

Noise-Tolerant Quantum Tokens For Mac

Amit Behera, Or Sattath, Uriel Shinar **Ben-Gurion University**, Israel



A Noise Preserving Lift

- Standard techniques used in quantum money schemes can lift the mini-scheme to a full blown tokenized MAC scheme.
- The lift preserves noise tolerance.
- The lift assumes only post quantum ulletcollision resistant hash functions



Mini Scheme for 1-bit

- $Keygen(1^{\lambda})$ -creates a key $(a, b) \in \{0, 1\}^2 \lambda.$
- **Tokengen**_{sk}- creates the token $|t\rangle = H^b |a\rangle.$
- $Sign_{|t\rangle}(m)$ Measures the qubits of $(H^m)^{\otimes \lambda} | t \rangle$ in the computational basis, to get a signature σ .
- Verify_{sk} (m, σ) checks if the signature σ is consistent with $(\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{m}})^{\otimes \lambda} | t \rangle$ on the computational basis.

Achieves Unconditional mini scheme Security

A typical

Security Proof Idea

- Obstacle: Dealing the verification oracle- repeated successful queries on the same message.
- Solution: Strengthen the adversary by providing extra data on a successful query. Now she **does not need to** repeatedly query on a document previously accepted.
- Reduce such an adversary to an adversary in one of two games both of which has negligible winning probability (proven by semidefinite programming).

Application

TMACs imply Quantum One-time



Solution: Tokenized MAC

- $Keygen \rightarrow sk$ (secret),
- $Tokengen(sk) \rightarrow |tok\rangle$ (Signing tokens)
- $Sign_{|tok\rangle}(m) \rightarrow \sigma$ (Signature)
- $Verif y_{sk}(m, \sigma) \rightarrow Yes/No.$
- Correctness: Signing a document using a valid token passes verification.
- Security: Given oracle access to verification, one cannot sign $\ell + 1$ distinct documents using ℓ tokens.
- Previous Constructions: [1]

Impracticality of previous work[1]

Used highly entangled states as the tokens ulletthat are hard to prepare.



memory in the presence of stateless Hardware oracle.

- Implies **Private Quantum Money.**
- Our scheme may be practically **implementable** in the recent future since it is **noise-tolerant** and requires only **Conjugate coding states that** are easy to prepare and transfer over long distances.

Open Question

- Classical MACs imply one-way functions. Do TMACs imply **Quantum-secure One-way** functions?
- What are its relations between related cryptographic primitives such

Required perfect quantum devices.

Our Goal: To construct an alternate scheme which is practically feasible.

scheme is secure, and is tolerant to IID errors occurring with probability 2η on each qubit.

• We show that for $\eta < 0.07$, the

fraction of the qubits.

as Quantum Encryption with **Certified Deletion, Copy-protection** etc? Do they imply each other?

Reference:

[1]Ben-David and O. Sattath. Quantum Tokens for Digital Sig-natures, 2016, arXiv:1609.09047.

Read the Full Paper at: <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/2105.05016.pdf</u>