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1. Introduction

Students, parents and teachers often find it difficult to form realistic prior assessments of a student’s prospective access to higher education. The Longitudinal Survey of Australian Youth (LSAY) reports that almost 40% of the students surveyed who reached grade twelve but chose not to sit for tertiary admissions tests had reported earlier, in grade nine, that they planned to attend university (Cardak and Ryan, 2009, Table 3). Better information on their future chances of success, based on their prior academic performance to grade nine, might have helped these students form a more realistic assessment of the work needed to achieve their challenging goals or encouraged them to explore alternative educational and career paths.

In this paper, we show that nationally standardized ninth-grade numeracy and literacy test scores from Australia’s National Assessment Program—Literacy and Numeracy (NAPLAN), used in conjunction with student-level demographic and socio-economic covariates, can provide highly accurate probabilistic predictions of future access to higher education. Longitudinal data on two full cohorts of ninth-grade students in Victoria, in 2008 and 2010, links their performance on NAPLAN reading and numeracy tests at the beginning of grade nine to the Australian Tertiary Admission Ranks (ATAR) they achieve (or fail to achieve) three and a half years later, when they sit for Victorian Certificate of Education (VCE) tests at the end of grade twelve. ATAR is the primary academic criterion that determines admission to university programs, and we use three binary outcome variables that allow us to distinguish between different degrees of access: achieving an ATAR of 50 or better (“ATAR50”), 70 or better (“ATAR70”), and 90 or better
Each of these indicators applies to the full ninth-grade cohort, including the many students who do not go on to complete a VCE and earn an ATAR.

We first use the earlier 2008 cohort to regress each of these indicators on students’ standardized grade nine scores and on their socio-economic and demographic characteristics; and then use the coefficients from these regressions to form probabilistic predictions, out of sample, for students in the 2010 cohort. We then assess the accuracy of our out-of-sample predictions for each of our three ATAR levels by grouping together students in the 2010 cohort with the same predicted values of success at that level, and comparing the actual success rate of each such group, in 2013, with its predicted rate of success. We find that these predicted values closely match the actual values, except for students with the highest predicted success rates, where our predictions overstate the actual rates. Regressing actual on predicted rates over 100 percentiles, we obtain out-of-sample $R^2$ values of 0.99 for ATAR50, 0.98 for ATAR70 and 0.90 for ATAR90. This attests to the high level of year-to-year consistency in both NAPLAN scores and ATAR values as well as to the mutual consistency of NAPLAN and VCE assessments. It demonstrates the practical

---

1 ATAR ranks students in relation to the full cohort. Thus an ATAR of 50 places one at the median of the entire cohort, well below the median of students with an ATAR. Values below 50 are of little value for competitive admissions.

2 For example, we group all 2010 students for whom the predicted probability of achieving an ATAR of 70 or better is 38%, and compare the share of this group who actually achieve an ATAR of 70 or better to their predicted rate of 38%.
scope for using NAPLAN scores in ninth grade to inform students’ decisions on their future education and career paths.³

Our analysis also highlights the substantial impact of family background on student outcomes in senior secondary school, after controlling for ninth-grade achievement levels. This is consistent with earlier studies, among them Lamb et al. (2004), Le and Miller (2004, 2005) and Cardak and Ryan (2009) who similarly find that SES affects tertiary admissions scores after controlling for prior scores. We go beyond these studies in describing the distribution of these effects for students with varying prior abilities and different goals, which allows us to identify students for whom these affects are substantially larger than average. They are students in the middle of the distribution of NAPLAN scores, aiming for realistic goals that are within their reach. Students who did very well or very poorly in grade nine are less affected by their parents’ socio-economic background, as are students who set their sights much too high or much too low. This information can help schools efficiently target their limited resources at students who are most likely to benefit from additional support.⁴

We then apply these predictions to analyse school-level success rates. We find that students' observable socio-economic and demographic characteristics and ninth-grade scores explain 78%


⁴ Cardak and Ryan’s (2009) further finding that SES does not directly affect enrolment after controlling for admissions scores, indicates the importance of intervening before ATAR is determined.
to 80% of school-level success rates in achieving different levels of access to higher education, out of sample, over all 647 schools matched over the two cohorts (accounting for 97% of students). Among the 284 larger matched schools with at least 100 students in grade nine (75% of all students) we find that student characteristics and ninth-grade scores explain 87% to 89% of the variance in school-level success rates for each of our binary indicators. This very large fraction highlights the importance of carefully controlling for student attributes in using student outcomes to assess secondary school performance; and all the more so, if one allows that unobservable student characteristics may further affect outcomes.5

Our school-level analysis also indicates that students attending schools with an academically strong student population have significantly higher average success rates than comparable students in weaker schools. Thus, for example, our out-of-sample analysis of schools with 100 students or more indicates that a school populated by students with an individual predicted probability of 30% of achieving an ATAR of 70 will have on average a 30% school success rate. In comparison, a school populated by students with an individual predicted probability of 60% of achieving an ATAR of 70 will have on average a 70% school success rate. The cause for this is not identified in our data. I may be direct peer effects; the preference better teachers have for teaching better students; the ability of well-funded schools to attract better students, or other reasons.6 However, it does suggest that parents seeking schools that will help their children achieve better ATAR outcomes are right to prefer schools with higher (raw) success rates.

5 Homel, Mavisakalyan, Nguyen and Ryan’s (2012) analysis of survey data finds that risky behaviors not indicated in our administrative data are significant predictors of secondary school completion.

6 Manski (1993) is the seminal contribution on the difficulty in separating these effects.
Finally, we add to these regressions school-specific value-added indicators calculated from the 2008 cohort as the difference between school-level predicted and actual success rates. They have highly significant, positive coefficients throughout and substantially improve the goodness of fit. For the full population of schools, including these value-added measures raises the share of explained variance by 7-10 percentage points, to between 85% and 89%; for the 284 larger schools with at least 100 students in ninth grade, the share of explained variance rises by 5-6 percentage points to between 92% and 95%.

The significant, substantial effect of school-level value-added measures in predicting school performance out of sample supports their validity as stable indicators of a school's success in promoting access to higher education, while at the same time recognizing that these effects are an order of magnitude smaller than the effect of student characteristics and ninth-grade scores. This accords with previous studies, which found relatively little variation between schools in retention and tertiary entrance rates, after controlling for student characteristics (e.g., Marks et al., 2007; Marks, 2010, 2014, 2015; Le and Miller, 2004; Polidano et al., 2013; Cardak and Vecci, 2013), but goes beyond these studies in demonstrating the stability of school effects out of sample.

As noted above, our analysis follows on previous longitudinal studies of Australian youth, most of which used LSAY data to analyse individual and school-level performance in the transition from secondary to tertiary education in Australia. 7 These studies find, as we do, that ninth-grade...
scholastic achievement and socio-economic indicators predict student success in the transition from secondary to tertiary education. However, the present study has several methodological advantages that allow it to achieve more accurate predictions and draw sharper distinctions.

The first is our use of probabilistic outcome indicators that explicitly describe the conditional distribution of ATAR outcomes, rather than provide point estimates; and indicators effectively combine retention with different levels of ATAR achievement. This allows us to obtain a more nuanced indication of success than studies that focus on grade-twelve retention or on tertiary enrolment while avoiding the selection bias that arises in studies that exclude a disproportionate fraction of weaker students without ATAR or ENTER score values. In addition, these binary indicators are not sensitive to the extremes of the distribution, where standardized test scores are less reliable in predicting performance.

A second key advantage is our use of administrative longitudinal data on two full cohorts. In addition to substantially reducing attrition bias, sampling error and measurement error, this allows precise statistical estimation within subgroups, resulting in more-detailed predictions. Of course, having data on two full cohorts allows us to demonstrate the accuracy of these predictions out of sample, and the year-to-year consistency and mutual compatibility of NAPLAN, VCE and ATAR outcomes. This provides a strong basis for using NAPLAN scores in planning students’ education and career paths.

\cite{2015b}, which uses the same linked data used here to regress retention rates, study score aggregates (from which ATAR values are derived, for students with study scores) and tertiary enrolment rates on ninth-grade NAPLAN scores and student covariates.
The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the data; Section 3 analyzes the conditional distributions of our three twelfth-grade success indicators predicated on ninth-grade scores and student covariates; Section 4 demonstrates the accuracy of individual predictions out of sample; Section 5 forms and tests school-level predictions; and Section 6 concludes.

2. The Data

Our population comprises two full cohorts of ninth-grade students in Victoria in 2008 and 2010, omitting a few hundred students in each cohort with reported ages younger than 14 or older than 16. For each student in the two cohorts, we have NAPLAN reading and numeracy scores, an ATAR outcome (which may be “no ATAR”), and individual demographic and socio-economic covariates. Ninth-grade scores and student characteristics were provided by DEECD; ATAR outcomes were provided by the Victorian Curriculum and Assessment Authority (VCAA), which linked the two data sets. Summary statistics are presented in Table 1.

NAPLAN tests are administered annually by the Australian Curriculum, Assessment and Reporting Authority (ACARA) in May to all students in Grades 3, 5, 7 and 9. Students missing numeracy and/or reading scores are retained in the sample and the scores are marked as missing. In all our analyses we use scores that are standardized within each cohort. ATAR values in Victoria are derived by the Victorian Tertiary Admissions Centre (VTAC) from study scores, which are based in equal measure on teacher assessments and on student performance on state-wide VCE tests administered by VCAA at the end of twelfth grade. These scores are scaled for subject difficulty and combined to produce Tertiary Entrance Aggregates (TEA), which are then ranked nationwide to produce ATAR values. VCAA linked the 2011 VCE outcomes to the 2008 ninth-grade NAPLAN
scores, and the 2013 VCE outcomes to the 2010 ninth-grade NAPLAN scores. As Table 1 shows, the two cohorts are similar in size and composition. There are slightly more students aged 16, and fewer aged 14 in the 2010 cohort; there are more students missing NAPLAN scores in the 2010 cohort, by 2 percentage points; and parents in the 2010 cohort are slightly better educated.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics, ninth-grade students in Victoria, 2008 and 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of students</td>
<td>67,867</td>
<td>67,608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% male</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>51.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% aged 14 / 15 / 16</td>
<td>20 / 74 / 5</td>
<td>18 / 76 / 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% language background other than English (LBOTE)</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Aboriginal and Torres Straits Islanders (ASTI)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAPLAN scores</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean Numeracy Score (standard deviation)</td>
<td>592.8 (69.8)</td>
<td>595.1 (71.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Reading Score (standard deviation)</td>
<td>586.2 (67.4)</td>
<td>583.5 (65.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% missing numeracy score</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% missing reading score</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% missing both scores</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parents’ education, % in category</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not stated / unknown, %</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 years or less</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 years</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 years</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certificate I-IV</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma/ Advanced Diploma</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor’s degree or more</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parents’ occupation, % in category</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior manager or professional, %</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other business manager</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tradesmen / sales</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine operator / hospitality worker</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has not worked in past 12 months</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ATAR outcomes, % successful of the ninth-grade cohort</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Achieved an ATAR of 50 or more</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>44.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achieved an ATAR of 70 or more</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achieved an ATAR of 90 or more</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ATAR for students with an ATAR &gt; 50</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>75.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In calculating the shares achieving ATAR values of 50, 70 and 90 we count all students in the ninth-grade cohort not recorded as having achieved a VCE, as not having achieved an ATAR of 50 or better. Consequently, the rates reported in Table 1—respectively, 45.5%, 27.9% and 9.1%, below the target rates of 50%, 30% and 10%—are biased downwards slightly. Of students counted as not having achieved an ATAR of 50 or better, only 30% are positively recorded as such in our twelfth grade data; the remainder are students in the ninth-grade cohort who are absent from our twelfth-grade VCE data. These are mostly students who dropped out of school before sitting for their VCE exams—and therefore should be counted as not having achieved an ATAR of 50 or better. However, they also include students leaving Victoria between ninth and twelfth grade, many of whom are likely to have achieved an ATAR of 50 or better elsewhere; students held back a year or skipping a year between grades nine and twelve, many of whom will have sat for their VCEs a year earlier or later; and a small number of students who opt for the equivalent International Baccalaureate Diploma (IBD). In a separate Appendix (part A), we assess the number of missing students in each group, assign plausible success rates to the three groups, and add these to the observed rates. The revised values we obtain—49.1%, 30.5% and 10.1%—are much closer to the target rates than those reported in Table 1. We use these adjusted rates to correct the estimated and predicted success rates in the following section, where indicated, multiplying observed rates by a factor of 1.079, 1.093 or 1.110, respectively for ATAR50, ATAR70 and ATAR90. We do not make these adjustments in testing our predictions out of sample, in the following sections, as the same correction would apply to both predicted and actual values, leaving the fit unchanged.
3. Distribution of Conditional ATAR Success Rates

We begin with a graphic description of ATAR success rates conditioned on ninth-grade NAPLAN scores and SES quartiles, for our in-sample cohort. This highlights both the strong link between ninth-grade NAPLAN scores and ATAR outcomes, and the substantial effect of SES after controlling for prior achievement. We then turn to a probit analysis of our three ATAR success indicators, regressing each on NAPLAN scores and on a set of student covariates within SES quartiles. This provides estimates of differences in achievement between demographic categories, controlling for ninth-grade scores and student covariates; and it yields individual predicted probabilities of success for each measure. In the following sections, we use these regression coefficients to predict individual and school-level success rates out of sample, on our 2010 cohort, and compare these predictions to actual success rates.

3.1 Graphic description of achievement levels conditioned on NAPLAN scores and SES

To describe the conditional distribution of ATAR success rates predicated on NAPLAN numeracy and reading scores and on SES, we define four SES categories based on parents' education and occupation (details are provided in a separate Appendix B, part B); as they are roughly equal in size, we refer to them as quartiles. Table 2 presents category frequencies in the population, NAPLAN averages and specific success rates for each of our three binary indicators. It highlights the strong positive link between SES, on the one hand, and NAPLAN scores and ATAR outcomes, on the other hand.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SES category</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>NAPLAN numeracy</th>
<th>NAPLAN reading</th>
<th>ATAR &gt; 50%</th>
<th>ATAR &gt; 70%</th>
<th>ATAR &gt; 90%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>% missing Average score</td>
<td>% missing Average score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weakest</td>
<td>17,922</td>
<td>14.8 -0.43</td>
<td>15.6 -0.39</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>16,177</td>
<td>7.8 -0.19</td>
<td>8.0 -0.17</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>17,760</td>
<td>7.1 0.06</td>
<td>7.4 0.09</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongest</td>
<td>16,008</td>
<td>6.0 0.50</td>
<td>5.8 0.55</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>67,867</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NAPLAN scores are standardized within the cohort. ATARS0, 70 and 90 raw success rates are adjusted upwards to account for students leaving Victoria, skipping or repeating a grade, or earning an equivalent IBD. (Details of this adjustment and the definitions of SES categories are provided in a separate Appendix, respectively, in parts A and B).

Figures 1 to 3 describe success rates for each of our three indicators, as a function of a student’s NAPLAN rank in grade nine, separately for each SES quartile. This shows the effect of SES on ATAR outcomes in senior secondary school, after controlling for grade-nine achievement.

Figure 1 describes success rates in achieving an ATAR of 50 or better. While the top curve is concave and the bottom curve is convex, all four curves are nearly parallel between the 25th and

---

8 We ranked students by the average of their standardized test scores in numeracy and reading and divided them into percentiles. (If either score was missing, we reduced the other score by 20%; the 6.7% of students with both scores missing are not included in these graphs.) Then, for each binary indicator, we computed the frequency of success for each SES category within each percentile. These frequencies were then adjusted upwards to account for students leaving Victoria, skipping or repeating a grade, or earning an equivalent IBD (details provided in a separate Appendix, part A). The graphs were plotted with ggplot2 in R and the points were smoothed by local regression (LOESS).
75th percentile of NAPLAN scores, with about the same difference in success rates—about 50 percentage points—between these points, for all four SES quartiles. The difference in success rates between SES categories is greatest at the median of NAPLAN scores, over 30 percentage points. Put differently, a student in the lowest SES quartile and the 65th NAPLAN percentile has about the same chance of achieving an ATAR of 50 or better as a student in the top SES quartile at the 30th NAPLAN percentile. This effect is greatly diminished at the extremes: students ranked very low in the ninth grade have little chance of success while the very strongest students have a high probability, whatever their SES.

Figure 1. ATAR50 Success Rates by NAPLAN Percentile and SES
In Figure 2, which describes success rates in achieving an ATAR of 70 or better, the degree of convexity varies markedly across SES categories. Consequently, the difference in success rates between the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> NAPLAN percentile is greatest for the top SES quartile. The difference in success rates between SES categories is greatest at the 75<sup>th</sup> NAPLAN percentile, again over 30 percentage points, and again this difference vanishes at the extremes.

Finally, Figure 3 shows very different patterns for the more ambitious goal of achieving an ATAR of 90 or better. All four curves coincide with the horizontal axis for NAPLAN scores in the bottom 30% and there is hardly any increase below the median. At the 75<sup>th</sup> NAPLAN percentile, even students in the top SES quartile have less than a 20% chance of success. Most of the increase occurs past this point, but even at the very top, success is not assured: even among students in
the top 1% of NAPLAN scores and in the top SES quartile, only 70% achieve an ATAR of 90. Doing well on ninth-grade NAPLAN tests is a necessary condition for achieving an ATAR of 90, and a stronger SES helps, but even when combined they do not ensure success.

**Figure 3. ATAR90 Success Rates by NAPLAN Percentile and SES**

Graphs such as these indicate the levels of effort and support needed to achieve different levels of access to higher education, and can thus help inform the decisions of secondary-school students, their parents and their schools in setting educational and career goals. In addition, the information they provide on the varying impact of socio-economic background, after controlling for achievement in ninth grade, suggests there is considerable scope for schools directing targeted efforts to compensate for socio-economic disadvantage, and provides a useful
indication of where these efforts are likely to be most effective in helping disadvantaged students achieve broader access.

3.2 Regression analysis

We estimate probit regressions for each of our outcome variables separately within each SES quartile, regressing each of our binary outcome variables on six NAPLAN score variables (standardized ninth-grade numeracy and reading scores, these scores squared, and indicators for missing scores in each domain); on a set of demographic indicators, for age, gender, English Speaking Background (ESB) or language background other than English (LBOTE), Aboriginal and Torres Straits Islanders (ATSI), and interactions between LBOTE and gender and between ATSI and gender; and on a set of parents' education and occupation categories. Our main purpose in estimating these in-sample regressions is to use them to form individual, out-of-sample predictions of success for the 2010 cohort, and compare them to actual outcomes individually and at the school level. A second purpose is to use these regressions to derive in-sample value-added measures for each school and compare them with out-of-sample value-added across schools. In addition, the regressions provide indications of how other student covariates affect the predicted success probabilities, conditioned on ninth-grade test scores and SES. We briefly

9 Adding cubic and quartic terms in the NAPLAN scores had no effect. NAPLAN achievement enters these regressions as standardized scores, and so the shape of the regressions does not match Figures 1-3, where the axes are NAPLAN ranks.

10 A full set of estimated marginal effects from these regressions, for the entire 2008 cohort and within each SES quartile, are presented in a separate Appendix, part C, Tables C1-C3.
highlight here selected effects for female students, LBOTE students, ATSI students and students aged 16.

We find a significant advantage for female ESB students over male ESB students; considering the full cohort (rather than just students with an ATAR) avoids the downward bias that results when higher male dropout rates are ignored. The gender effect is measured as the difference between female and male probabilities of success, and therefore generally increases with their level, hence with SES. However, for ATAR 50, the greatest differences are observed in the weaker SES categories. We interpret this as reflecting the greater impact of socio-economic disadvantage on the academic achievement of young males (Goldin, Katz, and Kuziemko, 2006).

LBOTE students do better than ESB students, on average, after controlling for prior scores and SES. However, these averages range over diverse groups and may vary widely among them. We observe the largest effect in the lower SES quartiles, where parental education and occupation may not accurately reflect the cultural background of more recently arrived immigrants;\(^\text{11}\) in the higher SES quartiles, LBOTE outcomes are more similar to those of the general population. We also find a positive interactive term for male and LBOTE, which indicates that gender differences are smaller for LBOTE students than for ESB students.

The regressions highlight the substantial disadvantage of ATSI students, after controlling for their lower prior scores and lower SES. As the share of ATSI students in the population is small, few have a strong academic or an advantaged SES background, and few achieve strong ATAR

\(^{11}\) New immigrants lacking cultural skills and social contacts may be forced to take menial jobs that do not reflect their true abilities or ambitions.
outcomes. Consequently, the ATSI effect is estimated with less precision than other effects, and for some sub-categories could not be estimated at all. Finally, we note the disadvantage of students aged 16 in grade 9, again controlling for ninth-grade scores and parental background. To the extent that their older age difference is attributable to some of them having been held back a year this suggests that the difficulties causing this were not entirely resolved.

4. Goodness-of-fit out of sample, individual outcomes

We now use these regressions to predict out-of-sample success probabilities for the 2010 cohort and compare them to actual outcomes. We first apply the coefficients from the 2008 regressions to the 2010 cohort to form individual probabilistic predictions for each of our binary variables with regard to ATAR outcomes in 2013. We then aggregate these predictions by their values, for each success indicator, and compare them to actual success rates. Thus, for example, we group together all 2010 students with a predicted success probability in the interval between 53.00 and 53.99, and compare the share of these students actually achieving an ATAR of 70 or better to their average predicted probability (which will fall within that interval). We make no adjustments to the data for inessential attrition as we assume that both predicted and actual outcomes are affected by the same bias. The results are presented in Figures 4, 5 and 6. We added to each point prediction a 95% confidence interval. The variation in the number of observations for each percentile is evident from the varying size of the confidence interval; it is especially large.

---

12 Under the maintained hypothesis, the number of successes among $n$ students with predicted success $p$ distributes binomially with mean $np$ and variance $np(1-p)$ so that the standard deviation of the success rate is $[p(1-p)/n]^{0.5}$. 
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for ATAR90, where many more students have a low probability of achieving an ATAR of 90 or better, than have a high probability.

Figure 4 presents the results for ATAR50. Except for predictions in the top 10%, where predicted values are significantly above actual rates, the fit is clearly very close, with almost all actual values falling within the quite narrow confidence intervals. Figure 5 presents a very similar picture for an ATAR of 70. Again actual success rates for the top 10% are significantly below predicted rates, but for lower values, almost all the actual rates fall within their confidence intervals. In Figure 6, confidence intervals fan out at the top end of the distribution as the number of observations falls (few students have very high predicted rates of success at this level), and again, at the top end we find actual success rates falling below predicted rates, while in the middle range actual success rates slightly exceed predicted rates. Below an 80% predicted success rate almost all observed success rates fall within their confidence intervals. These are considerably wider than for ATAR50 and ATAR70.
Figure 4. Goodness of fit, out of sample, in achieving an ATAR of 50

Figure 5. Goodness of fit, out of sample, in achieving an ATAR of 70
To quantify the goodness of fit in Figures 4-6, we regressed actual success rates on predicted probabilities across the 100 percentile points for each indicator. Table 3 presents the results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ATAR</th>
<th>Estimated slope</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>.009</td>
<td>.99</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td>.013</td>
<td>.98</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>.028</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We calculated predicted success probabilities for the 2010 student cohort using the coefficients estimated from regressions over the 2008 cohort; grouped students into 100 percentile groups by their predicted success rates, and regressed group-level actual success rates on predicted rates.
Over-prediction of success rates at the high end of the predicted rates pulls the slopes down, below one.  

5. School-level outcomes

In this section, we use our individual out-of-sample predictions of ATAR success rates to form school-level predicted success rates for the 2010 cohort by averaging the individually predicted rates over all students in each school. We then regress the actual school-level success rates on the predicted rates, and interpret the share of unexplained variance from these regressions as an upper limit on the extent to which the variance in ATAR performance can be attributed to schools, after controlling for student characteristics and ninth-grade scores. We then add to the regressions school-specific value-added measures drawn from the 2008 cohort: each school’s actual success rate in 2011 less its predicted rate. Two sets of results are presented for each binary outcome variable, and for each of the two regression specifications: one set for all 647 schools that could be matched across cohorts (accounting for 97% of the total student population); and another for the 284 matched schools with at least 100 students in grade nine (accounting for 75% of the cohort).

5.1 Predicting school-level success rates out of sample

Results from the first set of six regressions, where school success rates are regressed out of

---

13 If we limit the ATAR50 and ATAR70 regressions to the bottom 90 percentiles, and the ATAR90 regression to the bottom 80 percentiles, we obtain slopes of 1.02 to 0.98, and an $R^2$ of .97 for ATAR90.
sample on predicted rates, are reported in Table 4; Figures 7 to 9 present scatterplots for the set of larger schools. They highlight two salient features: the large share of variance in school success rates explained by the predicted values, for both sets of schools (more for the larger schools); and the magnitude of the estimated slopes, which are all greater than one.

Table 4. School-level regressions of actual on predicted success rates, out of sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All matched schools</th>
<th>Matched schools with cohort ≥ 100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(647 schools, 65,452 students)</td>
<td>(284 schools, 50,467 students)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Constant (Slope (standard error))</td>
<td>Constant (Slope (standard error))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATAR50</td>
<td>-13.3 (1.24 (.02))</td>
<td>-15.4 (1.32 (.03))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATAR70</td>
<td>-8.31 (1.25 (.03))</td>
<td>-9.3 (1.32 (.03))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATAR90</td>
<td>-2.99 (1.31 (.03))</td>
<td>-2.7 (1.32 (.03))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

School-level predicted success rates are averages of individual predicted success rates of all students in the school, taken from probit regressions estimated from within SES quartiles of the 2008 cohort. Actual shares are the success rates of the 2010 cohort.

The predicted values explain 78%-79% of the school-level variance for the full set of schools and 87%-89% for the set of larger schools. This highlights the large extent to which secondary-school performance is shaped by student characteristics and ninth-grade scores; and the importance of carefully controlling for initial student attributes in using test results to assess school performance. The magnitudes of the estimated slopes, all precisely estimated and significantly greater than one, indicate substantial positive school-cohort effects. Taking, for example, the
regression equation for ATAR70 for the larger schools, we find that the expected success rate for a school with weaker students, with a 30% predicted rate, is 30.4% while the expected rate for a school with a 60% predicted rate is 70.0%.\(^\text{14}\) This may reflect various causes: direct peer effects on learning, a mutual attraction between high scoring students and schools that prepare students for VCE exams more effectively, a preference of better teachers for stronger students, or possibly other factors.\(^\text{15}\) Whatever the reason, they suggest that parents seeking schools that will help their children achieve better ATAR outcomes are generally right to prefer schools with higher (raw) success rates.

**Figure 7.** Out of sample actual *versus* predicted school success rates, ATAR50, schools with 100 students or more in ninth grade

\(^{14}\) Using the coefficients from Table 4: \(-9.2 + 1.32 \times 30 = 30.4\) and \(-9.2 + 1.32 \times 60 = 70.0\)

\(^{15}\) See Lamb et al. (2004) for an analysis of factors that help raise school performance.
Figure 8. Out of sample actual *versus* predicted school success rates, ATAR70, schools with 100 students or more in ninth grade

![Graph showing actual versus predicted success rates for ATAR70 schools.](image1)

Figure 9. Out of sample actual *versus* predicted school success rates, ATAR90, schools with 100 students or more in ninth grade

![Graph showing actual versus predicted success rates for ATAR90 schools.](image2)
Figure 10. School value-added, full sample of schools, 2008 cohort
5.2 School value-added: stability across cohorts and relative magnitude

We derived school-specific value-added measures for the 2008 cohort for each success indicator, calculated as the difference between the actual school-level success rate in 2011 and its predicted rate, averaged over the predicted success rates of its students (Figure 10).

We then calculated correlations between these value-added measures for the 2008 cohort and the school-level residuals from the 2010 cohort regressions reported above, obtaining values of 0.73, 0.66 and 0.63 respectively for ATAR50, ATAR70 and ATAR90. Thus we find substantial cohort-to-cohort stability in estimated school-level value-added.

Next, we included the 2008 value-added measures in our 2010 cohort regressions. Table 5 presents the regression results. As indicated by the correlations, all three coefficients of the value-added measure are highly significant, with t-values in excess of 10. All three coefficients are significantly less than 1.00, between 0.60 and 0.73 for the regression over all schools; between 0.63 and 0.81 when we limited the regressions to schools with at least 100 students in ninth grade. This indicates that our value-added measure captures a stable element of school quality. The smaller coefficients of the predicted rate, by about 0.20 compared to the slopes in the first set of regressions reported in Table 4, indicate that our measure of school quality is absorbing most of the quality effect.

The slightly smaller coefficients obtained in the regressions over all schools may result from the lesser accuracy of school level predictions averaged over a smaller number of students, effectively increasing measurement error in a right-hand variable.
Table 5. School-level actual success rates regressed on predicted success rates and on school value-added, out of sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ATAR Level</th>
<th>All matched schools (647 schools, 65,452 students)</th>
<th>Matched schools with cohort ≥ 100 (284 schools, 50,467 students)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Predicted rate</td>
<td>Value-added measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATAR50</td>
<td>1.05 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATAR70</td>
<td>1.07 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATAR90</td>
<td>1.13 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adding the 2008 value-added measures to the regressions increases the share of explained variance by 7-10 percentage points for the full set of 647 schools, to 85%-89%; and by 5-6 percentage points for the 284 larger schools with at least 100 students in ninth grade, to 92%-95%. The measures of value-added taken from the 2008 cohort thus explain between 31% and 47% of the residual variance out of sample, in the 2010 cohort, for the full population of schools; and 41% to 53% of the residual variance for the larger set of schools. This attests to the stability of school-level value-added measures derived (in this manner) from using NAPLAN scores to predict ATAR outcomes. These findings are consistent with previous efforts that found school level variation in outcomes to be small in relation to the impact of student characteristics and prior scores, but depart from these efforts in identifying significant, stable school-level effects.
6. Summary

In this paper, we show that standardized ninth-grade test scores in reading and numeracy, from Australia’s National Assessment Program—Literacy and Numeracy (NAPLAN), combined with students' demographic characteristics and their parents’ education and occupation categories, provide a strong indication of the level of access to higher education these students are likely to achieve three and a half years later, as reflected in their ATAR outcomes. It shows how these scores can be used in conjunction with socio-economic and demographic background variables to help students in ninth grade assess the effort required to achieve different educational goals and reach informed decisions in setting these goals. Prior test scores and socio-economic background are stochastic indicators of access to higher education that indicate the level of effort and support necessary to attain different levels of access.

Longitudinal data on two full cohorts of ninth grade students in Victoria, in 2008 and 2010, links their performance on NAPLAN reading and numeracy tests in the beginning of grade nine to the ATAR values they achieve (or fail to achieve) three and a half years later, when they sit for Victorian Certificate of Education (VCE) tests at the end of grade twelve. We use the 2008 cohort to regress three binary ATAR success indicators on standardized grade-nine scores and on students’ socio-economic and demographic characteristics; and then apply the coefficients from these regressions to form out-of-sample predictions for the 2010 cohort of ninth grade students. We then compare these predictions to their actual ATAR outcomes, achieved in 2013.

The three binary outcome variables we use are: achieving an ATAR of 50 or better, an ATAR of 70 or better, and an ATAR of 90 or better. After forming out-of-sample probabilistic predictions for
each success indicator, for each student in the 2010 cohort, we group together at each percentile, all students in the 2010 cohort with that predicted probability of success, and compare the actual success rate of each group with its predicted probability.

We find that these predicted values closely match the actual values, except for students with very high predicted success rates, where our predictions overstate the actual rates. Regressing actual on predicted rates over 100 percentiles, we obtain out-of-sample $R^2$ values of 0.99 for ATAR50, 0.98 for ATAR70 and 0.90 for ATAR90. These results attest to the high level of year-to-year consistency in both NAPLAN scores and ATAR values as well as to the mutual consistency of NAPLAN and VCE assessments. It illustrates how NAPLAN scores can be used in practice to inform students’ decisions on their future education and career paths while in ninth grade.

Our analysis also highlights the substantial impact of family background on student outcomes in senior secondary school, after controlling for ninth-grade achievement levels; and it identifies those students who are most likely to benefit from such support. They are students in the middle of the distribution of NAPLAN scores, aiming for realistic goals that are within their reach. Students who did very well or very poorly in grade nine are less affected by their parents’ socio-economic background, as are students who set their sights much too high or much too low. These findings can help schools direct limited resources efficiently to where these resources are likely to have the greatest impact.

Our school-level analysis of success rates shows that observable dimensions of student socio-economic and demographic characteristics and ninth-grade scores explain, out-of-sample, 78% to 80% of the variance in school-level success rates in achieving different level of access to higher
education across all schools. When we limit our attention to larger schools with at least 100 students in ninth grade, student characteristics and ninth-grade scores explain 87% to 89% of this variance. This large fraction highlights the importance of carefully controlling for student attributes in using student outcomes to assess secondary school performance; and all the more so, if one allows that unobservable student characteristics may further affect outcomes.

Our findings also confirm the well-known empirical observation that students attending schools with an academically strong student population have significantly higher average success rates than comparable students in weaker schools. The cause for this is not identified in our data—it may be direct peer effects, the preference that better teachers have for teaching better students, the ability of well-funded schools to attract better students, or other reasons. But it does suggest that parents seeking schools that will help their children achieve better ATAR outcomes are right to prefer schools with higher (raw) success rates.

Finally, we add to these regressions school-specific value-added measures derived from the 2008 cohort as the difference between school-level predicted and actual success rates in that cohort. The coefficients of these measures are all highly significant. Regressing over all 647 schools, we find that including value-added measures raises $R^2$ values by 7-10 percentage points, to between 0.85 and 0.89; and when we limit our attention to the 284 larger schools with at least 100 students in ninth grade, $R^2$ values rise by 5-6 percentage points to between 0.92 and 0.95. These value-added measures explain between 31% and 47% of the residual variance for the full population of schools; and 41% to 53% of the residual variance for schools with at least 100 ninth-grade students.
These findings support the validity of these measures as indicators of schools’ success in promoting access to higher education, while at the same time recognizing that these effects are an order of magnitude smaller than the effect of student attributes. Thus our findings accord with previous studies, which found little variation between schools in their retention and tertiary entrance rates, after controlling for student characteristics, but go beyond these studies in showing that school value-added is a stable school attribute that explains a substantial part of this residual variance. Again, we are not able to identify the source of these effects, and whether they reflect factors within the school’s control, or peer effects, or sorting across schools on unobserved dimensions of student quality, or other factors.

In conclusion, we note that the testing methods underlying NAPLAN and VCE tests in Australia are widely used in many settings, suggesting that the empirical methodology described in this paper to similar data in other countries may reveal similar effects.
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