המחלקה לספריות זרות ובלשנות – שנת הלימודים תשפ״א 2020-21

שם הקורס: סימון מושא ישיר בשפות שמיות – **Object Marking in Semitic Languages**

שם המרצה: ד״ר אולגה קגן

In this course, we will investigate the phenomenon of differential object marking (DOM) in Semitic languages. DOM is a phenomenon whereby the object of a verb may be either marked or unmarked for case, depending on a range of its properties. We will consider object marking patterns in such languages as Arabic, Hebrew, Amharic and Neo-Aramaic and discuss semantic and syntactic approaches to the phenomenon. We will see how DOM interacts with specificity, definiteness, object agreement and semantic type.

Differential object marking: a cross-linguistic perspective. The role of animacy, definiteness and specificity.

Et in Hebrew: syntactic versus semantic definiteness; semantic type; the NP/DP contrast; partitivity.

La in Arabic: definiteness, object agreement, pragmatic factors.

The suffix -*n* in Amharic: definiteness, specificity, the interaction between accusative case and object agreement.

Et in Biblical Hebrew and individuation.

yt and *l-* in Aramaic from a diachronic perspective.

<div dir="rtl">

דרישות והרכב ציון הקורס
משקל בציון הסופי
נוכחות :   חובה
עבודות   70 %
מצגות   30 %

</div>

<div dir="rtl">

רשימה ביבליוגרפית:

</div>

Selected References:

1. Aissen, Judith. 2003. Differential Object Marking: Iconicity vs. Economy. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 21: 435-483.

2. Baker, M. C. 2012. On the relationship of object agreement and accusative case: Evidence from Amharic. Linguistic Inquiry 43.2: 255-274.

3. Bekins, P. 2014. Transitivity and Object Marking in Biblical Hebrew: An Investigation of the Object Preposition ʾet. Brill.

4. Danon, G. 2001. Syntactic Definiteness in the Grammar of Modern Hebrew. *Linguistics* 39.6: 1071-1116.

5. Danon. G. 2002. The Hebrew Object Marker and Semantic Type. *Proceedings of IATL 17.*

6. Danon, G. 2006. Caseless nominals and the projection of DP. Natural Language & Linguistic Theory 24(4), 977-1008.

7. Hacohen, A., O. Kagan and D. Plaut (in preparation). Differential Object Marking in Hebrew: Definiteness versus Partitivity.

8. Kalinin, M. and S. Loesov. 2013. Encoding of the Direct Object throughout in Western Aramaic. In: Babel und Bibel 7: Annual of Ancient Near Eastern, Old Testament, and Semitic Studies.

9. Khan, G. A. 1984. Object Markers and Agreement Pronouns in Semitic Languages. Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies, University of London 47.3: 468-500.

10. Kramer, Ruth. 2009. Definite markers, phi-features, and agreement: a morphosyntactic investigation of the Amharic DP, UCSC: Ph.D. dissertation.

11. Levin, A. 1987. The Particle *la* as an Object Marker in Some Arabic Dialects of the Galilee. Zeitschrift für Arabische Linguistik 17: 31-40.