Course title (English): Syntactic Processing

Course title (Hebrew): עיבוד תחבירי

Course number: 133.10115 סמסטר א; 133.1.0125 סמסטר ב

Course slot: Annual, Tuesday

Lecturer: Dr. Irena Botwinik

Lecturer’s conference hour and contact details: Tuesday, upon appointment botwinikirena@gmail.com 054-4645894; 077-7645894

Course description & objectives:
The course adopts the assumption that the Computational System (CS) underlies both the production and the processing of sentences. In other words, we will assume that the same computational tools are used in production and processing. Thus, we can use typical instances of processing breakdown to shed light on the workings of the CS. We will focus on sentences that involve processing breakdown referred to as Garden Path (e.g. ‘Below the stairs collapsed’). In the first part of the course we will examine the constraints that underlie the processing of a sentence, and define in syntactic terms the processing difficulty that arises in the Garden Path sentences, namely why the processing of these sentences does not proceed automatically (as witnessed by the fact that we are aware of the difficulty). In the second part of the course we will discuss the consequences of the processing theory and its applications in the study of language acquisition, and in the study of individuals suffering from aphasia (language impairment resulting from brain damage). Finally, we will attempt to define the principles of the CS that are operative in the production and processing phenomena examined in the course.

Course requirements:
5-7 assignments (20%) (P/F)
Midcourse assignment, graded (after semester alef) (50%)
Final assignment, graded (at the end of the course) (30%)

Prerequisite
Introduction to Linguistics

Attendance is required (if possible)

Topics & bibliography
Semester alef
1. Introduction: Background, central terms in the study of language processing, processing limitations (center-embedded and Garden Path sentences).
2. Previous processing theories and their problems.
3. The processing guideline and the definition of difficult reanalysis, TRC (Pritchett 1992)
4. Various types of GPs and the revision of the TRC.

**Semester bet**

5. The consequences of the processing theory and its implications: Comprehension of relative clauses in language acquisition: GP in conductive aphasia.
7. The psychological reality of syntactic movement.
8. Summary of the course: The principles of the CS operative in the production and processing phenomena examined in the course.
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