



אוניברסיטת בן-גוריון בנגב  
הפקולטה למדעי הרוח והחברה

## סילבוס

### למידה והוראה בתחוםי דעת

תש"פ – 2019-2020

שם המרצה: ד"ר עליזה סיגל

מספר קורס:

זמן הקורס: ימי ג', בין 12.00 ל-14.00

מקום:

שעות קבלה: ביום ג' – בתיאום מראש

דוא"ל: [alizas@bgu.ac.il](mailto:alizas@bgu.ac.il)

#### יעדי ההוראה:

תחומי דעת נבדלים על בסיס מטרות הידע, תהליכי בניית ידע, הצדקה ידע וכדומה. קיימים גם הבדלים בהקשר החברתי-תרבותי הסובבתחומים שונים, כמו מעמדם של מדעי הטבע לעומת מדעי הרוח, ומעמדו של תחום המתמטיקה כגורם מעריך ומסנן במערכת החינוך. הבדלים אלה באים לידי ביטוי בתחוםי למידה והוראה. הקורס יבחן סוגיות כלליות בתחוםי למידה והוראה, וסוגיות הייחודיות לתחומי דעת שונים.

#### נושאי הקורס:

- מבוא כללי ללמידה והוראה
  - תהליכי הבניית ידע
  - ידע דקלרטיבי, פרוצידורי ואפיסטטומולוגיה
  - למידה כחברות
- מה בין ידע דיסציפליני לידע פדגוגי?
- השקפה מקצועית בהוראה, בדיסציפלינות, ומה שבינהן
  - הבניה חברתית של תחומי דעת
  - למידה והוראה במתמטיקה
  - למידה והוראה במדעים
  - למידה והוראה בהיסטוריה
  - אוריינות
  - למידה אינטגרטיבית ורב-תחומית

## דרישות הקורס והרכב ציון

- נוכחות והכנה למפגשים: חובה
- כתיבה רפלקטיבית על שני מאמרים והנחיית דיון על אחד מהם: 10%
- עבודה מסכמת שנבנית בשלבים במהלך השנה (העבודה תיעשה בזוגות): 90%
  - תכנון של מערכת הוראה: 30%
  - ביצועו ותיעודו, והצגת התיעוד בשיעור לדין קבוצתי: 10%
  - כתיבה מסכמת רפלקטיבית על מאמרים הקשורים לייצוג שהבאתם: 15%
  - ניתוח מעמיק של ההוראה לאור הנלמד בקורס: 35%

## רשימהביבליוגרפית

הרשימה כוללתביבליוגרפיה כללית לקורס בנוסף לפתריטים שיכללו במלות הקריאה.

אלכסנדר, ר. (2005). חינוך, תרבות וקוגניציה: התערבות למען צמיחה.<sup>1</sup> תרגום: נדב סגל.  
<http://www.avneyrosha.org.il/resourcecenter/Pages/90.aspx>

ופרד, א. (2000). שתי מטריות של למידה, והסכנות הטമונות בבחירה אחת בלבד. *חינוך החשיבה*, 13-28 :19

<http://www.avneyrosha.org.il/resourcecenter/Pages/113.aspx>

Abell, S. K. (2008). Twenty years later: Does pedagogical content knowledge remain a useful idea?, *International Journal of Science Education*, 30:10, 1405-1416, DOI: 10.1080/09500690802187041

Allen, A., & Allen, H. (2010). The Answer to Overcoming Math Anxiety: Student's Perceptions. *Articles évalués/Refereed Papers*, 21, 15.

Bain, R. B. (2005). "They Thought the World Was Flat?" Applying the Principles of How People Learnin Teaching High School History.

Bransford, J. D., & Donovan, M. S. (2005). How students learn: History, mathematics, and science in the classroom: Washington, DC: National Academies Press.

Bransford, J., Donovan, S., & Pellegrino, J. W. (1999). *How people learn: Bridging research and practice*: National Academies Press.

Cantrell, S. C., Burns, L. D., & Callaway, P. (2008). Middle-and high-school content area teachers' perceptions about literacy teaching and learning. *Literacy Research and Instruction*, 48(1), 76-94.

Cazden, C. (2011/2008). Reflections on the Study of Classroom Talk, in: N. Mercer and S. Hodgkinson (Eds.). *Exploring Talk in School*. Sage Publications, p. 151-166.

Chin, C., & Brown, D. E. (2000). Learning in science: A comparison of deep and surface approaches. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 37(2), 109-138.

Coddington, C. S., & Guthrie, J. T. (2009). Teacher and student perceptions of boys' and girls' reading motivation. *Reading Psychology*, 30(3), 225-249.

Dillon, J. T. (1982). The Effect of Questions in Education and Other Enterprises. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 14(2), 127-152.

<sup>1</sup>מקורות:

Alexander, R. (2005, July). Education, culture and cognition: Intervening for growth. Paper presented at the International Association for Cognitive Education and Psychology (IACEP) 10th International Conference, University of Durham, England

- Goodwin, C. (1994). Professional vision. *American Anthropologist*, 96(3), 606-633.
- Greeno, J. G. (2006). Learning in Activity, in: K.R. Sawyer (Ed.), *The Cambridge Handbook of the Learning Sciences*, Cambridge University Press. p. 79-98.
- Grossman, P. L., & Stodolsky, S. S. (1994). Chapter 4: Considerations of Content and the Circumstances of Secondary School Teaching. *Review of Research in Education*, 20(1), 179-221.
- Grossman, P. L., & Stodolsky, S. S. (1995). Content as context: The role of school subjects in secondary school teaching. *Educational Researcher*, 24(8), 5-23.
- Gudmundsdottir, S., & Shulman, L. (1987). Pedagogical content knowledge in social studies. *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*, 31(2), 59-70.
- Hofer, B. K. (2000). Dimensionality and disciplinary differences in personal epistemology. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 25(4), 378-405.
- Koschmann, T., Zemel, A., Conlee-Stevens, M., Young, N. P., Robbs, J. E., & Barnhart, A. (2005). How do people learn? *Barriers and Biases in Computer-Mediated Knowledge Communication*, 265-294.
- Kysilka, M. L. (1998). Understanding integrated curriculum. *Curriculum journal*, 9(2), 197-209.
- Lampert, M. (1990). When the problem is not the question and the solution is not the answer: Mathematical knowing and teaching. *American Educational Research Journal*, 27(1), 29-63.
- Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (1991). *Situated learning: Legitimate peripheral participation*. Cambridge University Press.
- Leach, J., & Scott, P. (1995). The demands of learning science concepts: issues of theory and practice. *School Science Review*, 76(277), 47-51.
- Lefstein, A. (2008). Changing classroom practice through the English national literacy strategy: A micro-interactional perspective. *American Educational Research Journal*, 45(3), 701-737.
- Lefstein, A. (2010). More Helpful as Problem than Solution: Some Implications of Situating Dialogue in Classrooms. In K. Littleton & C. Howe (Eds.), *Educational dialogues: understanding and promoting productive interaction* (pp. 170-191). Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge.
- Lehmann, D. (2008). Calling integration into question: A discourse analysis of English and humash classes at a modern Orthodox yeshiva high school. *Journal of Jewish Education*, 74(3), 295-316.
- Linn, M., & Eylon, B. (2006). Science education: Integrating views of learning and instruction. *Handbook of educational psychology*, 2.
- Lonning, R. A., DeFranco, T. C., & Weinland, T. P. (1998). Development of theme-based, interdisciplinary, integrated curriculum: a theoretical model. *School Science and mathematics*, 98(6), 312-319.
- Luke, A. (2004). On the material consequences of literacy. *Language and Education*, 18(4), 331-335.
- MarshRichard, H. W., & Debus, R. (1991). Subject-specific components of academic self-concept and self-efficacy. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 16(4), 331-345.
- Moje, E. B. (2007). Developing socially just subject-matter instruction: A review of the literature on disciplinary literacy teaching. *Review of research in education*, 31(1), 1-44.
- Nystrand, M., Gamoran, A., Kachur, R., & Prendergast, C. (1997). *Opening dialogue: understanding the dynamics of language and learning in the English classroom*. New York: Teachers College Press.

- Osborne, J., Simon, S., & Collins, S. (2003). Attitudes towards science: a review of the literature and its implications. *International journal of science education*, 25(9), 1049-1079.
- Özdemir, G., & Clark, D. B. (2007). An overview of conceptual change theories. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education*, 3(4), 351-361.
- Pollak, I., Segal, A., Lefstein, A., & Meshulam, A. (2018). Teaching controversial issues in a fragile democracy: defusing deliberation in Israeli primary classrooms. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 50(3), 387-409.
- Reisman, A. (2012). Reading like a historian: A document-based history curriculum intervention in urban high schools. *Cognition and instruction*, 30(1), 86-112.
- Reisman, A. (2012). The ‘Document-Based Lesson’: Bringing disciplinary inquiry into high school history classrooms with adolescent struggling readers. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 44(2), 233-264.
- Resnick, L. B., Michaels, S., & O’Connor, M. C., (2010). How (well-structured) talk builds the mind, in: D. D. Preiss & R. J. Sternberg (Eds.). *Innovations in Educational Psychology Perspectives on Learning, Teaching, and Human Development*. Springer Publishing Company, p. 163-194.
- Rogoff, B. (1995). Observing sociocultural activity on three planes: Participatory appropriation, guided participation, and apprenticeship, in: J. V. Wertsch, P. del Rio, and A. Alvarez, (Eds.), *Sociocultural Studies of Mind*. Cambridge University Press, p.139-164.
- Sandoval, W. A. (2005). Understanding students' practical epistemologies and their influence on learning through inquiry. *Science Education*, 89(4), 634-656.
- Scott, P. H., Mortimer, E. F., & Aguiar, O. G. (2006). The tension between authoritative and dialogic discourse: A fundamental characteristic of meaning making interactions in high school science lessons. *Science Education*, 90(4), 605-631.
- Seixas, P. (1994). A discipline adrift in an "integrated" curriculum: History in British Columbia schools. *Canadian Journal of Education/Revue canadienne de l'éducation*, 19(1), 99-107.
- Shulman, L.S. (1986). Those who understand: Knowledge growth in teaching. *Educational Researcher*, 15(2), 4-14.
- Stevens, R., Wineburg, S., Herrenkohl, L. R., & Bell, P. (2005). Comparative understanding of school subjects: Past, present, and future. *Review of Educational Research*, 75(2), 125-157.
- Stodolsky, S. S., & Grossman, P. L. (1995). The impact of subject matter on curricular activity: An analysis of five academic subjects. *American Educational Research Journal*, 32(2), 227-249.
- Stodolsky, S. S., & Grossman, P. L. (1995). The impact of subject matter on curricular activity: An analysis of five academic subjects. *American Educational Research Journal*, 32(2), 227-249.
- Stodolsky, S. S., Salk, S., & Glaessner, B. (1991). Student views about learning math and social studies. *American Educational Research Journal*, 28(1), 89-116.
- Swann, J. (2007). Designing ‘Educationally Effective’ Discussion. *Language and Education*, 21(4), 342-359.
- Torgerson, C. J. (2007). The quality of systematic reviews of effectiveness in literacy learning in English: a ‘tertiary’ review. *Journal of Research in Reading*, 30(3), 287-315.

- Vars, G. F. (1991). Integrated Curriculum in Historical Perspective. *Educational Leadership*, 49, n2.
- Wells, G., & Arauz, R. M. (2006). Dialogue in the classroom. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 15(3), 379-428.
- Wineburg, S. (1999). Historical thinking and other unnatural acts. *The Phi Delta Kappan*, 80(7), 488-499.
- Wineburg, S. S. (1991). On the reading of historical texts: Notes on the breach between school and academy. *American Educational Research Journal*, 28(3), 495-519.
- Wineburg, S. S., & Wilson, S. M. (1988). Models of wisdom in the teaching of history. *The Phi Delta Kappan*, 70(1), 50-58.
- Wineburg, S., Herrenkohl, L. R., & Bell, P. (2005). The Comparative Understanding of School Subjects: Past, Present, and Future Reed Stevens University of Washington.
- Wolters, C. A., & Pintrich, P. R. (1998). Contextual differences in student motivation and self-regulated learning in mathematics, English, and social studies classrooms. *Instructional Science*, 26(1), 27-47.