Radar and Phased Array Breakthroughs

Eli Brookner

This article updates previous papers discussing significant developments, trends and breakthroughs in radar, phased arrays and the underlying technologies that enable them.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^8\)

RADAR SYSTEMS

The big news relative to system upgrades is that Patriot now has GaN active electronically scanned arrays (AESA) that provide 360 degree coverage without mechanical rotation (see Figure 1). The upgrade has a main AESA array that is a bolt-on replacement antenna, approximately 9 feet wide and 13 feet tall, that is oriented toward the primary threat. It also has a new, rear, quarter-size AESA panel that gives 360 degree coverage. Another development is the launch of the first Zumwalt DDG-1000 stealth ship (see Figure 2), with two more under development. It will carry the three-face X-Band SPY-3 radar. The impressive performance of the Air and Missile Defense Radar (AMDR) has recently been released (see Figure 3). AMDR has an S-Band radar for air and missile defense, a three-face X-Band radar for horizon search and adaptive digital beam forming. The system handles 30 times more targets and has 30 times greater sensitivity than the SPY-1D(V). The transmitter uses GaN, which is 34 percent less expensive than GaAs and has \(10^8\) hour mean time between failures (MTBF). The scalable antenna is composed of two \(2\) ft \(\times\) 2 ft \(\times\) 2 ft radar module assembly (RMA) building blocks, with four line-replaceable units (LRU) per RMA. Each LRU can be replaced in less than 6 minutes. The back-end radar controller is fully programmable and uses commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) \(\times\) 86 processors, which allows adapting to future threats, easy upgrades with future COTS processors and no obsolescence. Lockheed Martin
Valeo Raytheon has developed a 25 GHz blind-spot, seven beam, phased array radar, costing only hundreds of dollars as an option from each other via high bandwidth Wi-Fi. In the future, compact, ultra-low cost multiple-input-multiple-output (MIMO) millimeter wave multi-beam AESAs will be in everyday devices. We also see car radar benefiting from these highly integrated MMICs. Figure 5 shows the functional block diagram of a single-chip 77 GHz transceiver, and Figure 6 illustrates how the transceiver will be assembled with the signal processor and antenna on a PCB to minimize cost. Some forecast that future car radars will cost only a few dollars. A 24 GHz single-chip car radar developed by Autoliv fits on a 3.5” × 2.25” board, including the radar chip and a Texas Instruments signal processor that performs Kalman filter tracking. Over 2 million radar systems have been manufactured, with the cost of the board less than $100. Valeo Raytheon has under contract to develop the space fence radar, and the Joint Land Attack Cruise Missile Defense Elevated Netted Sensor (JLENS) blimp system has been deployed over Washington for its defense.

**SEMICONDUCTOR TECHNOLOGY**

MMIC technology has evolved from four X-Band T/R modules with the control circuitry on a chip, with each T/R costing about $10, to a whole array on a chip or wafer at millimeter wave frequencies (see Figure 4). Intel built a 32-element 60 GHz Tx/Rx phased array on a chip. These phased array ICs will have built-in test circuits for calibration. The cell phone and Wi-Fi markets are driving this technology, with bandwidth demand predicted to increase 1,000-fold from 2010 to 2020, and the number of mobile devices from 5 to 50 billion. In the next decade, these array chips are expected to find wide use in garage door openers, video players and computers. They will talk to each other via high bandwidth Wi-Fi.
the car dealer.\textsuperscript{1,15,16} Who said phased arrays are expensive? Over 2 million of these have been produced.\textsuperscript{16} The car radar market is huge: over 70 million cars were built in 2014; assuming four radars per car, the market potential is over 280 million per year.

Gordon Moore predicted the application of MMICs to radar and phased arrays. The last sentence in his now famous paper\textsuperscript{17} states, “The successful realization of such items as phased array antennas, for example, using a multiplicity of integrated microwave power sources, could completely revolutionize radar.” Table 1 indicates the amazing advance made by Moore’s Law, showing a 350,000 improvement in the performance of FPGAs over 36 years. DARPA is funding the development of commercial FPGAs at microwave frequencies.\textsuperscript{1} Moore’s Law predicted that the number of transistors on a chip will increase by a factor of two every two years. Many think that will continue for a while, although it is getting more difficult.\textsuperscript{18} One extreme prediction is 600 years; more conservative ones are 10 to 20 years.\textsuperscript{18} Intel expects to go from a production line width of 14 nm in 2014 to 10 nm in 2017, a doubling in density in three years.\textsuperscript{52} Robert Colwell, formerly with the DARPA Microsystems Technology Office and, before that, Intel’s chief architect, predicts we will see an increase in the number of transistors by about a factor of 50 in the next 30 years, which averages to a doubling about every five years over the next 30 years.\textsuperscript{19} DARPA has a program to lower the power consumption of processors by a factor of about 75.\textsuperscript{19} Quantum computing offers the potential of orders-of-magnitude increases in computing power every generation, instead of the factor of two that Moore’s law provided.\textsuperscript{53} In 2014, 2.5 \times 10^{20} transistors were manufactured – 250 billion billion. Imagine what it would take to do this with 1" \times 1" \times 2" vacuum tubes? They would cover the surface of the earth and stand eight miles high.

Helping further semiconductor technology is DARPA’s Compound Semiconductor Materials on Silicon (COSMOS) program\textsuperscript{1,20} and the follow-on Diverse Accessible Heterogeneous Integration (DAHI) program.\textsuperscript{21} The COSMOS program demonstrated, for the first time, the integration of GaN and CMOS on the same silicon substrate without wirebonds.\textsuperscript{20,21} Potentially helping to advance signal processing capabilities are nanotechnology, spintronics,\textsuperscript{54} graphene and carbon nanotubes\textsuperscript{1,22} (see Figure 7), memristors,\textsuperscript{2} synaptic transistors\textsuperscript{23} (see Figure 8) and the future possibility to transmit data optically on the chip. Transmitting electrical and optical signals over the same wire has been demonstrated.\textsuperscript{24} An alternative possibility is using IR beams in a Si IC (Si is transparent to IR) for transmitting signals at the speed of light and without ohmic losses.\textsuperscript{25} Graphene and carbon nanotubes (CNT) have the potential for terahertz transistor clock speeds, instead of gigahertz, which is nearly three orders of magnitude faster. The manufacture of graphene transistors on CMOS has been demonstrated. This could allow Moore’s law to march forward using present day manufacturing techniques. Spintronics could revolutionize the computer architecture away from the 1945 John von Neumann model of separate logic and memory units. Instead, the two functions would be the same for some products, with logic being low cost, non-volatile memory. Spintronics has the potential to replace hard drives with low cost, low power and more reliable memory, with no moving parts and faster access time for the data. There is also the potential for computing the way the brain does – efficiently and amazingly – going analog by using synaptic transistors and/or memristors. Realizing that the brain only weighs about two to three pounds and consumes only 20 W, we have a long way to go. It has been predicted that by using memristors, one could do what the brain does in a shoebox rather than a computer the size of a whole city and requiring a nuclear plant.\textsuperscript{2,55}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Year & Multiplier Type & Multipliers per Chip & Clock Rate (MHz) & Power (W) \\
\hline
1977 & 16 \times 16 & 1 & 4.3 & 5 \\
2013 & 18 \times 18 & 4,000 & 600 & 8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{FPGA Improvement as an Example of Moore’s Law}
\end{table}
whether the signal at the resonator is radiated. The resonators contain liquid crystals whose dielectric constant is controlled by a bias voltage; this shifts the resonator frequency which allows the signal to radiate or not radiate. The antennas are only the size of a laptop computer. The key question is whether they can achieve their production cost goal. A second company, Echodyne (which has ties to Kymeta), is developing metamaterial antennas for radar.30

Target cloaking has been demonstrated using fractal metamaterials. With cloaking, the electromagnetic wave signal transmitted by a radar goes around the target, making it invisible (see Figure 9). Another way to hide a target is to have the target absorb the incident radar signal. Such “stealthing” has been simulated using a fractal metamaterial coating that is less than 1 mm thick.33 90 percent absorption was achieved from 2 to 20 GHz and around 99 percent from 10 to 15 GHz. Good absorption was achieved for a very large range of incident angles and polarizations.

With metamaterials it is now possible to replace the tall, highly visible Army jeep whip antennas with a flush mounted λ/20 thick antenna34 (see Figure 10). Other capabilities of metamaterials include the ability to focus beyond the λ/2 diffraction limit, provide higher isolation and increase the scan angle for arrays.1 2

MIMO

A MIMO full/thin array radar system (consisting of a full transmit linear array of N elements having λ/2 spacing and a collocated, parallel, receive thinned linear array having NA/2 spacing) is equivalent to a full array of N2 elements having λ/2 spacing. It achieves N times the accuracy and resolution of a conventional full array of N elements: 10, 100 or 1000 times better than a conventional array, depending on N.35,36 It has since been shown37,38 that a conventional array radar can do as well as a MIMO full/thin array radar. Specifically, a conventional full/thin array provides the same resolution and accuracy as the MIMO array. The conventional full/thin array had some disadvantages, such as grating lobes, but in some situations it provides better energy search efficiency than its MIMO equivalent.38 More recently, a new conventional array was presented which has the same resolution and about the same angle accuracy as the MIMO full/thin array radar and with no grating lobes.39,40 It uses the same search time and about the same power-aperture product for volume search as the MIMO radar. The new conventional array consists of the same full and thin arrays, but with their roles reversed: the thin array transmitting and the full array receiving. The new conventional array is called a thin/full array to distinguish it from the former full/thin array. The matched filter processing load for MIMO full/thin and thin/full arrays depend on whether the transmit or receive beam forming is done first.40 MIMO radar systems do not have any advantages relative to barrage jammer, hot clatter jammer or repeater jammer suppression.38-40

Most recently, it was shown how the conventional thin/full array can be used for ground moving target indication (GMTI), so it should provide the same minimum detectable velocity as the MIMO thin/full array.40

DIGITAL BEAM FORMING

In addition to the S-Band shipboard AESAs developed by Elta in Israel and CEA Technologies in Australia that utilize digital beam forming at every element,2 add Thales with a 1000 element, S-Band radar.41 Raytheon is developing a mixer-less system with direct RF analog-to-digital conversion that has greater than 400 MHz instantaneous bandwidth and is reconfigurable, able to switch between S- and X-Band.42 Instead of using down-converters followed by a low frequency ADC, the design uses a sample-and-hold chip followed by a low frequency ADC. For the SANTANA Internet on-the-move system, IMST has developed AESAs for 30 MHz uplink and 20 MHz downlink between satellites and airplanes, railroad trains and cars. These AESAs utilize an ADC and digital-to-analog converter (DAC) for every element channel.43 Instead of PCBs, they use LTCC stacks.

ADDITIONAL ADVANCES

The high power microwave tubes used for active denial systems may soon be replaced by solid-state power
We live in exciting times.
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