



אוניברסיטת בן-גוריון בנגב  
הפקולטה למדעי הרוח והחברה

טופס סילבוס לסטודנט

המחלקה לחינוך תשע"ז 2017-2016

שם הקורס: שיח הוראה ולמידה

מס' קורס: סמסטר סתיו 129.2.0442; סמסטר אביב 129.2.0452

שם המרצה: דר' עליזה סיגל  
שעות קבלה:

יעדי ההוראה:

מה מתרחש בכיתות בית-הספר? מדוע? ומהן ההשלכות ללמידתם של תלמידים? בסמינר זה נחקור את כיתות בית הספר כאתרים של הוראה, למידה ופעילויות אחרות. נחקור את פעילות-הגומלין והשיח בכיתה על ידי סקירת הספרות המחקרית, וניתוח של שיעורים מצולמים ומוקלטים בהם נצפה. נשזור יחד בסמינר ארבע סוגיות:

- **מסגרות תיאורטיות**: אילו הנחות מנחות את חשיבתנו על המתרחש בכיתות (וככלל בפעילות חברתית)?
  - **מסגרות מתדולוגיות**: כיצד ניתן לחקור את הפעילות בכיתות?
  - **סוגיות פדגוגיות**: מה לומדים התלמידים וכיצד ראוי שיפעלו המורים?
  - **סוגיות פרופסיונליות**: מי קובע מה נחשב כידע אודות המתרחש בכיתות? מטרת הקורס היא לסייע למשתתפים --
- א) להכיר גישות מחקריות מרכזיות לחקר פעילות הגומלין, השיח, ההוראה והלמידה בכיתות לימוד – הנחות היסוד שלהן, הצדקותיהן, יתרונותיהן והבעיות הכרוכות בהן.
- ב) לבחון פרקטיקות עכשוויות של הוראה ולמידה בבתי-ספר ישראליים: שורשים היסטוריים ותרבותיים, הנחות היסוד, יתרונות ובעיות.
- ג) לערוך מחקר בכיתתם ו/או בכיתותיהם של מורים אחרים.

פרשיות לימודים:

- 1) צפיה ודיון בייצוגים של פעילות ההוראה
- 2) מבוא לשיח וניתוח שיח
- 3) סקירת תיאוריות של למידה
- 4) השיח בכיתה – ניתוח מבני
- 5) ניתוח אתנוגרפי-בלשני
- 6) יחסי כח ומשטר כיתתי
- 7) משאבים לשוניים ותרבותיים והשתתפות בשיעור
- 8) תהליכי הבניית זהות חברתית
- 9) שיח עמיתים בקבוצות קטנות
- 10) הוראה דיאלוגית
- 11) שאלות ושאלה
- 12) מתן משוב
- 13) תפקידה של המורה בניהול דיון בכיתה
- 14) ניתוחי נתונים של המשתתפים

דרישות והרכב ציון הקורס

1. נוכחות והכנה לשיעורים חובה

20%	2. מטלות קריאה והצגתן בשיעורים
10%	3. הצגת נתונים לניתוח
70%	4. עבודת הסיום (חקר אירוע)

### רשימה ביבליוגרפית:

אלכסנדר, רובין (2005) חינוך, תרבות וקוגניציה: התערבות למען צמיחה. הרצאה שניתנה בכנס הבינלאומי ה-10 של האגודה הבינלאומית לחינוך ופסיכולוגיה קוגניטיביים (IACEP), אוניברסיטת דורהם, יולי 2005. (ההרצאה תורגמה על-ידי נדב סגל ופורסמה באתר מכון אבני-ראשה)

דיין, יעל (2005) השאלה: משמרת ויוצרת את יחסי הכוח בארגונים חינוכיים. **במכללה**, 16-17: 115-138.

ורדי-ראט, אסתר ושושנה בלום-קולקה (2005) "השיעור כאירוע דיבור א-סימטרי – מבט על מבנה ההשתתפות בכיתה הישראלית", בתוך: **שיח בחינוך: אירועים חינוכיים כשדה מחקר**, בעריכת עירית קופפרברג, עלית אולשטיין, הוצאת מכון מופ"ת, 2005, 385-417.

מיהן, י. (1996) מבנה האירועים בכיתה וההשלכות על ביצועי התלמידים. **חלקת לשון 22**, קיץ תשנ"ו.

קשתי, יצחק אריאלי, מרדכי ויהודית הראל (1985) הכיתה כמערכת משמעויות >נתונים אתנוגרפיים משלוש כיתות בבית-ספר יסודי בעיר פיתוח אחת<, **מגמות כ"ט**, עמ' 7-21. (המאמר מופיע גם במקראה של האוניברסיטה הפתוחה)

- Alexander, R. J. (2000). *Culture and pedagogy: international comparisons in primary education*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers.
- Alexander, R. J. (2005). *Towards dialogic teaching: rethinking classroom talk* (2nd ed.). Cambridge: Dialogos.
- Alexander, R. J. (2008). *Essays on pedagogy*. London: Routledge.
- Au, K. H. (1998). Social constructivism and the school literacy learning of students of diverse backgrounds. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 30(2), 297-319.
- Ball, A. F. (2002). Three decades of research on classroom life: Illuminating the classroom communicative lives of America's at-risk students. *Review of research in education*, 26, 71-111.
- Ball, D. L., & Lampert, M. (1999). Multiples of evidence, time, and perspective: Revising the study of teaching and learning. In E. C. Lagemann & L. S. Shulman (Eds.), *Issues in education research: Problems and possibilities* (pp. 371-398). San Fransisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Bloome, D., Carter, S. P., Christian, B. M., Otto, S., & Shuart-Faris, N. (2005). *Discourse analysis & the study of classroom language & literacy events: a microethnographic perspective*. Mahwah, N.J.: L. Erlbaum Associates. (chapter 3)
- Bloome, D., Puro, P., & Theodorou, E. (1989). Procedural Display and Classroom Lessons. *Curriculum Inquiry*, 19(3), 265-291.
- Burbules, N. C. (1993). *Dialogue in teaching: theory and practice*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Burbules, N. C., & Bruce, B. C. (2001). Theory and Research on Teaching as Dialogue. In V. Richardson & American Educational Research Association. (Eds.), *Handbook of research on teaching* (4th ed., Vol. 4, pp. 1102-1121). Washington, D.C.: American Educational Research Association.
- Candela, A. (1998). Students' power in classroom discourse. *Linguistics and Education*, 10(2), 139-163.
- Cazden, C. B. (2001). *Classroom discourse: the language of teaching and learning* (2nd ed.). Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.

- Cohen, D. K. (1990) 'A revolution in one classroom: The case of Mrs. Oublier'. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 12(3), 311-329.
- Davies, B., & R. Hunt. (1994). Classroom Competencies and Marginal Positionings. *British Journal of Sociology of Education*. 15(3), 389-408.
- Dillon, J. T. (1982). The Effect of Questions in Education and Other Enterprises. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 14(2), 127-152.
- Dillon, J. T. (1988). *Questioning and teaching: a manual of practice*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Edwards, A. D., & Westgate, D. P. G. (1994). *Investigating classroom talk* (Rev. and extended 2nd ed ed.). London: Falmer.
- Galton, M. J., Hargreaves, L., Comber, C., Wall, D., & Pell, A. (1999). *Inside the primary classroom: 20 years on*. London: Routledge.
- Goffman, E. (1974). *Frame analysis: an essay on the organization of experience*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press. (on production formats)
- Graff, N. (2009). Classroom talk: co-constructing a 'difficult student'. *Educational Research*. 51(4), 439-454.
- Gutierrez, K., Rymes, B., & Larson, J. (1995). Script, Counterscript, and Underlife in the Classroom - Brown, James Versus Brown V Board-of-Education. *Harvard Educational Review*, 65(3), 445-471.
- Hammersley, M. (1977). School learning: the cultural resources required by pupils to answer a teacher's question. In P. Woods & M. Hammersley (Eds.), *School experience: explorations in the sociology of education* (pp. 57-86). London: Croom Helm.
- Harpaz, Y. (2005). Teaching and Learning in a Community of Thinking. *Journal of Curriculum and Supervision*, 20(2), 136-157.
- Harpaz, Y., & Lefstein, A. (2000). Communities of thinking. *Educational Leadership*, 58(3), 54-57.
- Heap, J. L. (1985). Discourse in the Production of Classroom Knowledge - Reading Lessons. *Curriculum Inquiry*, 15(3), 245-279.
- Heath, S. B. (1982). What No Bedtime Story Means - Narrative Skills at Home and School. *Language in Society*, 11(1), 49-76.
- Holt, J. C. (1990). *How children fail* (Rev. ed ed.). Harmondsworth: Penguin.
- Hymes, D. H. (1996). *Ethnography, linguistics, narrative inequality: toward an understanding of voice*. London: Taylor & Francis. (esp. chapter 4)
- Jackson, P. W. (1968). *Life in classrooms*. New York,: Holt Rinehart and Winston.
- Kohn, A. (1996). *Beyond discipline: from compliance to community*. Alexandria, Va.: ASCD.
- Ladson-Billings, G. (1995). Toward a theory of culturally relevant pedagogy. *American Education Research Journal*, 35, 465-491.
- Lampert, M. (2001). *Teaching problems and the problems of teaching*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Lee, C. D. (2001a). Is October Brown Chinese? A cultural modeling activity system for underachieving students. *American Educational Research Journal*, 38(1), 97-141.
- Lefstein, A. (2002). Thinking power and pedagogy apart - Coping with discipline in progressivist school reform. *Teachers College Record*, 104(8), 1627-1655.

- Lefstein, A. (2008). Changing Classroom Practice through the English National Literacy Strategy: A Micro-Interactional Perspective. *American Educational Research Journal*, 45(3), 701-737.
- Lefstein, A. 2010. More Helpful as Problem than Solution: Some Implications of Situating Dialogue in Classrooms. In *Educational dialogues: understanding and promoting productive interaction*, edited by K. Littleton and C. Howe. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge.
- Lefstein, A., & Snell, J. (in press). Classroom discourse: the Promise and Complexity of Dialogic Practice. In S. Ellis, E. McCartney & J. Bourne (Eds.), *Insight and Impact: Applied Linguistics and the Primary School*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Leinhardt, G., & Steele, M. D. (2005). Seeing the Complexity of Standing to the Side: Instructional Dialogues. *Cognition and Instruction*, 23(1), 87-163.
- Lin, A. M. Y. (2007). What's the Use of "Triadic Dialogue"?: Activity Theory, Conversation Analysis, and Analysis of Pedagogical Practices. *PEDAGOGIES*, 2(2), 77.
- Littleton, K., & Howe, C. (in press). *Educational dialogues: understanding and promoting productive interaction*. London: Routledge.
- Macbeth, D. (2003). Hugh Mehan's Learning Lessons reconsidered: On the differences between the naturalistic and critical analysis of classroom discourse. *American Educational Research Journal*, 40(1), 239-280.
- McDermott, R. P., & Gospodinoff, K. (1979). Social context for ethnic borders and school failure. In A. Wolfgang (Ed.), *Nonverbal behavior: applications and cultural implications* (pp. 175-195). New York: Academic Press.
- Mehan, H. (1979). "What Time Is It, Denise?": Asking Known Information Questions in Classroom Discourse. *Theory into Practice*, 18(4), 285-294.
- Mehan, H. (1979). *Learning lessons: social organization in the classroom*. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press.
- Mehan, H. (1996). The construction of an LD student: A case study in the politics of representation. In M. Silverstein & G. Urban (Eds.), *Natural histories of discourse* (pp. 253-276). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Mercer, N. (2000). *Words and minds: how we use language to think together*. London: Routledge.
- Mercer, N., & Littleton, K. (2007). *Dialogue and the development of children's thinking : a sociocultural approach*. London: Routledge.
- Moll, L. C., Amanti, C., Neff, D., & Gonzalez, N. (1992). Funds of knowledge for teaching: Using a qualitative approach to connect homes and classrooms. *Theory into practice*, 132-141.
- Moss, G. (2007). *Literacy and gender: researching texts, contexts and readers*. London: Routledge.
- Myhill, D., & Dunkin, F. (2005). Questioning Learning? *Language and Education*, 19(5), 415-427.
- Myhill, D., Jones, S. M., & Hopper, R. (2006). *Talking, listening, learning: effective talk in the primary classroom*. Maidenhead: Open University Press.

- Nystrand, M., Gamoran, A., Kachur, R., & Prendergast, C. (1997). *Opening dialogue: understanding the dynamics of language and learning in the English classroom*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Nystrand, M., Wu, L. L., Gamoran, A., Zeiser, S., & Long, D. A. (2003). Questions in Time: Investigating the Structure and Dynamics of Unfolding Classroom Discourse. *Discourse Processes*, 35(2), 135-198.
- O'Connor, M. C., & Michaels, S. (1993). Aligning academic task and participation status through revoicing: Analysis of a classroom discourse strategy. *Anthropology & Education Quarterly*, 24(4), 318-335.
- Pace, J. L., & Hemmings, A. B. (2006). *Classroom authority : theory, research, and practice*. Mahwah, N.J.: L. Erlbaum Associates.
- Palincsar, A. S. (1986). The role of dialogue in providing scaffolded instruction. *Educational Psychologist*, 21(1 & 2), 73-98.
- Philips, S. (1974). Participant structures and communicative competence: Warm Springs children in community and classroom. In C. Cazden, V. John, & D. Hymes (Eds.), *Functions of language in the classroom* (pp. 370-394). New York: Teachers College Press.
- Polman, J. L. (2004). Dialogic activity structures for project-based learning environments. *Cognition and Instruction*, 22(4), 431-466.
- Rampton, B. & Harris, R. (2009) "Change in Urban Classroom Culture and Interaction", chapter in K. Littleton & C. Howe (eds) *Educational Dialogues: Understanding & Promoting Productive Interaction*. London: Routledge.
- Rampton, B. (2006). *Language in Late Modernity: Interaction in an Urban School*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Rampton, B. (2007). Neo-Hymesian linguistic ethnography in the United Kingdom. *Journal of Sociolinguistics*, 11(5), 584-607.
- Reay, D. (2006). 'I'm not seen as one of the clever children': consulting primary school pupils about the social conditions of learning. *Educational review*, 58(2), 171-181.
- Rex, L. A., & Schiller, L. (2009). *Using discourse analysis to improve classroom interaction*. New York: Routledge.
- Ruiz-Primo, M. A., & Furtak, E. M. (2007). Exploring teachers' informal formative assessment practices and students' understanding in the context of scientific inquiry. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 44(1), 57-84.
- Rymes, B. (2009). *Classroom discourse analysis: a tool for critical reflection*. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.
- Schleppegrell, M. J. (2004). Classroom discourse analysis: A functional perspective. *Language in Society*, 33(3), 443-446.
- Scott, P. H., Mortimer, E. F., & Aguiar, O. G. (2006). The tension between authoritative and dialogic discourse: A fundamental characteristic of meaning making interactions in high school science lessons. *Science Education*, 90(4), 605-631.
- Seedhouse, P. (2004). *The interactional architecture of the language classroom : a conversation analysis perspective*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Sidorkin, A. M. (1999). *Beyond discourse: education, the self, and dialogue*. Albany, N.Y.: State University of New York Press.
- Sinclair, J. M., & Coulthard, M. (1975). *Towards an analysis of discourse: the English used by teachers and pupils*. Oxford University Press: London.

- Smith, H., & Higgins, S. (2006). Opening classroom interaction: the importance of feedback. *Cambridge journal of education*, 36(4), 485-502.
- Smith, M. S., Hughes, E. K., Engle, R. A., & Stein, M. K. (2009). Orchestrating Discussions of Challenging Tasks: Keeping Your Eye on the Mathematics to be Learned. *Mathematics Teaching in the Middle School*, 14(9), 548-556.
- Staples, M. (2007). Supporting whole-class collaborative inquiry in a secondary mathematics classroom. *Cognition and Instruction*, 25(2-3), 161-217.
- Stein, M. K., Engle, R. A., Smith, M. S., & Hughes, E. K. (2008). Orchestrating Productive Mathematical Discussions: Five Practices for Helping Teachers Move beyond Show and Tell. *Mathematical Thinking and Learning: An International Journal*, 10(4), 28.
- Tabak, I., & Baumgartner, E. (2004). The teacher as partner: Exploring participant structures, symmetry, and identity work in scaffolding. *Cognition and Instruction*, 22(4), 393-429.
- Tharp, R. G., & Gallimore, R. (1989). *Rousing minds to life: Teaching and learning in social context*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Varenne, H., & McDermott, R. (1998). *Successful failure: the school America builds*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Walsh, S. (2006). *Investigating classroom discourse*. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge.
- Walshaw, M., & Anthony, G. (2008). The teacher's role in classroom discourse: A review of recent research into mathematics classrooms. *Review of Educational Research*, 78(3), 516-551.
- Wells, C. G. (1999). *Dialogic inquiry: towards a sociocultural practice and theory of education*. Cambridge, U.K. ; New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Wells, G. (1993). Reevaluating the IRF sequence: A proposal for the articulation of theories of activity and discourse for the analysis of teaching and learning in the classroom. *Linguistics and Education*, 5(1), 1-38.
- Wortham, S. (2004). The interdependence of social identification and learning. *American Educational Research Journal*, 41(3), 715-750.
- Wortham, S. E. F. (2006). *Learning identity: the joint, local emergence of social identification and academic learning*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.



**Ben Gurion University of the Negev**  
**The faculty of Social Science**

**Syllabus**

**Education Department      2016-2017**

**Course name:**      Discourse, Teaching and Learning

**Course number:**      Fall semester: 129.2.0442; Spring semester: 129.2.0452

**Lecturer:**

**Goals:**

*What happens in classrooms? Why? And what are the implications for learning?*

This seminar investigates classrooms as sites of teaching and learning – and other activities – through examination of the different ways in which classroom discourse and interaction have been investigated and theorised in the research literature, and through explorations of video and audio recorded classroom lessons. The seminar weaves together four sets of issues:

- Theoretical frames: What assumptions do we bring with us in thinking about what happens in classrooms (and social action more generally)?
- Methodological frames: How should classrooms be researched?
- Pedagogical issues: What pupils are learning and how should teachers act?
- Professional issues: Who decides what counts as knowledge about classrooms?

Course goals include:

- a) To become acquainted with central approaches to research classroom interaction, discourse, teaching and learning – their assumptions, justifications, advantages and problems.
- b) To examine current practices of teaching and learning in Israeli schools: their historical and cultural roots, their underlying assumptions, and their educational advantages and problems.
- c) To conduct inquiry in their own or another's classrooms.

**Course content:**

- 1) Observing and discussing video representations of teaching
- 2) Introduction to discourse and discourse analysis
- 3) Review of learning theories
- 4) Classroom discourse – structural analysis
- 5) Linguistic Ethnographic analysis
- 6) Power relations and interactional regime

- 7) Linguistic and cultural repertoires and classroom participation
- 8) Processes of social identification
- 9) Small group discourse and interaction
- 10) Dialogic teaching
- 11) Questions and questioning
- 12) Teacher feedback
- 13) The teacher's role in managing classroom discussion
- 14) Analysis of participants' data

### **Course requirements:**

- |   |     |
|---|-----|
| 1) Attendance and preparation mandatory |     |
| 2) Reading tasks –                      | 20% |
| 3) Presentation of data –               | 10% |
| 4) Final paper –                        | 70% |

### **Bibliography**

- אלכסנדר, רובין (2005) חינוך, תרבות וקוגניציה: התערבות למען צמיחה. הרצאה שניתנה בכנס הבינלאומי ה-10 של האגודה הבינלאומית לחינוך ופסיכולוגיה קוגניטיביים (IACEP), אוניברסיטת דורהם, יולי 2005. (ההרצאה תורגמה על-ידי נדב סגל ופורסמה באתר מכון אבני-ראשה)
- דיין, יעל (2005) השאלה: משמרת ויוצרת את יחסי הכוח בארגונים חינוכיים. **במכללה**, 16-17: 115-138.
- ורדי-ראט, אסתר ושושנה בלום-קולקה (2005) "השיעור כאירוע דיבור א-סימטרי – מבט על מבנה ההשתתפות בכיתה הישראלית", בתוך: **שיח בחינוך: אירועים חינוכיים כשדה מחקר**, בעריכת עירית קופרברג, עלית אולשטיין, הוצאת מכון מופ"ת, 2005, 385-417.
- מיהן, י. (1996) מבנה האירועים בכיתה וההשלכות על ביצועי התלמידים. **חלקת לשון 22**, קיץ תשנ"ו.
- קשתי, יצחק אריאלי, מרדכי ויהודית הראל (1985) הכיתה כמערכת משמעותית >נתונים אתנוגרפיים משלוש כיתות בבית-ספר יסודי בעיר פיתוח אחת<, **מגמות** כ"ט, עמ' 7-21. (המאמר מופיע גם במקראה של האוניברסיטה הפתוחה)

- Alexander, R. J. (2000). *Culture and pedagogy: international comparisons in primary education*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers.
- Alexander, R. J. (2005). *Towards dialogic teaching: rethinking classroom talk* (2nd ed.). Cambridge: Dialogos.
- Alexander, R. J. (2008). *Essays on pedagogy*. London: Routledge.
- Au, K. H. (1998). Social constructivism and the school literacy learning of students of diverse backgrounds. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 30(2), 297-319.
- Ball, A. F. (2002). Three decades of research on classroom life: Illuminating the classroom communicative lives of America's at-risk students. *Review of research in education*, 26, 71-111.
- Ball, D. L., & Lampert, M. (1999). Multiples of evidence, time, and perspective: Revising the study of teaching and learning. In E. C. Lagemann & L. S. Shulman (Eds.), *Issues in education research: Problems and possibilities* (pp. 371-398). San Fransisco: Jossey-Bass.



- Bloome, D., Carter, S. P., Christian, B. M., Otto, S., & Shuart-Faris, N. (2005). *Discourse analysis & the study of classroom language & literacy events: a microethnographic perspective*. Mahwah, N.J.: L. Erlbaum Associates. (chapter 3)
- Bloome, D., Puro, P., & Theodorou, E. (1989). Procedural Display and Classroom Lessons. *Curriculum Inquiry*, 19(3), 265-291.
- Burbules, N. C. (1993). *Dialogue in teaching: theory and practice*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Burbules, N. C., & Bruce, B. C. (2001). Theory and Research on Teaching as Dialogue. In V. Richardson & American Educational Research Association. (Eds.), *Handbook of research on teaching* (4th ed., Vol. 4, pp. 1102-1121). Washington, D.C.: American Educational Research Association.
- Candela, A. (1998). Students' power in classroom discourse. *Linguistics and Education*, 10(2), 139-163.
- Cazden, C. B. (2001). *Classroom discourse: the language of teaching and learning* (2nd ed.). Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Cohen, D. K. (1990) 'A revolution in one classroom: The case of Mrs. Oublier'. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 12(3), 311-329.
- Davies, B., & R. Hunt. (1994). Classroom Competencies and Marginal Positionings. *British Journal of Sociology of Education*. 15(3), 389-408.
- Dillon, J. T. (1982). The Effect of Questions in Education and Other Enterprises. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 14(2), 127-152.
- Dillon, J. T. (1988). *Questioning and teaching: a manual of practice*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Edwards, A. D., & Westgate, D. P. G. (1994). *Investigating classroom talk* (Rev. and extended 2nd ed ed.). London: Falmer.
- Galton, M. J., Hargreaves, L., Comber, C., Wall, D., & Pell, A. (1999). *Inside the primary classroom: 20 years on*. London: Routledge.
- Goffman, E. (1974). *Frame analysis: an essay on the organization of experience*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press. (on production formats)
- Graff, N. (2009). Classroom talk: co-constructing a 'difficult student'. *Educational Research*. 51(4), 439-454.
- Gutierrez, K., Rymes, B., & Larson, J. (1995). Script, Counterscript, and Underlife in the Classroom - Brown, James Versus Brown V Board-of-Education. *Harvard Educational Review*, 65(3), 445-471.
- Hammersley, M. (1977). School learning: the cultural resources required by pupils to answer a teacher's question. In P. Woods & M. Hammersley (Eds.), *School experience: explorations in the sociology of education* (pp. 57-86). London: Croom Helm.
- Harpaz, Y. (2005). Teaching and Learning in a Community of Thinking. *Journal of Curriculum and Supervision*, 20(2), 136-157.
- Harpaz, Y., & Lefstein, A. (2000). Communities of thinking. *Educational Leadership*, 58(3), 54-57.
- Heap, J. L. (1985). Discourse in the Production of Classroom Knowledge - Reading Lessons. *Curriculum Inquiry*, 15(3), 245-279.
- Heath, S. B. (1982). What No Bedtime Story Means - Narrative Skills at Home and School. *Language in Society*, 11(1), 49-76.
- Holt, J. C. (1990). *How children fail* (Rev. ed ed.). Harmondsworth: Penguin.

- Hymes, D. H. (1996). *Ethnography, linguistics, narrative inequality: toward an understanding of voice*. London: Taylor & Francis. (esp. chapter 4)
- Jackson, P. W. (1968). *Life in classrooms*. New York,: Holt Rinehart and Winston.
- Kohn, A. (1996). *Beyond discipline: from compliance to community*. Alexandria, Va.: ASCD.
- Ladson-Billings, G. (1995). Toward a theory of culturally relevant pedagogy. *American Education Research Journal*, 35, 465–491.
- Lampert, M. (2001). *Teaching problems and the problems of teaching*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Lee, C. D. (2001a). Is October Brown Chinese? A cultural modeling activity system for underachieving students. *American Educational Research Journal*, 38(1), 97–141.
- Lefstein, A. (2002). Thinking power and pedagogy apart - Coping with discipline in progressivist school reform. *Teachers College Record*, 104(8), 1627-1655.
- Lefstein, A. (2008). Changing Classroom Practice through the English National Literacy Strategy: A Micro-Interactional Perspective. *American Educational Research Journal*, 45(3), 701-737.
- Lefstein, A. 2010. More Helpful as Problem than Solution: Some Implications of Situating Dialogue in Classrooms. In *Educational dialogues: understanding and promoting productive interaction*, edited by K. Littleton and C. Howe. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge.
- Lefstein, A., & Snell, J. (in press). Classroom discourse: the Promise and Complexity of Dialogic Practice. In S. Ellis, E. McCartney & J. Bourne (Eds.), *Insight and Impact: Applied Linguistics and the Primary School*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Leinhardt, G., & Steele, M. D. (2005). Seeing the Complexity of Standing to the Side: Instructional Dialogues. *Cognition and Instruction*, 23(1), 87-163.
- Lin, A. M. Y. (2007). What's the Use of "Triadic Dialogue"?: Activity Theory, Conversation Analysis, and Analysis of Pedagogical Practices. *PEDAGOGIES*, 2(2), 77.
- Littleton, K., & Howe, C. (in press). *Educational dialogues: understanding and promoting productive interaction*. London: Routledge.
- Macbeth, D. (2003). Hugh Mehan's Learning Lessons reconsidered: On the differences between the naturalistic and critical analysis of classroom discourse. *American Educational Research Journal*, 40(1), 239-280.
- McDermott, R. P., & Gospodinoff, K. (1979). Social context for ethnic borders and school failure. In A. Wolfgang (Ed.), *Nonverbal behavior: applications and cultural implications* (pp. 175-195). New York: Academic Press.
- Mehan, H. (1979). "What Time Is It, Denise?": Asking Known Information Questions in Classroom Discourse. *Theory into Practice*, 18(4), 285-294.
- Mehan, H. (1979). *Learning lessons: social organization in the classroom*. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press.
- Mehan, H. (1996). The construction of an LD student: A case study in the politics of representation. In M. Silverstein & G. Urban (Eds.), *Natural histories of discourse* (pp. 253-276). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

- Mercer, N. (2000). *Words and minds: how we use language to think together*. London: Routledge.
- Mercer, N., & Littleton, K. (2007). *Dialogue and the development of children's thinking : a sociocultural approach*. London: Routledge.
- Moll, L. C., Amanti, C., Neff, D., & Gonzalez, N. (1992). Funds of knowledge for teaching: Using a qualitative approach to connect homes and classrooms. *Theory into practice*, 132-141.
- Moss, G. (2007). *Literacy and gender: researching texts, contexts and readers*. London: Routledge.
- Myhill, D., & Dunkin, F. (2005). Questioning Learning? *Language and Education*, 19(5), 415-427.
- Myhill, D., Jones, S. M., & Hopper, R. (2006). *Talking, listening, learning: effective talk in the primary classroom*. Maidenhead: Open University Press.
- Nystrand, M., Gamoran, A., Kachur, R., & Prendergast, C. (1997). *Opening dialogue: understanding the dynamics of language and learning in the English classroom*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Nystrand, M., Wu, L. L., Gamoran, A., Zeiser, S., & Long, D. A. (2003). Questions in Time: Investigating the Structure and Dynamics of Unfolding Classroom Discourse. *Discourse Processes*, 35(2), 135-198.
- O'Connor, M. C., & Michaels, S. (1993). Aligning academic task and participation status through revoicing: Analysis of a classroom discourse strategy. *Anthropology & Education Quarterly*, 24(4), 318-335.
- Pace, J. L., & Hemmings, A. B. (2006). *Classroom authority : theory, research, and practice*. Mahwah, N.J.: L. Erlbaum Associates.
- Palincsar, A. S. (1986). The role of dialogue in providing scaffolded instruction. *Educational Psychologist*, 21(1 & 2), 73-98.
- Philips, S. (1974). Participant structures and communicative competence: Warm Springs children in community and classroom. In C. Cazden, V. John, & D. Hymes (Eds.), *Functions of language in the classroom* (pp. 370-394). New York: Teachers College Press.
- Polman, J. L. (2004). Dialogic activity structures for project-based learning environments. *Cognition and Instruction*, 22(4), 431-466.
- Rampton, B. & Harris, R. (2009) "Change in Urban Classroom Culture and Interaction", chapter in K. Littleton & C. Howe (eds) *Educational Dialogues: Understanding & Promoting Productive Interaction*. London: Routledge.
- Rampton, B. (2006). *Language in Late Modernity: Interaction in an Urban School*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Rampton, B. (2007). Neo-Hymesian linguistic ethnography in the United Kingdom. *Journal of Sociolinguistics*, 11(5), 584-607.
- Reay, D. (2006). I'm not seen as one of the clever children': consulting primary school pupils about the social conditions of learning. *Educational review*, 58(2), 171-181.
- Rex, L. A., & Schiller, L. (2009). *Using discourse analysis to improve classroom interaction*. New York: Routledge.
- Ruiz-Primo, M. A., & Furtak, E. M. (2007). Exploring teachers' informal formative assessment practices and students' understanding in the context of scientific inquiry. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 44(1), 57-84.

- Rymes, B. (2009). *Classroom discourse analysis: a tool for critical reflection*. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.
- Schleppegrell, M. J. (2004). Classroom discourse analysis: A functional perspective. *Language in Society*, 33(3), 443-446.
- Scott, P. H., Mortimer, E. F., & Aguiar, O. G. (2006). The tension between authoritative and dialogic discourse: A fundamental characteristic of meaning making interactions in high school science lessons. *Science Education*, 90(4), 605-631.
- Seedhouse, P. (2004). *The interactional architecture of the language classroom : a conversation analysis perspective*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Sidorkin, A. M. (1999). *Beyond discourse: education, the self, and dialogue*. Albany, N.Y.: State University of New York Press.
- Sinclair, J. M., & Coulthard, M. (1975). *Towards an analysis of discourse: the English used by teachers and pupils*. Oxford University Press: London.
- Smith, H., & Higgins, S. (2006). Opening classroom interaction: the importance of feedback. *Cambridge journal of education*, 36(4), 485-502.
- Smith, M. S., Hughes, E. K., Engle, R. A., & Stein, M. K. (2009). Orchestrating Discussions of Challenging Tasks: Keeping Your Eye on the Mathematics to be Learned. *Mathematics Teaching in the Middle School*, 14(9), 548-556.
- Staples, M. (2007). Supporting whole-class collaborative inquiry in a secondary mathematics classroom. *Cognition and Instruction*, 25(2-3), 161-217.
- Stein, M. K., Engle, R. A., Smith, M. S., & Hughes, E. K. (2008). Orchestrating Productive Mathematical Discussions: Five Practices for Helping Teachers Move beyond Show and Tell. *Mathematical Thinking and Learning: An International Journal*, 10(4), 28.
- Tabak, I., & Baumgartner, E. (2004). The teacher as partner: Exploring participant structures, symmetry, and identity work in scaffolding. *Cognition and Instruction*, 22(4), 393-429.
- Tharp, R. G., & Gallimore, R. (1989). *Rousing minds to life: Teaching and learning in social context*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Varenne, H., & McDermott, R. (1998). *Successful failure: the school America builds*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Walsh, S. (2006). *Investigating classroom discourse*. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge.
- Walshaw, M., & Anthony, G. (2008). The teacher's role in classroom discourse: A review of recent research into mathematics classrooms. *Review of Educational Research*, 78(3), 516-551.
- Wells, C. G. (1999). *Dialogic inquiry: towards a sociocultural practice and theory of education*. Cambridge, U.K. ; New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Wells, G. (1993). Reevaluating the IRF sequence: A proposal for the articulation of theories of activity and discourse for the analysis of teaching and learning in the classroom. *Linguistics and Education*, 5(1), 1-38.
- Wortham, S. (2004). The interdependence of social identification and learning. *American Educational Research Journal*, 41(3), 715-750.

Wortham, S. E. F. (2006). *Learning identity: the joint, local emergence of social identification and academic learning*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.