (5.6–7.6)
Number of chronic diseases				
1	–	–	1.9 (1.8–2.1)	–
2	–	–	2.8 (2.6–3.1)	–
≥3	–	–	4.0 (3.5–4.5)	–
Type of chronic disease				
Heart disease	–	–	–	1.2 (1.0–1.3)
Lung disease	–	–	–	1.4 (1.3–1.6)
Diabetes	–	–	–	1.3 (1.2–1.4)
Cancer	–	–	–	1.0 (0.8–1.2)
Musculoskeletal disorder	–	–	–	1.4 (1.3–1.5)
Stroke	–	–	–	3.0 (2.7–3.3)
Psychiatric disorder	–	–	–	1.5 (1.3–1.6)

* ≥1 dependencies for activities of daily living.
† Risk ratios were weighted by using the Health and Retirement Study (HRS) respondent population weights to adjust for the complex sampling design of the HRS.
‡ Unadjusted.
§ Adjusted for 6 demographic characteristics.
‖ Adjusted for 6 demographic characteristics and numbers of chronic diseases.
¶ Adjusted for 6 demographic characteristics and 7 chronic diseases.

Table 5. Relationship between Individual Geriatric Conditions and Activities of Daily Living Dependency*

Geriatric Condition	Model 1: ≥1 ADL Dependencies		Model 2: Bathing		Model 3: Dressing		Model 4: Eating	
	Prevalence, %†	Risk Ratio (95% CI)‡	Prevalence, %†	Risk Ratio (95% CI)‡	Prevalence, %†	Risk Ratio (95% CI)‡	Prevalence, %†	Risk Ratio (95% CI)‡
Cognitive impairment	47.9	3.6 (3.3–3.9)	41.0	4.4 (4.0–4.9)	35.2	4.2 (3.8–4.5)	23.5	6.1 (5.1–7.1)
Injurious falls	21.7	1.2 (1.1–1.3)	17.8	1.4 (1.3–1.5)	15.4	1.3 (1.2–1.5)	7.7	1.0 (0.9–1.2)
Incontinence (use of pads)	26.2	1.9 (1.7–2.0)	20.5	2.0 (1.8–2.2)	19.2	2.3 (2.0–2.6)	10.2	2.1 (1.8–2.4)
Low BMI	27.7	1.5 (1.3–1.7)	23.7	1.6 (1.3–1.9)	16.1	1.2 (0.9–1.5)	12.9	1.6 (1.3–1.9)
Dizziness	21.0	1.2 (1.1–1.3)	13.5	1.0 (0.9–1.1)	13.8	1.2 (1.1–1.3)	6.6	1.2 (1.0–1.3)
Vision impairment	31.8	1.7 (1.6–1.9)	24.1	1.7 (1.5–1.9)	20.1	1.6 (1.5–1.8)	13.7	2.2 (1.9–2.5)
Hearing impairment	14.5	1.0 (1.0–1.1)	10.4	1.0 (0.9–1.1)	9.4	1.0 (0.9–1.1)	4.8	0.9 (0.8–1.0)

* Prevalences and risk ratios are weighted by using the Health and Retirement Study (HRS) respondent population weights to adjust for the complex sampling design of the HRS. ADL = activities of daily living; BMI = body mass index.
† Prevalence of dependency for each geriatric condition.
‡ Each model was adjusted for 7 geriatric conditions simultaneously, and for 6 demographic characteristics (age, sex, race, marital status, education, and net financial worth) and 7 chronic diseases (heart disease, lung disease, diabetes, cancer, musculoskeletal disorder, stroke, and psychiatric disorder).

ability (62). The well-known Institute of Medicine model (pathology → impairment → functional limitation → disability) has helped us understand how pathology (diseases) may sometimes be associated with physical limitation and sometimes not (63). Research has modified this model, demonstrating additional complexity, such as recovery and adaptation, as well as progression (64–70). However, geriatric conditions have not been clearly located within this model but rather appear to operate and interact at multiple points. Conditions may lead to ADL dependency (for example, cognitive impairment contributing to dependency in bathing and dressing), and ADL dependency may lead to geriatric conditions (for example, dependency in transferring contributing to injurious falls and functional urinary incontinence). Geriatric conditions may be considered impairments resulting from 1 or more diseases (such as cognitive impairment, incontinence, and vision impairment). To confuse matters further, disability itself has been called a geriatric syndrome (65).

A strength of our study is that it is based on a large, nationally representative survey (the HRS) that provides data on geriatric conditions in addition to ADL dependency and chronic diseases. The performance-based determination of cognitive ability freed us from relying on respondents' self-report of a dementia diagnosis when studying cognitive impairment. In addition, the HRS samples across the age range of older adults, including the oldest old, and it samples both community-dwelling and nursing facility respondents. Finally, the HRS is a biennial longitudinal survey that includes utilization and cost data, thereby allowing future studies that examine the cross-sectional and longitudinal association of geriatric conditions, ADL dependency, and chronic diseases with health, utilization, and cost outcomes.

Our study has limitations. First, the HRS is based on self-reported data. In particular, the activity and severity constraints developed for geriatric conditions (and chronic diseases) are based on self-reported data. Furthermore, the geri-

atric conditions (and chronic diseases) and activity and severity constraints chosen for this study are limited by the questions included in the HRS. For example, the HRS does not have data on delirium, and we used low BMI to indicate undernutrition, recognizing that malnutrition may exist with any BMI. In addition, survival bias may play a role in the age-related prevalence of the geriatric conditions, especially among the oldest old. Finally, this study was cross-sectional; further research is needed to examine geriatric conditions and their longitudinal association with disability.

This research has relevance to the ongoing care of older adults. Geriatric conditions present a challenge to the clinician because they are prevalent and are associated with disability but typically lack an underlying cause that may be cured. Yet, identifying and assessing geriatric conditions are clinically important because these conditions can be prevented or delayed (vision impairment [30]), managed (cognitive impairment [71], falls [22, 72, 73], incontinence [26, 74], vision impairment [31], hearing impairment [75]), and sometimes treated (low BMI [28], dizziness [29]), with resulting improvement in symptoms and decrease in disability. Caregivers need to be informed about conditions so that they can deal with their practicalities (urinary incontinence) and anticipate their long-term consequences (cognitive impairment). In addition, the presence of geriatric conditions has implications for how accompanying diseases and disability are to be treated or managed.

Geriatric conditions fall outside models that now govern much of health care. The disease management model is directed toward individuals with a single disease that dominates their health care utilization; this model is less able to address older adults whose health care use is related to multiple diseases, conditions, and disabilities that affect one another (15). The care management model is currently directed toward patients with multimorbidity and disability; conditions are not targeted. Most older adults with geriatric conditions live in the community and are not under the primary care of geriatricians. An approach to

Table 5—Continued

Model 5: Transferring		Model 6: Toileting	
Prevalence, %†	Risk Ratio (95% CI)‡	Prevalence, %†	Risk Ratio (95% CI)‡
22.4	5.6 (4.6–6.6)	21.2	7.8 (6.1–9.6)
9.4	1.6 (1.4–1.8)	7.8	1.4 (1.2–1.7)
11.2	2.8 (2.4–3.2)	9.8	2.9 (2.3–3.4)
9.5	1.2 (0.9–1.4)	10.2	1.4 (1.1–1.8)
6.5	1.0 (0.9–1.2)	4.8	0.9 (0.8–1.0)
12.5	1.9 (1.6–2.2)	10.2	1.7 (1.3–2.0)
4.6	0.9 (0.8–1.0)	4.1	0.9 (0.8–1.0)

their care that includes the identification and management of geriatric conditions is needed.

From the University of Michigan, the Ann Arbor Veterans Affairs Healthcare System Geriatric Research, Education and Clinical Center, and the Ann Arbor Veterans Affairs Center for Practice Management and Outcomes Research, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

References

1. **Tinetti ME, Fried T.** The end of the disease era. Am J Med. 2004;116:179-85. [PMID: 14749162]
2. **American Geriatrics Society Task Force on the Future of Geriatric Medicine.** Caring for older Americans: the future of geriatric medicine. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2005;53:S245-56. [PMID: 15963180]
3. **Cassel CK.** Geriatric Medicine: An Evidence-Based Approach. 4th ed. New York: Springer; 2003.
4. **Hazzard WR, Blass JP, Halter JB, Ouslander JG, Tinetti ME.** Principles of Geriatric Medicine and Gerontology. 5th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2003.
5. **Pompei P, ed.** Geriatrics Review Syllabus. 6th ed. New York American Geriatrics Soc; 2006.
6. **Reuben DB.** Geriatric syndromes. In: Beck JC, ed. Geriatrics Review Syllabus. 1991–1992 ed. New York: American Geriatrics Soc; 1991:117-231.
7. **Flacker JM.** What is a geriatric syndrome anyway? [Letter]. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2003;51:574-6. [PMID: 12657087]
8. **Juster FT, Suzman R.** An overview of the health and retirement study. Journal of Human Resources. 1995;30:S7-S56.
9. **Soldo BJ, Hurd MD, Rodgers WL, Wallace RB.** Asset and health dynamics among the oldest old: an overview of the AHEAD Study. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci. 1997;52 Spec No:1-20. [PMID: 9215354]
10. **Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR.** "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psychiatr Res. 1975;12:189-98. [PMID: 1202204]
11. **Herzog AR, Wallace RB.** Measures of cognitive functioning in the AHEAD Study. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci. 1997;52 Spec No:37-48. [PMID: 9215356]
12. **Blaum CS, Ofstedal MB, Liang J.** Low cognitive performance, comorbid disease, and task-specific disability: findings from a nationally representative survey. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2002;57:M523-31. [PMID: 12145367]
13. **Langa KM, Chernew ME, Kabeto MU, Herzog AR, Ofstedal MB, Willis RJ, et al.** National estimates of the quantity and cost of informal caregiving for the elderly with dementia. J Gen Intern Med. 2001;16:770-8. [PMID: 11722692]
14. **Katz S, Stroud MW 3rd.** Functional assessment in geriatrics. A review of progress and directions. J Am Geriatr Soc. 1989;37:267-71. [PMID: 2645355]
15. **Cigolle CT, Langa KM, Kabeto MU, Blaum CS.** Setting eligibility criteria for a care-coordination benefit. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2005;53:2051-9. [PMID: 16398887]
16. **Manly BF.** Randomization, Bootstrap and Monte Carlo Methods in Biology. 2nd ed. London: Chapman & Hall; 1997.
17. **Cardiovascular Health Study Collaborative Research Group.** Frailty in older adults: evidence for a phenotype. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2001;56:M146-56. [PMID: 11253156]
18. **Fried LP, Ferrucci L, Darer J, Williamson JD, Anderson G.** Untangling the concepts of disability, frailty, and comorbidity: implications for improved targeting and care. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2004;59:255-63. [PMID: 15031310]
19. **Starfield B, Weiner J, Mumford L, Steinwachs D.** Ambulatory care groups: a categorization of diagnoses for research and management. Health Serv Res. 1991;26:53-74. [PMID: 1901841]
20. **Brookmeyer R, Gray S, Kawas C.** Projections of Alzheimer's disease in the United States and the public health impact of delaying disease onset. Am J Public Health. 1998;88:1337-42. [PMID: 9736873]
21. **Rubenstein LZ, Josephson KR.** The epidemiology of falls and syncope. Clin Geriatr Med. 2002;18:141-58. [PMID: 12180240]
22. **Tinetti ME.** Clinical practice. Preventing falls in elderly persons. N Engl J Med. 2003;348:42-9. [PMID: 12510042]
23. Urologic Diseases of America Project. The prevalence of urinary incontinence among community dwelling adult women: results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. J Urol. 2006;175:601-4. [PMID: 16407004]
24. **Thom D.** Variation in estimates of urinary incontinence prevalence in the community: effects of differences in definition, population characteristics, and study type. J Am Geriatr Soc. 1998;46:473-80. [PMID: 9560071]
25. Health, Aging, and Body Composition Study. Urinary incontinence in elderly women: findings from the Health, Aging, and Body Composition Study. Obstet Gynecol. 2004;104:301-7. [PMID: 15292003]
26. **Holroyd-Leduc JM, Straus SE.** Management of urinary incontinence in women: scientific review. JAMA. 2004;291:986-95. [PMID: 14982915]
27. **Diehr P, Bild DE, Harris TB, Duxbury A, Siscovick D, Rossi M.** Body mass index and mortality in nonsmoking older adults: the Cardiovascular Health Study. Am J Public Health. 1998;88:623-9. [PMID: 9551005]
28. **Wallace JI, Schwartz RS.** Involuntary weight loss in elderly outpatients: recognition, etiologies, and treatment. Clin Geriatr Med. 1997;13:717-35. [PMID: 9354751]
29. **Tinetti ME, Williams CS, Gill TM.** Health, functional, and psychological

outcomes among older persons with chronic dizziness. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2000; 48:417-21. [PMID: 10798469]

30. **Eye Diseases Prevalence Research Group.** Causes and prevalence of visual impairment among adults in the United States. Arch Ophthalmol. 2004;122: 477-85. [PMID: 15078664]

31. **Muñoz B, West SK, Rubin GS, Schein OD, Quigley HA, Bressler SB, et al.** Causes of blindness and visual impairment in a population of older Americans: The Salisbury Eye Evaluation Study. Arch Ophthalmol. 2000;118:819-25. [PMID: 10865321]

32. **Helzner EP, Cauley JA, Pratt SR, Wisniewski SR, Zmuda JM, Talbott EO, et al.** Race and sex differences in age-related hearing loss: the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2005;53:2119-27. [PMID: 16398896]

33. **Cruickshanks KJ, Tweed TS, Wiley TL, Klein BE, Klein R, Chappell R, et al.** The 5-year incidence and progression of hearing loss: the epidemiology of hearing loss study. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2003;129:1041-6. [PMID: 14568784]

34. **Dalton DS, Cruickshanks KJ, Klein BE, Klein R, Wiley TL, Nondahl DM.** The impact of hearing loss on quality of life in older adults. Gerontologist. 2003;43:661-8. [PMID: 14570962]

35. **Freedman VA, Crimmins E, Schoeni RF, Spillman BC, Aykan H, Kramarow E, et al.** Resolving inconsistencies in trends in old-age disability: report from a technical working group. Demography. 2004;41:417-41. [PMID: 15461008]

36. **Wolff JL, Starfield B, Anderson G.** Prevalence, expenditures, and complications of multiple chronic conditions in the elderly. Arch Intern Med. 2002;162: 2269-76. [PMID: 12418941]

37. **Dodge HH, Kadowaki T, Hayakawa T, Yamakawa M, Sekikawa A, Ueshima H.** Cognitive impairment as a strong predictor of incident disability in specific ADL-IADL tasks among community-dwelling elders: the Azuchi Study. Gerontologist. 2005;45:222-30. [PMID: 15799987]

38. **Gill TM, Williams CS, Richardson ED, Tinetti ME.** Impairments in physical performance and cognitive status as predisposing factors for functional dependence among nondisabled older persons. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 1996;51:M283-8. [PMID: 8914500]

39. **Hargrave R, Reed B, Mungas D.** Depressive syndromes and functional disability in dementia. J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol. 2000;13:72-7. [PMID: 10912728]

40. **Mehta KM, Yaffe K, Covinsky KE.** Cognitive impairment, depressive symptoms, and functional decline in older people. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2002;50:1045-50. [PMID: 12110064]

41. **Njegovan V, Hing MM, Mitchell SL, Molnar FJ.** The hierarchy of functional loss associated with cognitive decline in older persons. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2001;56:M638-43. [PMID: 11584037]

42. **Taylor DH Jr, Schenkman M, Zhou J, Sloan FA.** The relative effect of Alzheimer's disease and related dementias, disability, and comorbidities on cost of care for elderly persons. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci. 2001;56:S285-93. [PMID: 11522810]

43. **Buttar A, Blaum C, Fries B.** Clinical characteristics and six-month outcomes of nursing home residents with low activities of daily living dependency. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2001;56:M292-7. [PMID: 11320109]

44. **de Rekeneire N, Visser M, Peila R, Nevitt MC, Cauley JA, Tylavsky FA, et al.** Is a fall just a fall: correlates of falling in healthy older persons. The Health, Aging and Body Composition Study. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2003;51:841-6. [PMID: 12757573]

45. **Holroyd-Leduc JM, Mehta KM, Covinsky KE.** Urinary incontinence and its association with death, nursing home admission, and functional decline. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2004;52:712-8. [PMID: 15086650]

46. **Blaum CS, Fries BE, Fiatarone MA.** Factors associated with low body mass index and weight loss in nursing home residents. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 1995;50:M162-8. [PMID: 7743402]

47. **Rantanen T, Penninx BW, Masaki K, Lintunen T, Foley D, Guralnik JM.** Depressed mood and body mass index as predictors of muscle strength decline in old men. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2000;48:613-7. [PMID: 10855595]

48. **Brennan M, Horowitz A, Su YP.** Dual sensory loss and its impact on everyday competence. Gerontologist. 2005;45:337-46. [PMID: 15933274]

49. **Campbell VA, Crews JE, Moriarty DG, Zack MM, Blackman DK.** Surveillance for sensory impairment, activity limitation, and health-related quality of life among older adults—United States, 1993-1997. MMWR CDC Surveill Summ. 1999;48:131-56. [PMID: 10634273]

50. **Crews JE, Campbell VA.** Vision impairment and hearing loss among community-dwelling older Americans: implications for health and functioning. Am J Public Health. 2004;94:823-9. [PMID: 15117707]

51. **Keller BK, Morton JL, Thomas VS, Potter JF.** The effect of visual and hearing impairments on functional status. J Am Geriatr Soc. 1999;47:1319-25. [PMID: 10573440]

52. **Study of Osteoporotic Fractures Research Group.** Vision impairment and combined vision and hearing impairment predict cognitive and functional decline in older women. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2004;52:1996-2002. [PMID: 15571533]

53. **Reuben DB, Mui S, Damesyn M, Moore AA, Greendale GA.** The prognostic value of sensory impairment in older persons. J Am Geriatr Soc. 1999;47: 930-5. [PMID: 10443852]

54. **Koroukian SM, Murray P, Madigan E.** Comorbidity, disability, and geriatric syndromes in elderly cancer patients receiving home health care. J Clin Oncol. 2006;24:2304-10. [PMID: 16710028]

55. **Tinetti ME, Inouye SK, Gill TM, Doucette JT.** Shared risk factors for falls, incontinence, and functional dependence. Unifying the approach to geriatric syndromes. JAMA. 1995;273:1348-53. [PMID: 7715059]

56. **Roubenoff R.** The pathophysiology of wasting in the elderly. J Nutr. 1999; 129:256S-259S. [PMID: 9915910]

57. **Yaffe K, Kanaya A, Lindquist K, Simonsick EM, Harris T, Shorr RI, et al.** The metabolic syndrome, inflammation, and risk of cognitive decline. JAMA. 2004;292:2237-42. [PMID: 15536110]

58. **Buchner DM, Wagner EH.** Preventing frail health. Clin Geriatr Med. 1992; 8:1-17. [PMID: 1576567]

59. **Mitnitski AB, Song X, Rockwood K.** The estimation of relative fitness and frailty in community-dwelling older adults using self-report data. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2004;59:M627-32. [PMID: 15215283]

60. **Rockwood K.** Frailty and its definition: a worthy challenge [Editorial]. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2005;53:1069-70. [PMID: 15935037]

61. **Rockwood K, Howlett SE, MacKnight C, Beattie BL, Bergman H, Hébert R, et al.** Prevalence, attributes, and outcomes of fitness and frailty in community-dwelling older adults: report from the Canadian study of health and aging. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2004;59:1310-7. [PMID: 15699531]

62. **Hoenig H, Cutson TM.** Geriatric rehabilitation. In: Hazzard WR, Blass JP, Halter JB, Ouslander JG, Tinetti ME, eds. Principles of Geriatric Medicine and Gerontology. 5th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2003:285-302.

63. **Pope AM, Tarlov AR.** Disability in America: Toward a National Agenda for Prevention. Washington, DC: National Academy Pr; 1991.

64. **Freedman VA, Martin LG, Schoeni RF.** Recent trends in disability and functioning among older adults in the United States: a systematic review. JAMA. 2002;288:3137-46. [PMID: 12495394]

65. **Gill TM, Gahbauer EA, Allore HG, Han L.** Transitions between frailty states among community-living older persons. Arch Intern Med. 2006;166:418-23. [PMID: 16505261]

66. **Gill TM, Kurland B.** The burden and patterns of disability in activities of daily living among community-living older persons. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2003;58:70-5. [PMID: 12560415]

67. **Hardy SE, Allore HG, Guo Z, Dubin JA, Gill TM.** The effect of prior disability history on subsequent functional transitions. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2006;61:272-7. [PMID: 16567377]

68. **Hardy SE, Dubin JA, Holford TR, Gill TM.** Transitions between states of disability and independence among older persons. Am J Epidemiol. 2005;161: 575-84. [PMID: 15746474]

69. **Hardy SE, Gill TM.** Recovery from disability among community-dwelling older persons. JAMA. 2004;291:1596-602. [PMID: 15069047]

70. **Hébert R, Brayne C, Spiegelhalter D.** Factors associated with functional decline and improvement in a very elderly community-dwelling population. Am J Epidemiol. 1999;150:501-10. [PMID: 10472950]

71. **Birks J.** Cholinesterase inhibitors for Alzheimer's disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006:CD005593. [PMID: 16437532]

72. **Ganz DA, Bao Y, Shekelle PG, Rubenstein LZ.** Will my patient fall? JAMA. 2007;297:77-86. [PMID: 17200478]

73. **Gillespie LD, Gillespie WJ, Robertson MC, Lamb SE, Cumming RG, Rowe BH.** Interventions for preventing falls in elderly people. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2001:CD000340. [PMID: 11686957]

74. **Holroyd-Leduc JM, Straus SE.** Management of urinary incontinence in women: clinical applications. JAMA. 2004;291:996-9. [PMID: 14982916]

75. **Cook JA, Hawkins DB.** Hearing loss and hearing aid treatment options. Mayo Clin Proc. 2006;81:234-7. [PMID: 16471079]

Annals of Internal Medicine

Current Author Addresses: Dr. Cigolle and Ms. Tian: University of Michigan, 300 North Ingalls, Room 919, Ann Arbor, MI 48109.
Dr. Langa: University of Michigan, 300 North Ingalls, Room 7E01, Ann Arbor, MI 48109.
Mr. Kabeto: University of Michigan, 300 North Ingalls, Room 7B10-12, Ann Arbor, MI 48109.
Dr. Blaum: University of Michigan, 300 North Ingalls, Room 914, Ann Arbor, MI 48109.

Author Contributions: Conception and design: C.T. Cigolle, M.U. Kabeto, C.S. Blaum.

Analysis and interpretation of the data: C.T. Cigolle, K.M. Langa, M.U. Kabeto, Z. Tian, C.S. Blaum.
Drafting of the article: C.T. Cigolle, C.S. Blaum.
Critical revision of the article for important intellectual content: C.T. Cigolle, K.M. Langa, C.S. Blaum.
Final approval of the article: C.T. Cigolle, K.M. Langa, C.S. Blaum.
Statistical expertise: M.U. Kabeto, Z. Tian, C.S. Blaum.
Obtaining of funding: C.T. Cigolle, K.M. Langa, C.S. Blaum.
Administrative, technical, or logistic support: C.S. Blaum.
Collection and assembly of data: C.T. Cigolle, M.U. Kabeto, Z. Tian.

Characteristic	Cognitive Impairment (n = 1012)	Injurious Falls (n = 1084)	Incontinence (Use of Pads) (n = 1439)	Low BMI (n = 334)	Dizziness (n = 1540)	Vision Impairment (n = 973)	Hearing Impairment (n = 2884)
Overall prevalence	7.3	9.6	12.7	2.9	13.4	8.0	25.7
Age							
65–69 y	12.4	16.8	19.9	12.1	21.0	15.5	19.8
70–74 y	14.9	18.7	19.9	12.8	20.0	18.7	20.0
74–79 y	17.5	20.2	21.7	23.6	22.7	17.4	22.6
≥80 y	55.2	44.3	38.6	51.5	36.3	48.4	37.6
P value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Sex							
Male	42.8	25.9	13.4	13.5	34.2	35.0	54.6
Female	57.2	74.1	86.6	86.5	65.8	65.0	45.4
P value	0.63	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Race							
White	76.1	91.0	91.2	87.0	85.4	81.9	89.3
African American	18.4	6.3	6.9	10.4	11.5	14.8	7.5
Other	5.5	2.7	1.9	2.6	3.1	3.3	3.1
P value	<0.001	0.006	0.032	0.56	<0.001	<0.001	0.059
Married							
Yes	46.3	40.9	41.5	31.8	46.1	41.2	54.2
No	53.7	59.1	58.5	68.2	53.9	58.8	45.8
P value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.37
Education							
<12 y	60.4	36.3	31.7	38.9	48.2	54.8	41.6
12 y	21.6	33.4	35.9	31.4	28.7	25.6	31.4
>12 y	18.0	30.3	32.4	29.8	23.1	19.6	27.0
P value	<0.001	0.007	0.37	0.104	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Net financial worth							
≤$45 000	39.7	27.4	25.5	33.1	34.3	40.9	24.9
$45 001–$134 000	27.0	25.3	24.5	26.3	26.2	24.2	26.1
$134 001–$319 000	19.4	23.6	25.0	22.2	21.5	18.4	23.4
≥$319 000	13.9	23.7	25.0	18.4	18.1	16.5	25.6
P value	<0.001	<0.001	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Nursing home							
No	81.5	92.5	91.8	88.6	96.4	91.0	96.1
Yes	18.5	7.5	8.2	11.4	3.6	9.0	3.9
P value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	<0.001
≥1 ADL dependencies							
No	52.1	78.3	73.8	72.3	79.0	68.2	85.5
Yes	47.9	21.7	26.2	27.7	21.0	31.8	14.5
P value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Chronic disease							
Heart disease	17.4	15.0	15.0	11.8	22.4	18.7	14.4
P value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.124	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Lung disease	8.5	7.7	9.1	12.2	10.2	11.2	7.5
P value	0.001	0.020	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Diabetes	15.5	18.2	17.2	5.6	20.0	24.1	15.2
P value	0.063	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	<0.001	0.001
Cancer	4.2	4.2	6.3	4.7	5.8	4.2	5.0
P value	0.39	0.34	0.013	0.89	0.080	0.34	0.64
Musculoskeletal disorder	34.1	46.2	46.3	29.1	45.0	39.6	32.6
P value	0.009	<0.001	<0.001	0.81	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Stroke	19.5	11.0	11.4	9.5	12.6	13.8	7.9
P value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Psychiatric disorder	16.0	13.3	14.8	12.5	16.5	16.3	9.2
P value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

* Values are weighted percentages unless otherwise noted. Weighted percentages were derived by using the Health and Retirement Study (HRS) respondent population weights to adjust for the complex sampling design of the HRS. *P* values are derived from the chi-square test for association between the indicated variable and the individual geriatric condition. ADL = activities of daily living; BMI = body mass index.

Appendix Table 2. Respondents with an Index Geriatric Condition Who Have Other Geriatric Conditions*

	Cognitive Impairment	Injurious Falls	Incontinence (Use of Pads)	Low BMI	Dizziness	Vision Impairment	Hearing Impairment	≥1 Other Geriatric Condition(s)	≥2 Other Geriatric Conditions	≥3 Other Geriatric Conditions
Cognitive impairment (n = 1012)	–	19.5 (16.8–22.6)	26.7 (23.2–30.5)	8.9 (6.9–11.6)	25.6 (21.6–30.0)	23.6 (20.8–26.7)	48.1 (43.8–52.3)	78.7 (75.5–81.6)	46.3 (42.3–50.4)	20.1 (17.1–23.4)
Injurious falls (n = 1084)	14.8 (12.5–17.6)	–	25.4 (22.9–28.0)	6.4 (5.0–8.1)	24.1 (21.0–27.5)	14.9 (12.5–17.7)	31.2 (28.2–34.3)	63.5 (60.0–66.8)	32.9 (30.2–35.7)	14.3 (11.8–17.3)
Incontinence (use of pads) (n = 1439)	15.4 (12.9–18.4)	19.3 (17.0–21.9)	–	4.0 (2.8–5.7)	24.0 (21.3–26.9)	14.0 (11.8–16.6)	29.9 (27.5–32.5)	60.2 (57.8–62.5)	29.3 (26.7–32.0)	12.7 (10.3–15.5)
Low BMI (n = 334)	22.9 (17.6–29.1)	21.4 (17.4–26.1)	17.9 (13.1–24.0)	–	21.8 (18.0–26.1)	19.0 (14.6–24.2)	31.7 (26.4–37.5)	63.1 (57.7–68.2)	38.8 (32.4–45.8)	22.5 (18.2–27.6)
Dizziness (n = 1540)	14.0 (11.6–16.9)	17.4 (15.5–19.6)	22.8 (20.4–25.3)	4.7 (3.7–5.9)	–	18.1 (15.8–20.5)	40.4 (37.8–43.0)	69.7 (66.9–72.5)	31.2 (28.7–33.7)	12.2 (9.9–15.0)
Vision impairment (n = 973)	21.6 (19.1–24.3)	17.9 (15.1–21.1)	22.2 (19.1–25.6)	6.8 (5.0–9.1)	30.1 (26.5–34.0)	–	46.4 (43.5–49.4)	74.5 (71.0–77.7)	43.3 (39.5–47.3)	19.8 (17.0–22.9)
Hearing impairment (n = 2884)	13.7 (12.0–15.6)	11.7 (10.5–13.1)	14.8 (13.5–16.1)	3.5 (2.8–4.5)	21.0 (19.2–23.0)	14.5 (13.0–16.2)	–	48.7 (46.6–50.9)	20.4 (18.8–22.2)	7.7 (6.4–9.3)

* Values are weighted percentages (95% CIs) derived by using the Health and Retirement Study (HRS) respondent population weights to adjust for the complex sampling design of the HRS survey. BMI = body mass index.

Appendix Table 3. Risk Ratios for Activities of Daily Living Dependency

	Risk Ratio (95% CI)*			
	Model 1†	Model 2‡	Model 3§	Model 4‖
Number of geriatric conditions				
1	3.0 (2.6–3.4)	2.6 (2.3–3.0)	2.2 (2.0–2.5)	2.1 (1.9–2.4)
2	7.3 (6.3–8.3)	5.4 (4.7–6.2)	3.9 (3.4–4.4)	3.6 (3.1–4.1)
≥3	16.9 (14.8–18.9)	11.5 (9.9–13.0)	7.5 (6.4–8.5)	6.6 (5.6–7.6)
Age				
70–74 y	–	1.1 (1.0–1.2)	1.1 (0.9–1.2)	1.1 (0.9–1.2)
75–79 y	–	1.2 (1.0–1.3)	1.2 (1.0–1.3)	1.2 (1.0–1.3)
≥80 y	–	2.0 (1.8–2.2)	2.1 (1.9–2.3)	2.1 (1.9–2.3)
Female sex	–	1.2 (1.2–1.3)	1.2 (1.1–1.3)	1.2 (1.1–1.3)
Race				
African American	–	1.4 (1.3–1.5)	1.4 (1.3–1.5)	1.4 (1.3–1.5)
Other	–	1.4 (1.2–1.7)	1.4 (1.2–1.7)	1.5 (1.2–1.7)
Married	–	1.3 (1.2–1.4)	1.3 (1.2–1.4)	1.3 (1.2–1.4)
Education				
12 y	–	0.9 (0.8–1.0)	0.9 (0.8–1.0)	0.9 (0.8–1.0)
≥12 y	–	1.0 (0.9–1.1)	1.1 (0.9–1.2)	1.1 (0.9–1.2)
Net financial worth				
$45 001–$134 000	–	0.8 (0.7–0.9)	0.8 (0.8–0.9)	0.9 (0.8–0.9)
$134 001–$319 000	–	0.7 (0.6–0.8)	0.8 (0.7–0.9)	0.8 (0.7–0.9)
≥$319 000	–	0.6 (0.5–0.6)	0.6 (0.6–0.7)	0.7 (0.6–0.7)
Number of chronic diseases				
1	–	–	1.9 (1.8-2.1)	–
2	–	–	2.8 (2.6–3.1)	–
≥3	–	–	4.0 (3.5–4.5)	–
Type of chronic disease				
Heart disease	–	–	–	1.2 (1.0–1.3)
Lung disease	–	–	–	1.4 (1.3–1.6)
Diabetes	–	–	–	1.3 (1.2–1.4)
Cancer	–	–	–	1.0 (0.8–1.2)
Musculoskeletal disorder	–	–	–	1.4 (1.3–1.5)
Stroke	–	–	–	3.0 (2.7–3.3)
Psychiatric disorder	–	–	–	1.5 (1.3–1.6)

* Risk ratios are weighted by using the Health and Retirement Study (HRS) respondent population weights to adjust for the complex sampling design of the HRS.
† Unadjusted.
‡ Adjusted for 6 demographic characteristics.
§ Adjusted for 6 demographic characteristics and number of chronic diseases.
‖ Adjusted for 6 demographic characteristics and 7 chronic diseases.